Critical Review of Thallium in Aquatic Ecosystems

Research Report No R-1272 April 2011

Critical Review of Thallium in Aquatic Ecosystems

Final Project Report presented to

Inorganics Unit Ecological Assessment Division Science and Technology Branch Environment Canada Fontaine Building 200 Boulevard Sacré-Cœur Gatineau QC K1A 0H3

EC Contribution Agreement with the CNTC for 2010/2011

Report prepared by

Patrice Couture, Claude Fortin, Landis Hare, Dominique Lapointe and Danaé Pitre Institut national de la recherche scientifique, Centre Eau Terre Environnement, 490 de la Couronne, Québec, QC, G1K 9A9.

* Corresponding author: <u>landis.hare@ete.inrs.ca</u>

Research Report No R-1272

April 2011

ISBN : 978-2-89146-725-4

Critical review of thallium in aquatic ecosystems

Patrice Couture, Claude Fortin, Landis Hare, Dominique Lapointe, Danaé Pitre INRS-ETE, Université du Québec, Quebec City, QC, G1K 9A9, Canada.

Table of contents

1. Summary: Why be concerned about thallium in the aquatic environment?.	1
2. Thallium inputs to aquatic ecosystems	2
2.1. Natural sources and background concentrations of thallium2.2. Industrial sources of thallium2.3. Thallium concentrations in the abiotic environment	2 2 3 4
3.1. Thallium speciation in water	
4.1. Thallium accumulation by aquatic plants4.2. Thallium accumulation by aquatic animals5. Thallium transfer along aquatic food chains	5
6. Thallium toxicity to aquatic organisms	
 6.1. Thallium speciation and thallium toxicity 6.2. Thallium toxicity to bacteria, fungi and primary producers 6.3. Thallium toxicity to aquatic invertebrates 6.4. Thallium toxicity to fish 6.5. Mechanisms of thallium toxicity 7. Knowledge gaps concerning thallium in aquatic ecosystems 	11 12 13 14 15 16
8. Bibliography	

List of tables and figures

1. Summary: Why be concerned about thallium in the aquatic environment?

First, for Tl to be considered of general environmental concern it needs to be present in the aquatic environment at concentrations that can elicit negative effects in living organisms. Second, it needs to reach these concentrations over a wide geographical area (confined local hot spots would nevertheless require adequate action) or in ecologically-critical areas or both. When these conditions are met, it usually indicates that human beings have increased the flux of an element from the Earth's crust thereby altering its geochemical cycling. The corollary is that by altering human activities, the flux of the element can be decreased such that negative effects are minimized.

The answer to the first question, "is Tl present in the aquatic environment at concentrations that elicit negative responses in the biota", is a tentative "yes". Tentative, because most studies of Tl toxicity have been conducted in the laboratory where conditions rarely approximate those in the field. Still, the inherent toxicity of Tl as compared to those of other trace elements, as measured under similar laboratory conditions, gives cause for concern. For example, in water-only toxicity tests using a fresh-water amphipod (Borgmann et al. 1998), Tl was found to be less toxic than cadmium (Cd) and mercury (Hg), about as toxic as lead (Pb), and more toxic than copper (Cu), nickel (Ni), and zinc (Zn). In the case of mammals, Tl is reported to be the most toxic of the above-mentioned elements (Zitko 1975). These comparative results, although obtained in the laboratory under artificial conditions that are likely to overestimate Tl toxicity compared to cationic metals, indicate that caution is needed if industrial processes are likely to significantly increase Tl concentrations above background values usually found in the environment. The toxicity of Tl is likely related to the fact that Tl and the essential element potassium (K) are univalent cations with similar ionic radii. This means that Tl^+ can compete with K^+ at biological membranes and substitute for K in metabolic processes. Interference in the management of essential trace elements is clearly a means by which a non-essential metal such as Tl can produce negative biological effects. The tentative nature of the positive response to the question "is Tl present in the aquatic environment at concentrations that elicit negative responses in the biota" is also a consequence of the fact that field measurements of Tl in water, sediments and aquatic organisms are very limited. Furthermore, there is very little information available on Tl speciation in water and sediments collected in the field.

The answer to the second question, "is Tl present at concentrations of concern over a wide geographical area" is related to the means by which humans increase the flux of Tl to the environment. Human-related sources of Tl that are small in scale include its use in rat poisons, clinical epilators, and some alloys (Peter & Viraraghavan 2005). Although potentially harmful on a local or individual scale, such uses can be relatively easily controlled. For example, the use of Tl as a rat poison (Gratz 1973) has been banned by the World Health Organization and other health agencies (although its use for this purpose continues in some developing countries). However, humans are also involved in the generation of large-scale sources of Tl that make this element a contaminant of concern. For example, Tl is present in coal and as such can enter the environment during coal mining, processing and use in coal-fired power plants and other coal-based industrial processes (Cheam 2000). Furthermore, Tl is present in sulfide-rich ores mined for copper, gold, lead, uranium and zinc (Peter & Viraraghavan 2005) and the refining of such ores can release Tl over a wide geographical scale. Given these facts, the answer to the second question is also positive.

The positive answers to the two basic questions about Tl concentrations and potential for toxicity in the environment suggest that a review of the state of knowledge of Tl in aquatic ecosystems is warranted so that research can be focused on filling knowledge gaps. By this means, Tl impacts could be more easily measured and understood and Tl use better regulated. Thus, we set out to summarize the current state of knowledge concerning Tl in fresh water ecosystems including inputs, speciation, bioaccumulation, transfer along food chains and toxicity. Lastly, we summarize knowledge gaps about this metal.

2. Thallium inputs to aquatic ecosystems

2.1. Natural sources and background concentrations of thallium

Thallium, a non-essential, malleable, metal, was named after the green spectral line that led to its discovery (from *thallos*, the Greek word for a green shoot or twig). The abundance of Tl in the Earth's crust $(6x10^{-5} \text{ weight }\%)$ exceeds those of elements such as cadmium and lead that have received far greater scientific study (Korenman 1963). The reported average Tl concentration in the Earth's crust (3.9 nmol g⁻¹) (Sahl et al. 1978) far exceeds that in the mantle (0.02 nmol g⁻¹) (Brooks & Ahrens 1961). Thallium in the Earth's crust tends to be associated with igneous rocks (Sahl et al. 1978), with Tl concentrations being highest in sulfidic ores of copper, lead and zinc, arsenic-rich gold deposits and coal (Murao & Itoh 1992; Percival & Radtke 1993; Leach et al. 1995; Schaub 1996; Peter & Viraraghavan 2005). Exceptionally, minerals such as lorandite and crooksite can contain up to 60% Tl (Kazantzis 2000). Soil erosion, forest fires, and volcanic activity are the predominant means by which metals such as Tl are naturally mobilized into the aquatic environment.

2.2. Industrial sources of thallium

Although the industrial production of Tl is small (10 to 15 metric tonnes year⁻¹ in 1991) compared to that of many trace metals, up to 5000 metric tonnes of Tl year⁻¹ are mobilized worldwide through industrial processes (Schaub 1996). The most important anthropogenic sources of Tl to the environment are the combustion of fossil fuels and the smelting of ferrous and non-ferrous ores (Peter & Viraraghavan 2005). Emissions from coal-fired power-generating and cement plants can contain up to 700 and 2500 μ g m⁻³ of Tl, respectively (Schaub 1996). Thallium-rich dust particles are produced during the smelting of metal-rich sulfidic ores, which allows Tl to be recovered as a by-product of the smelting process. For example, ~0.01 µmol of Tl can be recovered per gram of zinc

sulfide smelted (Nriagu 1998). Thallium is also recovered during the production of sulfuric acid (Nriagu 1998). Additional industrial sources of Tl include petroleum refining, brickworks and the production and use of potash-derived fertilizers (Schaub 1996; Cheam 2001). Thallium has been used for medicinal purposes as a hair-removal agent in the treatment of ringworm of the scalp as well as in the treatment of venereal diseases, tuberculosis and malaria (Schaub 1996; Kazantzis 2000). It has also been used as a rodenticide, an insecticide and a fungicide. Contemporary uses of Tl include the manufacturing of semiconductors, scintillation counters, thermometers, lasers, special types of glasses, fireworks, dyes, and metal alloys that resist corrosion, as well as its use as a catalyzing agent for many organic reactions (LeBlanc & Dean 1984; Schaub 1996; Nriagu 1998; Kazantzis 2000). Wastewaters from such industries represent potential sources of Tl to the environment.

2.3. Thallium concentrations in the abiotic environment

Thallium concentrations reported for various abiotic media are summarized in Table 1. In uncontaminated areas, Tl concentrations in air are usually $< 5 \text{ pmol m}^{-3}$, water concentrations are $< 5 \text{ nmol L}^{-1}$, and those in sediments $< 5 \text{ µmol kg}^{-1}$ (Schaub 1996). In China, high Tl concentrations (up to 171 mmol kg⁻¹; Table 1) have been measured in bedrock and ores from Guizhou province, whereas Tl concentrations in the bedrock of nearby areas is $\leq 1 \text{ µmol kg}^{-1}$ (Xiao et al. 2004). Likewise, soils in Guizhou province had higher Tl concentrations (up to 600 µmol kg⁻¹) than those in the background area ($\leq 2.4 \text{ µmol kg}^{-1}$) (Xiao et al. 2004). Kazantzis (2000) reported a range of 0.5 to 4.9 µmol kg⁻¹ of Tl in soils, with very low levels in garden soils from China (0.05 µmol kg⁻¹) and higher values near metallic ore deposits in Poland (24 µmol kg⁻¹). Values up to 4.9 mmol kg⁻¹ have been measured in shales and coals of the Jurassic period (Smith & Carson 1977).

In sediments from lakes on the Canadian Shield that have been subject to atmospheric deposition from a distant metal smelter, Tl concentrations are $\leq 2 \text{ nmol g}^{-1}$ (Laforte et al. 2005). In sediments collected from harbors in Lake Ontario, Tl concentrations can reach 4.2 nmol g⁻¹ (Borgmann et al. 1998), whereas in sediments from a eutrophic lake in Michigan Tl concentrations up to 100 µmol g⁻¹ have been measured (Mathis & Kevern 1975). In areas in Poland subject to the influence of mining or smelting activities, Tl concentrations as high as 700 µmol g⁻¹ have been reported for river sediments (Lis et al. 2003).

Thallium concentrations of 5.4 μ mol L⁻¹ in ground water from Guizhou province in China are the highest aqueous concentrations reported (Xiao et al. 2004). In surface waters (Table 1), Tl concentrations of up to 2.6 μ mol L⁻¹ have been measured in a French creek receiving acidic water from a mine (Casiot et al. 2011). Laforte et al. (2005) measured concentrations below 0.04 nmol L⁻¹ of Tl in pristine lake water, whereas in waters near power generating stations in Eastern Canada, Tl concentrations can reach 115 nmol L⁻¹ (Cheam et al. 2000). Compared to temperate regions, polar regions are subject to few local sources of contamination. Thus, contaminants in the Arctic and the Antarctic are assumed to derive largely from atmospheric deposition. Thallium concentrations are generally greater in the Arctic than in the Antarctic, likely due to the influence of the Siberian high pressure zone that brings air from Eurasia charged with contaminants to the Arctic (Barrie 1986; Barrie et al. 1992). In the Canadian Arctic, the natural background Tl concentration in snow and ice is <0.0001 pmol g^{-1} (Cheam 2001). However, concentrations up to 0.04 and 0.002 pmol g^{-1} have been reported for the Arctic and the Antarctic, respectively (Table 1; Baiocchi et al. 1994; Cheam 2001).

3. Thallium speciation

3.1. Thallium speciation in water

Dissolved thallium can be found in two oxidation states, Tl(l) and Tl(III). Although TI(I) is predicted to be more thermodynamically stable than TI(III), photo-oxidation reactions and microbial activity, combined with the formation of stable hydroxocomplexes, contribute to the persistence of Tl(III) in surface waters (Horvath et al. 1999; Twining et al. 2003; Li et al. 2005; Karlsson et al. 2006a). Tl(I) is poorly reactive, as are most monovalent cations. Although it is chemically "soft", its poor reactivity results in little complexation by the inorganic ligands commonly found in surface freshwaters; this species of Tl also adsorbs weakly to sediments (Turner et al. 2010). It is thus expected that thallous ions (Tl⁺) will be present in free form in surface freshwaters over a large pH range (5-9; Xiong 2009). In contrast, based on the thermodynamic data recommended by the National Institute of Standards and Technology (Martell et al. 2004), the speciation of Tl(III) in the absence of organic matter would be dominated by the $Tl(OH)_3^0$ species, representing >99% of Tl(III) species present in typical freshwaters over a pH range of environmental pertinence (i.e. 5-9). Interestingly, Lin and Nriagu (1998a,b) proposed a set of hydrolysis constants that are very far from these values (Table 2), which, if used as binding constants, suggest a gradual transition from the $TIOH^{2+}$ to the $TI(OH)_4$ species within a pH range of 5 to 9. Such discrepancies, and the lack of suitable speciation analytical methods for Tl, result in high uncertainties in predicting thallium speciation in freshwaters. Moreover, the extent of Tl(III) complexation by natural organic matter (fulvic and humic acids) is unknown.

Thallium concentrations in aqueous samples can be determined using a variety of techniques (see Chou and Moffat (1998) for a review). The redox state of Tl in natural samples can also be analytically determined (Chou & Moffatt 1998; Lin & Nriagu 1999a; Coetzee et al. 2003; Nolan et al. 2004; Karlsson et al. 2006b; Dadfarnia et al. 2007; Meeravali & Jiang 2008). Such investigations have shown that about 2/3 of the Tl present in the St. Lawrence Great Lakes is present as Tl(III) (Lin & Nriagu 1999b); similar ratios were found by Meeravali and Jiang (2008) in a surface water of Taiwan. However, the work of Karlsson et al. (2006b) has demonstrated the challenges in maintaining sample stability; they reported that Tl(I) was the dominant redox species in the boreal forest Lake Listresjön (Sweden). Similarly, most of the Tl was present as Tl(I) downstream from an abandoned mine in France (Casiot et al. 2011). Since the dynamics of Tl(I) – Tl(III) redox reactions remain to be fully elucidated, it is difficult to predict and to measure Tl partitioning between these two species in natural aquatic systems.

Thallium can also undergo methylation to form $(CH_3)_2Tl^+$. This Tl form was measured in the Atlantic Ocean, peaking at a depth interval of 40-200 m and representing up to 48% of the total Tl present (Schedlbauer & Heumann 1999, 2000). Given that there are no known anthropogenic sources of $(CH_3)_2Tl^+$, the authors suggested that its presence is most likely of biogenic, probably bacterial, origin.

3.2. Thallium speciation in sediments

There have been very few published studies on the behavior of sedimentary Tl, in part because one has to measure Tl in both sediment and interstitial water. Laforte et al. (2005) used porewater peepers to collect interstitial waters from two Canadian lakes: one with oxic overlying waters (L. Tantaré) and the other with anoxic overlying waters (L. Vose). Results from both lakes indicated that sediments act mainly as a sink for Tl, however, in one of the three profiles from the lake having the oxic hypolimnion, sediments were acting as a source of Tl (Laforte et al. 2005). This latter behavior was also observed in Lake Erie (Cheam et al. 1996; Cheam 2001). The removal mechanism of Tl from the water to the sediments is hypothesized to be by co-precipitation with Fe sulfide solids and/or adsorption onto these solids, whereas remobilization would be due to reduction of Tl(III) to the less reactive Tl(I) that would freely diffuse upward to the water column (Laforte et al. 2005).

Another approach for measuring Tl speciation in sediments is to use diffusive equilibria in thin films where the porewater equilibrates with a gel (instead of water, as in the peepers). This was used by Gao et al. (2007) in the sediments of the Leie river on the France-Belgium border. These provided high resolution profiles (down to 5 mm intervals), all indicating a similar pattern as the one observed in Lake Erie with Tl being remobilized as the sediments become anoxic.

4. Thallium bioaccumulation

4.1. Thallium accumulation by aquatic plants

Table 3 summarizes the available information on Tl concentrations in aquatic primary producers, as measured in aqueous media; values are presented in nmol g⁻¹ dry weight (dw), which necessitated the conversion of some data to these units. The reader should refer to the original publications for experimental details. In Table 3 we do not give bioconcentration factors (BCF), which are the ratio between the concentration of metal accumulated inside an organism and the concentration of dissolved metal in the medium. Indeed, BCFs have been developed for organic contaminants for which the uptake responds to a simple concentration gradient (outside \rightarrow inside), but when applied to inorganic elements, they are often inconsistent with toxicological data due to possible saturation of transport systems, uptake inhibition by other ions and metal speciation in solution (McGeer et al. 2003).

Thallium concentrations in phytoplankton and macrophytes have been reported for very few species and most such values were obtained in the laboratory. The only published field study dates back over 35 years and reports high Tl values in primary producers from rivers contaminated by mining activities (Zitko et al. 1975). In most of the laboratory studies, Tl exposure concentrations and Tl speciation were not measured (e.g. the EDTA added to some exposure media would likely influence Tl(III) speciation). Depletion effects due to Tl uptake over time may in some studies have led to underestimates of Tl bioaccumulation. Overall, data on Tl accumulation by primary producers are quite sparse, especially for Tl(III) exposures, which limits our ability to understand mechanisms of Tl uptake by such organisms.

Thallium concentrations measured in phytoplankton and macrophytes span a large range of values and depend on the type of organism (Twiss et al. 2004), the exposure duration (Kwan & Smith 1991), the aqueous concentration of Tl (Kwan & Smith 1988) and the concentration of K^+ in the exposure medium (Twiss et al. 2004; Hassler et al. 2007), as well as ambient pH. The influence of pH on Tl uptake has been studied in several plant species such as *Lemna minor, Chlorella kessleri* and *Scenedesmus obliquus* (Stary et al. 1983; Kwan & Smith 1991) and results suggest that Tl uptake is reduced at low pH, but is unaffected by changes in pH between 6 and 10. Under acidic conditions, reduced Tl uptake may be due to reduced metabolic activity and/or uptake inhibition by protons. However, since Tl(I) only hydrolyses under strongly alkaline conditions, Tl(I) speciation remains largely unchanged at higher, environmentally-relevant, pHs.

Thallium accumulation by primary producers has been shown to be carriermediated. Thus, when Kwan and Smith (1991) exposed *Lemna minor* to Tl(I) concentrations ranging from 0.1 to 100 μ mol L⁻¹, they noticed that Tl uptake was saturable, suggesting that Tl(I) transmembrane transport is by facilitated diffusion. When they compared Tl(I) uptake by *Lemna minor* in the light and the dark, uptake was reduced by 91% in the dark, which suggests that Tl(I) uptake is not by passive diffusion. Similarly, Twiss et al. (2004) measured the uptake of Tl(I) and dimethylthallium (DMT; Tl(CH₃)₂⁺) by the green alga *Chlorella* and the diatom *Stephanodiscus hantzschii* under standard conditions (16°C, 14:10h light:dark cycle). The uptake of Tl(I) by these algae was greater than or equal to the uptake of DMT. Under conditions of reduced metabolic activity (1°C, darkness), the uptake of both forms of Tl was reduced. Although the lower temperature itself may have reduced influx, these results suggest that the uptake of both Tl(I) and DMT requires an active metabolism.

In an attempt to better understand the transport mechanisms responsible for Tl(I) uptake, some investigators have tested the idea that Tl uptake occurs via K⁺ transport systems. Thus Kwan and Smith (1991) exposed *Lemna minor* to Tl(I) and various concentrations of K⁺ ranging from 0.93 to 50 mmol L⁻¹ and found Tl(I) uptake to be inversely proportional to the concentration of K⁺. Similar results were obtained with the freshwater chlorophyte *Chlorella* and the diatom *Stephanodiscus hantzschii* (Twiss et al. 2004; Hassler et al. 2007). The opposite is also true since Tl⁺ is reported to inhibit K⁺ uptake in the cyanobacterium *Synechocystis* (Avery et al. 1991). Interactions between these elements can be explained by the fact that Tl(I) and K⁺ have similar atomic radii,

reactivity and mobility, which would allow Tl(I) to use K⁺-transport channels in the cell membrane. In fact, it has been verified that as much as 90% of Tl(I) uptake is via K⁺ transport systems (Na⁺/K⁺ ATPase-dependent, and Na⁺/K⁺/Cl⁻ co-transport systems) (Brismar 1998). Tl(I) efflux from plant cells is reported to be rapid (Kwan & Smith 1991; Hassler et al. 2007), and this high turnover of Tl(I) inside *Chlorella* and *L. minor* is consistent with the use of K⁺ membrane transporters or channels. Moreover, because of the analogous behavior of Tl(I) and K⁺, it has been suggested that a great proportion of Tl may enter and accumulate within the cell vacuole using K⁺ active transport systems (Kwan & Smith 1991).

Even though DMT uptake was found to be carrier-mediated, this organic form of Tl was not affected by the concentration of K^+ . It has been suggested that DMT may be too large to mimic K^+ ions (Twiss et al. 2004), but the mechanism by which DMT enters cells is unknown.

To investigate the influence of calcium on Tl(I) accumulation, Kwan and Smith (1991) exposed *L. minor* to 1 µmol L⁻¹ Tl(I) and various concentrations of Ca²⁺ (0.3-10 mmol L⁻¹). After a 48-h exposure, Tl uptake was reduced by 40-45% in the high Ca compared to low Ca medium. In contrast, Hassler et al. (2007) reported that a 1000 fold decrease in Ca²⁺ concentration from 0.25 mmol L⁻¹ to 0.25 µmol L⁻¹ did not have a significant effect on Tl(I) accumulation by *Chlorella* sp. Thus, even though an increase in Ca²⁺ can reduce Tl bioaccumulation in some taxa, it does not do so to the extent that K⁺ does. Furthermore, we do not know through what mechanism Ca²⁺might inhibit Tl uptake.

4.2. Thallium accumulation by aquatic animals

Table 4 summarizes the limited information available on Tl concentrations in aquatic animals. Of the large numbers of planktonic and benthic animals that live in fresh and marine waters, Tl concentrations have been measured in only about half a dozen types of invertebrates and in an equal number of fish species. Half of the reported values were measured following exposure to Tl in the laboratory. Although laboratory exposures can be of great value for understanding Tl bioaccumulation and trophic transfer, artificial Tl exposures run the risk of generating values that are not environmentally pertinent. First, whereas field values of Tl in water are reported to be in the tens of picomolar range $(0.01-0.03 \text{ nmol } \text{L}^{-1})$ (Couillard et al. 2008) some laboratory exposures have involved TI concentrations in the hundreds of nanomoles (e.g., Zitko & Carson 1975; Zitko et al. 1975). Exceptionally, dissolved Tl concentrations of tens of nanomoles can be measured in lakes directly downstream from metal smelters (40 nmol L⁻¹; Ponton and Hare, INRS-ETE, unpublished), but these are not the rule. Second, animals in the laboratory are not always fed, or are fed uncontaminated food, and in such cases the contribution of dietary Tl is ignored. A noteworthy exception is the research of Lapointe and Couture (2009; 2010), who measured Tl uptake from both water and food and showed that prey can be an important source of Tl for some fish. Furthermore, Tl is reported to be efficiently transferred from prey to their predators (see following section), which suggests that disregarding food as a Tl source for predators could underestimate Tl accumulation by and effects on these aquatic animals.

The only whole body Tl concentrations reported for wild fish are for an assemblage of marine planktonic fish (0.6 nmol g^{-1}) (Flegal et al. 1986) from the central Pacific Ocean and for lake trout (Salvelinus namaycush; 0.2-12 nmol g⁻¹) (Lin et al. 2001) from Lake Michigan (Table 4). In this lake, Tl concentrations in lake trout were correlated with their weight to age ratio (Lin et al. 2001). Arctic char (Salvelinus alpinus) collected from Lake Hazen (Ellesmere Island, Nunavut, Canada), an area supposedly little impacted by human activities, showed a wide range of muscle Tl concentrations (0.07 to 0.61 nmol g ¹) (Gantner et al. 2009). Muscle Tl concentrations in Northern pike (*Esox lucius*) collected from lakes receiving uranium milling effluents were 4- to 5-fold higher than the concentrations measured in fish collected from uncontaminated lakes in the same area (Kelly & Janz 2009), but in the same range as values measured in Arctic char from a clean environment (Gantner et al. 2009), which suggests that atmospheric deposition in the Arctic may represent an important source of Tl to wild fishes. The highest Tl concentrations reported for fish muscle (470 nmol g⁻¹) were for animals collected from a contaminated area (Table 4; Palermo et al. 1983). Unfortunately, most of the abovementioned studies do not report Tl concentrations in either water or animal stomach contents (Table 4) and thus we cannot explore relationships between Tl concentrations in animals and those in water and their prey, nor can we elaborate on the principal route of exposure for animals.

In an artificial stream, creek chub (Semotilus atromaculatus) and pearl dace (Semotilus margarita) exposed to dilutions of metal mine effluents discharged from mining operations in Sudbury (Ontario, Canada) had Tl concentrations that were correlated to those of aqueous TI; TI concentrations were up to 9- and 19-fold higher in females and males, respectively, that had been exposed to metal mine effluents compared to those from the reference treatment (Table 4; Dube et al. 2006). However, the highest Tl concentrations measured in these two species $(0.04-0.1 \text{ nmol g}^{-1})$ were similar to those at the lower end of the range of concentrations reported for lake trout and Arctic char (Table 4). Thallium accumulation in muscle and liver of juvenile Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar) exposed to aqueous Tl tended to increase with increasing dissolved Tl concentration whereas accumulation in the gills remained constant regardless of exposure concentration (Zitko et al. 1975). For a given water Tl concentration, accumulation was greater in the gills, followed by the liver and the muscle (Zitko et al. 1975). Fathead minnow (Pimephales promelas) larvae exposed to aqueous Tl for up to 21 days readily accumulated Tl and reached body Tl concentrations up to 30 nmol g⁻¹, whereas dietary Tl exposure did not significantly contribute to Tl accumulation (Table 4; Lapointe & Couture 2010). In contrast to larvae, juvenile fathead minnows significantly accumulated Tl from both aqueous and dietary sources (Lapointe & Couture 2009). Interestingly, juveniles had Tl concentrations 40-fold lower than those measured in larvae even though they were exposed to similar levels of aqueous Tl (Table 4).

Considering only data from field studies and realistic laboratory exposures (Table 4) suggests that Tl concentrations in whole animals can range from 0.1 to 30 nmol g^{-1} dry weight depending on: the type of animal (Dumas & Hare 2008), its age (Lapointe &

Couture 2009; Lapointe & Couture 2010), the Tl source (water, sediment, or food), the Tl exposure duration (Couillard et al. 2008), and the Tl and K concentrations in the exposure media (Borgmann et al. 1998).

We suggest that to better understand Tl exposure in nature, more Tl measurements are needed in a variety of aquatic animals from various types of lakes and rivers situated in different geographical regions. That Tl concentrations can vary by orders of magnitude in a given type of animal is exemplified by data for larvae of sediment-dwelling *Chironomus*; Tl concentrations in this insect varied from 4.7 to 0.04 nmol g⁻¹ in 14 lakes located along a Tl gradient downstream from a metals smelter in western Quebec, Canada (Proulx and Hare, INRS-ETE, unpublished). Measurement of Tl in animals should ideally be accompanied by Tl measurements in water, sediments and gut contents so that relationships can be established between Tl exposure and Tl bioaccumulation, and so that prospective sentinels of Tl exposure can be tested. Estimates of metal exposure are a key part of ecological risk assessments, and measurements of metals in aquatic animals to be used as sentinels are effective for this purpose (e.g. Hare et al. 2008).

5. Thallium transfer along aquatic food chains

The ease with which elements such as Tl are transferred from one trophic level to the next is represented by their assimilation efficiency. This variable is a key component of biodynamic models, which have been used to describe changes over time in an animal's trace element concentrations (Thomann 1981; Munger et al. 1999; Luoma & Rainbow 2005). Other food-related components of biodynamic models include the trace element concentration in an animal's food and the animal's ingestion rate. Trace elements vary widely in the efficiency with which they are assimilated, ranging from values close to 0% for americium to values approaching 100% for selenium (Reinfelder & Fisher 1994; Wang & Fisher 1999). For a given trace element, its assimilation efficiency depends on several factors including the availability of the element in the food particles and the digestive physiology of the consumer.

The few published studies describing Tl movements along aquatic food chains suggest that fresh water invertebrates and fish assimilate from one-fifth to three-quarters of the Tl present in their food (Figure 1). Efforts to understand these generally high assimilation efficiencies, as well as to explain differences among predators eating the same type of prey and for the same predator consuming different prey types, have centered on the use of fractionation techniques to measure the distribution of Tl among prey cell fractions. From these measurements one can deduce the likelihood that Tl will be available for uptake in a predator's gut, and subsequent measurements of Tl assimilation by the predator can be used to validate these predictions.

Results using such fractionation techniques suggest that Tl is generally found in the cytosol of prey, as opposed to being associated with cell membranes from which Tl is less readily assimilated in the gut of a consumer having a rapid gut passage time. For example, fully 85% of the Tl in the floating aquatic plant *Lemna minor* is in the cytosol

(Smith & Kwan 1989), and comparable values for animal cells range from 64% in the insect *Chironomus riparius* (Dumas & Hare 2008), 45% in the crustacean *Daphnia magna*, 29% in the oligochaete *Tubifex tubifex* (Dumas & Hare 2008), to 25-35% in the minnow *Pimephales promelas* (Lapointe et al. 2009). Wallace and Luoma (2003) reported that consumers having a somewhat slower gut passage time could also assimilate metals associated with the cell organelles of their prey. Thus, they proposed that the sum of the trace metals in the cytosol and organelle fractions be referred to as trophically available metal (TAM). Reported values for trophically available T1 in aquatic animals range from 50% in *T. tubifex* and *D. magna* to 75% in *C. riparius* (Dumas & Hare 2008; Lapointe et al. 2009).

In *P. promelas* larvae younger than 21 days, prey did not constitute a significant source of Tl (Lapointe & Couture 2010). In contrast, dietary Tl assimilation in juveniles of this species was significant, with assimilation efficiencies varying from 17 to 70%, likely due to the wide range in their prey Tl concentrations (Figure 1, Lapointe & Couture 2009; Lapointe et al. 2009). Subcellular Tl partitioning in invertebrate prey did not influence Tl uptake by older fish (Lapointe et al. 2009).

Figure 2 compares, for three prey types (the oligochaete T. tubifex, the crustacean D. magna, and the dipteran insect C. riparius), the proportions of Tl that are potentially available for assimilation by a predator (TAM), to actual assimilation efficiencies measured for two aquatic predators, the alderfly Sialis velata (Megaloptera) and the minnow P. prometas. The values in Figure 2 are aligned along the one-to-one regression line, which suggests that measurements of prey Tl availability can be useful for predicting Tl assimilation by predators. However, a closer look at the data reveals that for a given predator there is no relationship between Tl assimilation efficiency and TAM. Thus, whereas the insect assimilated Tl with equal efficiency from two prey types, the values of TAM for C. riparius were 50% higher than those for T. tubifex (Dumas & Hare 2008). In contrast, prey consumed by the fish had the same %TAM, whereas the fish assimilated Tl much more readily from the crustacean D. magna (Lapointe et al. 2009). The authors of these studies provide possible explanations for these deviations from theoretical expectations. For example, Lapointe et al. (2009) report that differences in prey Tl concentrations can influence Tl assimilation efficiencies in fish. Furthermore, in both of the studies cited above, the authors note that a large proportion of prey Tl was found in a poorly-defined "debris" fraction, the availability of which is unknown. Thus, a better definition of what constitutes trophically available metal (TAM; Wallace & Luoma 2003) and characterization of the debris fraction that probably includes some TAM could improve prediction of trophic transfer from TAM (Dumas & Hare 2008).

The only metal source for aquatic birds is their diet. Thallium accumulation was examined in the liver and kidney of five species of dabbling ducks and three species of diving ducks in Japan (Mochizuki et al. 2005). Organ concentrations ranged between 0.0049 and 0.14 μ mol g⁻¹ dry weight and were about four times higher in dabbling compared to diving ducks. This difference in Tl concentrations could be due to differences in Tl concentrations in their prey (invertebrates and small fish) since dabbling

ducks feeding in shallow areas whereas diving ducks collect food in deeper parts of the same water bodies.

We conclude that the few available measurements of Tl transfer along aquatic food chains need to be supplemented with measurements of Tl trophic transfer for a greater variety of predators and their prey as well as measurements of Tl assimilation by consumers feeding on suspended (algae) and deposited particles. Lastly, Tl entry and loss rates from animals should be measured so that this information can be used in biodynamic models that would permit predictions of changes in Tl concentrations in animals over time and among animals living in a variety of aquatic systems.

6. Thallium toxicity to aquatic organisms

6.1. Thallium speciation and thallium toxicity

As suggested by the Biotic Ligand Model (BLM), toxicity will vary according to the concentration of the free metal ion in solution (Campbell 1995; Di Toro et al. 2001; Campbell et al. 2002). If the applicability of the BLM to divalent cations is well accepted in the scientific community, its applicability to trivalent metals is less well documented. Trivalent metals in natural systems are usually present predominantly as hydroxo-complexes and the proportion of the total metal present as the free metal ion is often extremely low. When Tl toxicity is expressed as a function of the free metal ion (Tl⁺ and Tl³⁺), Tl(III) is observed to be more toxic than Tl(I). Indeed, Ralph and Twiss (2002) found that Tl³⁺ was 50,000 times more toxic to the alga *Chlorella* than was Tl⁺. However, based on the total concentration, much more Tl(III) than Tl(I) is required to induce the same growth inhibition. This is due to strong Tl(III) complexation on the one hand, and weak Tl(I) complexation on the other hand. For these reasons, the redox species present in water must be taken into account when assessing Tl toxicity.

As mentioned earlier, in most of the laboratory studies, Tl exposure concentrations and Tl speciation are not measured. Complex growth and exposure media are frequently used and these often contain organic ligands such as EDTA. However, the speciation of Tl(III) in the presence of these simple monomeric organic ligands is uncertain due to the scarcity of thermodynamic data (e.g., log K values for Tl-EDTA⁺ range from 10^{24} to 10^{38}) (Powell 2001). But as explained above (cf. section 3), Tl(I) has a relatively poor binding affinity to ligands such as EDTA (log K Tl-EDTA³⁻ = 7.3) compared to other metals (e.g. log K Cu-EDTA²⁻ = 20.5). Indeed, in their experiment with the marine diatom *Ditylum brightwellii*, Canterford and Canterford (1980) found that changes in EDTA concentrations from 0.67 to 10 μ M had a marginal effect on Tl(I) toxicity. Similar results were obtained by Lustigman et al. (2000). We did not, however, find any study that tested the influence of EDTA concentration on Tl(III) toxicity but it can be expected that Tl(III) speciation would be much more sensitive to the presence of carboxylic acids than Tl(I).

6.2. Thallium toxicity to bacteria, fungi and primary producers

Table 5 summarizes the available information on the toxicity of Tl to bacteria, diatoms, phytoplankton and macrophytes. The limited information available suggests that, in general, bacteria and acidophilic algae, closely followed by cyanobacteria, are more tolerant to Tl than are green algae and macrophytes. For example, the chlorophyte *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* was found to be more sensitive to Tl than the cyanobacterium *Anacystis nidulans* (Lustigman et al. 2000). This difference in sensitivity may be due to differences in the physiology of prokaryotic versus eukaryotic microorganisms. These organisms may also differ in their ability to exclude, detoxify or acclimate to the presence of Tl (Lustigman et al. 2000).

As mentioned earlier, K^+ and TI^+ ions are biogeochemical analogues and the presence of K^+ ions will influence not only the uptake, but also the toxicity of Tl. For example, Avery et al. (1991) showed that the concentration of intracellular K^+ in the cyanobacterium *Synechocystis* was significantly reduced following exposure to Tl(I). They proposed that the replacement of K^+ ions by TI^+ ions at the activation site of the enzyme (Na⁺/K⁺) ATPase prevents Na⁺ from leaving and K⁺ from entering the cell. In fact, the loss of cellular K⁺, an essential element involved in photosynthesis, was identified as one of the main mechanisms responsible for Tl(I) toxicity.

Similarly, K^+ ions can alleviate TI^+ toxicity. For example, Hassler et al. (2007) showed that, when *Chlorella* was exposed to a fixed Tl(I) concentration, its specific growth rate was inhibited at a K^+ concentration of 0.5 µmol L⁻¹, whereas it was not or only little affected in treatments with more than 10 µmol L⁻¹ K⁺. In this study, EC_x values increased linearly as a function of the [K⁺] in solution confirming the existence of a protective effect of K⁺ by decreasing Tl⁺ access to sensitive biological sites. Similar results were obtained by Tuovinen and Kelly (1974) with acidophilic *Thiobacillus ferrooxidans*. They showed that Tl inhibited growth at a concentration of 0.1 mmol L⁻¹ in a "potassium-free" medium, while it was not toxic at 1 mmol L⁻¹ in a potassium-rich medium (4.6 mmol L⁻¹ K⁺). In fact, Tl toxicity can be expressed using the [K] to [TI] ratio. No growth inhibition of *Chlorella* was observed at log [K]:[TI] > 1.8 (Hassler et al. 2007) when most of the biotic ligands were occupied by K. The onset of Tl(I) toxicity occurred at log [K]:[TI] < 1.6, suggesting that [TI] become sufficiently high to outcompete K for binding at the biotic ligand. These results suggest that the ratio of [K] to [TI] could be considered in the establishment of water quality guidelines.

In experiments using *Chlorella vulgaris*, Tl(I) was more toxic to this alga than were Zn, Cd, Al, In, Se and As, as toxic as Cu, and almost as toxic as Hg (den Dooren de Jong 1965). In experiments with the bacteria *Azotobacter chroococcum* (I and IV) and *A. vinelandii*, Tl(I) toxicity exceeded those of most other metals tested including Hg (den Dooren de Jong 1971). Likewise, Brown and Rattigan (1979) showed that Tl(I) was more toxic to the macrophytes *Lemna minor* and *Elodea canadensis* than were Cd, Cu, As, Ag, Ni, Hg, Pb, and Zn. Smith and Kwan (1989) showed that the EC50 value for Tl toxicity to *Lemna minor* (0.2 μ mol L⁻¹) was lower than those of Cr, Ba, Cd, and Cu (75, 59, 1.7, and 1.3 μ mol L⁻¹, respectively). In a more recent study, Naumann et al. (2007) used many

endpoints (frond number, fresh weight, dry weight, chlorophyll and carotenoid content) to compare the toxicity of various metals to *Lemna minor*. All parameters indicated that Tl(I) ranked fourth in toxicity after Ag, Cd and Hg. It should be noted that all of these comparisons were made on the basis of total nominal aqueous trace element concentrations. Growth media used for toxicity tests using bacteria, algae and macrophytes usually contain high concentrations of nutrients such as phosphate, which may lead to metal precipitation, especially at the high metal concentrations needed to inhibit growth. Also, micronutrients such as Fe are buffered with a strong complexing agent (e.g. EDTA or citric acid). These metal buffers bind strongly to divalent and trivalent metals and will thus reduce the bioavailability of most of the tested metals. It follows then that, although the exact speciation of TI in these experiments is unknown, it can be anticipated that the proportion of free TI⁺ ion is high while the proportion of the other free metal ions is low. The relative toxicity of Tl(I) with respect to other metals is thus most likely overestimated in these experiments.

The marine diatom *Ditylum brightwellii* was also tested for its sensitivity to various metals including thallium (Canterford & Canterford 1980). In these experiments, the free metal ion concentrations were estimated using a thermodynamic model. Based on these calculated free metal ion concentrations, Tl(I) was the least toxic metal (i.e. $Hg^{2+}>Ag^{+}>$ $Cu^{2+} Pb^{2+} Cd^{2+} Zn^{2+} Tl^+$). Interestingly, similar results were obtained with the marine algae Vibrio fisheri (Hsieh et al. 2004). In this study, thallium was also concluded to be the least toxic compared to the 13 other trace elements tested (Be, Cu, Ag, Cr(VI), Hg, Cd, Zn, Se, Cr(III), Ni, Sb, Pb, As). However, it was not indicated whether the exposure medium used, consisting of commercial Microtox® chronic test reagent, contained EDTA or not. Based on these few experiments in which Tl(I) speciation was estimated, it seems that this thallium redox state is not very toxic. For an equivalent aqueous concentration, the trivalent Tl^{3+} ion seems much more toxic than the monovalent TI^+ but the trivalent ion represents an extremely low proportion of the total dissolved TI such that actual $[Tl^{3+}]$ are expected to be much lower than $[Tl^{+}]$ in aquatic ecosystems. Indeed, redox speciation measurements (see section 3.1 above) have indicated that Tl(I) is either the dominant Tl species or may represent a significant proportion of total Tl, which suggests that toxicity due to Tl(I) is of greater concern than that due to Tl(III).

6.3. Thallium toxicity to aquatic invertebrates

Thallium toxicity to aquatic invertebrates has only been tested on rotifers and crustaceans. In a 4-day test using the rotifer *Brachionus calyciflorus* cultured in water from Lake Erie, Hassler et al. (2007) showed that K effectively inhibited Tl toxicity at K to Tl molar ratios above ~250, regardless of the Tl concentration used. Likewise, Borgmann et al. (1998) reported that Tl was less toxic in the presence of K, as measured by either the survival or growth of the amphipod crustacean *Hyalella azteca*. In artificial medium lacking K, the LC₂₅ for survival (over 4 weeks) and the EC₂₅ value for growth (over 6 weeks) of *H. azteca* were 12 and 9 nmol L⁻¹, respectively. Values for these parameters were higher in Lake Ontario tap water containing K (Table 6). These authors also ranked six trace metals with respect to their toxicity to this amphipod and found that

in water Tl was less toxic than Cd or Hg, was as toxic as Pb, and was more toxic than Cu, Ni or Zn. Thallium toxicity to planktonic crustaceans exposed to this metal in water is reported in Table 4. In these studies, the values of K in exposure water are not given and the feeding regime used is not always specified. Lin et al. (2005) compared the acute (48 hour) toxicities of Tl and other trace elements to the cladocerans Daphnia magna and Ceriodaphnia dubia. They found that Tl was more toxic than Cd, Ni and Pb but less toxic than Cu, Hg and Zn, which differs somewhat from the results obtained for the benthic crustacean H. azteca (Borgmann et al. 1998). Furthermore, they reported that C. dubia was 2.5 times more sensitive to Tl than was D. magna. Lan and Lin (2005) suggest that the toxicity of Tl to D. magna depends on its redox state; thus they found Tl(III) was more acutely toxic to this crustacean than was Tl(I). This result underlines the importance of considering Tl redox speciation in toxicity tests, which is rarely done. Overall, the results of this small number of laboratory studies suggest that TI toxicity in water is likely to vary with K concentration. Tl redox state, and the type of invertebrate being tested. There have been no published studies comparing Tl toxicity when this metal is in water or in an invertebrate's food or in both of these Tl sources.

6.4. Thallium toxicity to fish

Early life stages of fish have been identified as the most susceptible to the toxic effects of many contaminants (Macek and Sleight 1977; McKim 1977). The lowest dissolved Tl concentration for which toxic effects have been reported is 0.15 μ mol L⁻¹ for juvenile Atlantic salmon (Table 6; Zitko et al. 1975). Results of this study also suggested that Tl is as acutely toxic as copper, and that the toxicities of Tl-copper or Tl-zinc mixtures were not additive. At a similar level of exposure (0.2 μ mol L⁻¹), LeBlanc and Dean (1984) observed a decreased survival (60%) in fathead minnow larvae (Table 6). However, embryos showed a lower sensitivity to Tl as they were not affected when exposed to dissolved Tl concentrations as high as 0.98 μ mol L⁻¹ (Table 6; LeBlanc & Dean 1984). In contrast, lethal Tl concentrations for 50% mortality ranging from 20.9 to 294 μ mol L⁻¹ were reported for fish species such as roach, perch and rainbow trout (Table 6; Nehring 1962; Pickard et al. 2001).

Although fathead minnow embryos and larvae readily accumulated Tl from the aqueous phase, Tl concentrations up to 4.4 nmol L⁻¹ had no effect on time to hatch, embryo survival, larvae routine metabolic rate and the activity of three enzymes (cytochrome c oxidase, lactate dehydrogenase, nucleoside diphosphate kinase; Lapointe & Couture 2010). However, juvenile fathead minnows fed Tl-contaminated *Daphnia magna* showed lower glutathione s transferase (GST) and nucleoside diphosphate kinase (NDPK) activity levels compared to fish fed uncontaminated prey (Lapointe et al. 2009). Although Tl can inhibit the glutathione-dependent antioxidant defense system in the rat brain (Hanzel et al. 2005), this was the first report of such an effect on fish. The effect of Tl on NDPK, an indicator of biosynthetic capacities, suggests that Tl might impair the growth of juvenile fathead minnows fed Tl-contaminated *D. magna*. These reported effects of Tl on indicators of metabolic capacities highlight the importance of considering dietary exposure to Tl in fish.

There have been no published studies of Tl toxicity to piscivorous fish, birds or mammals. Lin et al. (2001) estimated that the concentrations of Tl measured in lake trout from Lake Michigan (Table 4) could be toxic to humans who consume large quantities of this popular sport fish.

6.5. Mechanisms of thallium toxicity

Little is known about the toxic modes of action of Tl in living cells, although several mechanisms have been proposed based on experimental evidence mostly from the mammalian literature. Toxicity appears in good part due to the chemical similarity between Tl and K and the inability of cells to differentiate between these two metals (Galvan-Arzate & Santamaria 1998). As a result, Tl competes for uptake through the Na/K ATPase port. Given the high capacity of this membrane transporter to accumulate elevated concentrations of K, there is a strong potential for cells to accumulate Tl, which can then competitively interfere with any K-dependent physiological processes. For instance, Tl can replace K as an activating cofactor of enzymes such as pyruvate kinase and aldehyde dehydrogenase. Thallium can also replace the K used for ribosome stabilization and muscle contraction (Douglas et al. 1990, cited by Galvan-Arzate and Santamaria 1998). At high concentrations, Tl has been shown to cause swelling of mitochondria in mammalian axons. Thallium is preferentially accumulated by some organelles such as mitochondria. The little evidence available (reviewed in Galvan-Arzate & Santamaria 1998) suggests that toxic modes of action could involve membrane damage through phospholipid oxidation of mitochondria and of the endoplasmic reticulum, which would in turn affect membrane-bound physiological processes, such as ATPase activity. Furthermore, Tl may also affect membrane function through modifications of bilayer fluidity and phospholipid hydration, leading to increased permeabilization (Villaverde & Verstraeten 2003). The high affinity of Tl for sulfhydryl groups in enzymes and other molecules has also been invoked as a cause of toxicity (Aoyama et al. 1988; cited in Galvan-Arzate & Santamaria 1998). In mammals, Tl oxidizes the antioxidant GSH and inhibits glutathione peroxidase; inhibition of the antioxidant system may be one important mode of toxic action for Tl (Hanzel et al. 2005). This mechanism has also been observed in fish (Lapointe et al. 2009).

7. Knowledge gaps concerning thallium in aquatic ecosystems

- a. <u>Thallium redox speciation</u>: No data are available on the kinetics of redox reactions of (TI(I)/TI(III)) in natural waters or the role that organic matter does or does not play in these reactions. The applicability of the TI(I)/(III) separation technique remains to be demonstrated at environmentally realistic Tl concentrations.
- b. <u>Thallium-calcium interactions</u>: Although Ca²⁺ has been reported to decrease Tl toxicity to some organisms, the mechanism for this phenomenon has not been identified. Given the wide variations in aqueous Ca concentrations in natural waters, the protective role of Ca from Tl toxicity should be investigated further.
- c. <u>Thallium complexation</u>: There is currently no known analytical method to determine the free Tl concentrations in aqueous media. Also, thermodynamic data for Tl(III) are scarce and incoherent. Speciation calculations in the presence of simple monomeric organic ligands such as EDTA (commonly used in toxicity tests to buffer micronutrients in solution) are not possible. The extent of Tl complexation by natural organic matter also requires investigation. Incorporation of Tl(I and III) data into metal speciation models such as WHAM or NICA-DONNAN is necessary.
- d. <u>Thallium concentrations in field organisms</u>: There are few published measurements of Tl in aquatic organisms living along Tl gradients in the field (e.g., in lakes downwind from coal-fired power plants or metal smelters). Such data would permit comparisons among organisms living in different kinds of habitats (plankton, nekton, benthos) and from different taxonomic groups (e.g., oligochaetes, crustaceans, insects, fish), as well as helping to identify those that would be useful candidates as sentinels of Tl exposure for use in ecological risk assessments.
- e. <u>Thallium in the cells of organisms</u>: Nothing is known about the subcellular distribution of Tl in wild aquatic organisms. Methods to study subcellular metal distributions need refining so that the potential for toxicity and trophic transfer can be better predicted.
- f. <u>Thallium uptake and loss rates by aquatic plants and animals</u>: Such information is lacking but is important for use in dynamic bioaccumulation models. Likewise, the relative importance of water and food as Tl sources for aquatic animals is poorly understood yet is necessary for modeling Tl exchange between the animal and its surroundings. If food is indeed an important Tl source for animals, then measurements of Tl transfer along food chains would be useful for explaining Tl concentrations in top consumers.
- g. <u>Thallium toxicity</u>: Although there is a fair amount of data available on the toxicity of Tl(I) in the laboratory, many are anecdotal and almost all are based on nominal concentrations with no monitoring of actual [TI] in exposure media. Measurements of sublethal endpoints are particularly scarce. Field measurements of Tl toxicity are lacking.

Table 1.	Thallium	concentrations	reported in	various	abiotic	environmental	compartments.

Compartment	Sampling sites	Concentration range (number of values)	Reference	Analytical methods
Sediment	Lake Ontario (Canada)	0.53-4.2 nmol g ⁻¹ (4)	(Borgmann et al. 1998)	Nitric acid and hydrogen peroxide partial digestion / Laser- Excited Atomic Fluorescence Spectrometric (LEAFS) methods (bioavailable Tl)
	Deûle canal (France)			
	-reference soils	1.2-1.6 μmol kg ⁻¹	(Sterckeman et al. 2002)	
	-contaminated sediments near smelter	1.8-1111 μmol kg ⁻¹	(Boughriet et al. 2007)	
	Lakes near coal mines and coal-based electrical generating stations (western Canada)	0.001-0.02 μmol g ⁻¹ (32)	(Cheam et al. 2000)	Cold dissolution / LEAFS (total Tl)
	Lake Tantaré, Canada (surface to 25 cm) Lake Vose, Canada (surface to 25 cm)	0.66-1.89 nmol g^{-1} (3) 0.59-1.53 nmol g^{-1} (3)	(Laforte et al. 2005)	Total digestion / Inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS)
	Poland (streams and rivers)	0.0077-0.72 mmol g ⁻¹ (19)	(Lis et al. 2003)	Differential-pulse adsorptive stripping voltammetry technique
	Wintergreen Lake (USA)	$0.01-0.11 \text{ mmol g}^{-1}$	(Mathis & Kevern 1975)	Atomic absorption spectrometry
Sediment	USA:		(Cheam et al. 1998)	Cold dissolution / LEAFS (total
(Reference	-Buffalo River (NIST 2704)	5.5±0.5 nmol g ⁻¹ (n≥4)		Tl)
material number)	-Indiana Harbor canal (NBS 1645) Japan:	6.3±0.4		
	-Ibaraki stream composite (JSd-1)	1.7±0.2		
	-Lake Biwa, Japan (JLK-1) Ontario, Canada	7.4±0.7		
	-Lake Ontario (WQB-1)	4.5±0.4		
	-Lake Ontario, blend (WQB-3)	4.9±0.2		
	-Toronto harbor -1 (TH-1)	3.0±0.3		
	-Toronto harbor -2 (TH-2)	3.4±0.8		
	-Humber River (HR-1)	2.3±0.4		
	-Sudbury (SUD-1)	2.4±0.1		
	-Hamilton harbor (EC-1)	12.6±1.4		
	-Niagara River (EC-3)	5.2±0.4		
	-Lake St. Clair (EC-7)	3.1±0.6		

Pore waters	Great lakes and other sampling sites	0.5-1043 pmol L ⁻¹ (432)	(Cheam 2001)	LEAFS (dissolved Tl)
	Lake Vose	$5.5\pm0.4 \text{ pmol } \text{L}^{-1}(3)$	(Laforte et al. 2005)	ICP-MS (dissolved Tl)
Overlying	Lake Tantaré	$38 \pm 0.3 \text{ pmol } \text{L}^{-1}(3)$	(Laforte et al. 2005)	ICP-MS (dissolved Tl)
water	Lake Vose	$19 \pm 4 \text{ pmol } \text{L}^{-1}(3)$		
Water	Lake Superior	4.4-6.8 pmol L ⁻¹ (26)	(Cheam et al. 1995)	LEAFS (dissolved Tl)
	Lake Erie	$0.03-0.06 \text{ nmol } L^{-1}$ (18)		
	Lake Ontario	0.02-0.04 nmol L ⁻¹ (47)		
	Hamilton Harbour	$0.11-0.18 \text{ nmol } L^{-1}(8)$		LEAFS (total Tl)
	Lake Erie	0.03-0.07 nmol L ⁻¹ (18)		
	Lake Ontario	$0.025 - 0.043 \text{ nmol } \text{L}^{-1}$ (18)		
	Hamilton Harbour	$0.12-0.24 \text{ nmol } L^{-1}(8)$		
	Lake Ontario	$0.024-0.034 \text{ nmol } \text{L}^{-1}(18)$	(Cheam et al. 1996)	LEAFS (dissolved Tl)
		0.026-0.040 nmol L ⁻¹ (18)		LEAFS (total Tl)
	Lake Erie	$0.014-0.053 \text{ nmol } \text{L}^{-1}$ (24)	(Lin & Nriagu 1999b)	Graphite furnace atomic
	Lake Michigan	$0.04-0.09 \text{ nmol } L^{-1}(22)$		absorption spectrometer
	Lake Huron	$0.013-0.088 \text{ nmol } \text{L}^{-1}(19)$		(GFAAS) (dissolved Tl)
	Lake Michigan	$0.047-0.094 \text{ nmol } \text{L}^{-1}(22)$		GFAAS (total Tl)
	Lake Huron	$0.015-0.090 \text{ nmol } \text{L}^{-1}(19)$		
	Lake Superior	$0.007\pm0.001 \text{ nmol } \text{L}^{-1}(1)$	(Field & Sherrell 2003)	Desolvating micronebulization and HR-ICP-MS
	Western Canadian coal mine waters	0.001-6.5 nmol L ⁻¹ (97)	(Cheam et al. 2000)	LEAFS
	Western Canadian generating station	$0.05-740 \text{ pmol } \text{L}^{-1}(80)$		
	(GS) waters			
	Eastern Canadian coal mine waters	0.0007-3.5 nmol L ⁻¹ (26)		
	Eastern and central Ontario GS waters	$0.002-116 \text{ nmol } L^{-1} (43)$		
	Miscellaneous sites across Canada	$0-21.5 \text{ nmol } L^{-1}(253)$	(Cheam 2001)	LEAFS
	Lake Övre Skärsjön (Sweden)	36-44 pmol L ⁻¹ (3)	(Grahn et al. 2006a;	ICP-MS
	Lake Stensjön	22-39 pmol L ⁻¹ (6)	Grahn et al. 2006b)	
	Lake Tväringen	24-31 pmol L^{-1} (3)		
	Lake Remmarsjön	32-39 pmol L^{-1} (3)		
	Lake Listresjön	59 pmol $L^{-1}(1)$	(Karlsson et al. 2006b)	ICP-MS
	Surface water from Taiwan	$117 \pm 1 \text{ pmol } L^{-1}(1)$	(Meeravali & Jiang 2008)	Sequential mixed-micelle cloud point extraction with ICP-MS
	China, Guizhou province		(Xiao et al. 2004)	ICP-MS
	Lanmuchang area			
	Deep groundwater	0.064-5.382 μmol L ⁻¹ (5)		
	Shallow groundwater	0.024-3.67 pmol L ⁻¹ (15)		

	Well water	0.049-1.859 pmol L^{-1} (5)		
	Background groundwater	$<0.0024 \text{ pmol } I^{-1}(2)$		
	Stream water (base regime)	$0.0024 \text{ pmol } L^{-1}(12)$		
	Stream water (flood regime)	$0.3-22.0 \text{ pmol } \text{L}^{-1}(12)$		
	Vanshang area	0.5-22.0 IIII0I L (12)		
	Groundwater	0.03-0.47 pmol L ⁻¹ (7)		
	France rivers influenced by acid mine	0.05-0.47 philot L (7)		
	drainage:			
	-Reigous Creek	20-235 nmol I^{-1} (46)	(Casiot et al. 2009)	ICP-MS
	-Arnous River (upstream)	$0.05-0.78 \text{ nmol } \text{L}^{-1}(18)$	(Casiot et al. 2009)	
	-Arnous River (downstream)	$1-10 \text{ nmol } \text{I}^{-1}(45)$		
	-Reigous Creek	$0.0001-2.6 \text{ µmol } \text{L}^{-1}(203)$	(Carriet at al. 2011)	ICD MC
	-Arnous River (upstream)	$0.05-0.83 \text{ nmol } L^{-1}(23)$	(Casiot et al. 2011)	ICP-IVIS
	-Arnous River (downstream)	$0.2-26.6 \text{ nmol } L^{-1}(113)$		
	-Gardon River	$0.15-0.63 \text{ nmol } L^{-1}(9)$		
Snow and ice	Canadian Arctic (Agassiz ice cap)			
	-fresh snow	$0.0015-0.0044 \text{ pmol g}^{-1}$	(Cheam et al. 1998)	LEAFS (total Tl)
	-surface samples	$0.0015 - 0.0055 \text{ pmol g}^{-1}$	× , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
	-subsurface samples	$0.0001-0.0045 \text{ pmol g}^{-1}$	* Unpublished data	
	* Snow and ice	* up to 0.04 pmol g^{-1}	reported in Cheam (2001)	
	Canadian Arctic (Ellesmere Island)	0.0064-0.0108 pmol g ⁻¹ (6)	(Sturgeon et al. 1993)	ETV-ICPMS
	Antarctica (Terra Nova)	0.0009-0.0022 pmol g ⁻¹	(Baiocchi et al. 1994)	
		(sample contamination)		
Seawater	Pacific	58-77 pmol kg ⁻¹ (18)	(Flegal & Patterson 1985)	Thermal ionization isotope-
	Atlantic	59-80 pmol kg ⁻¹ (6)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	dilution mass spectrometry
				(dithizone-chloroform extraction)
				(total Tl)
	Ross Sea (Antartica)	22-25 pmol L^{-1}	(Turetta et al. 2010)	HR-ICP-MS
Air	City center		(Hrsak et al. 2003)	Flame atomic absorption
(suspended	-1998	0-0.09 nmol m ⁻³ (156)		spectrometry
particulate	-1999	$0-0.01 \text{ nmol m}^{-3}(192)$		
matter)	-2000	$0-0.01 \text{ nmol m}^{-3}(185)$		
	Residential sector			
	-1998	$0-0.02 \text{ nmol m}^{-3}(194)$		
	-1999	$0-0.03 \text{ nmol m}^{-3}(327)$		
	-2000	0-0.04 nmol m ⁻³ (363)		

	Bulk deposition		(Matschullat et al. 2000)	Particle-induced X-ray emission
	-Erzgebirge	$0.049-0.587 \text{ pmol m}^{-3}(16)$		analysis + atomic absorption
	-Kola	$<0.0001 \ \mu mol m^{-3}(16)$		spectrometry
Soils	Poland:	• • • •	(Lis et al. 2003)	Differential-pulse adsorptive
	-top soil	0.2-145.8 μmol kg ⁻¹ (59)		stripping voltammetry technique
	-deep soil	$0.1-171.7 \mu mol kg^{-1}$ (59)		
	China, Guizhou province:		(Xiao et al. 2004)	ICP-MS
	Lanmuchang area			
	-Mine area	$0.2-0.6 \text{ mmol kg}^{-1}$ (10)		
	-Natural slope wash materials	$0.11-0.14 \text{ mmol kg}^{-1}$ (2)		
	-Alluvial deposited soil	$0.07-0.30 \text{ mmol kg}^{-1}$ (12)		
	-Undisturbed natural soil	7-34 μ mol kg ⁻¹ (5)		
	-Background area	$<0.98-2.45 \ \mu mol \ kg^{-1}$ (3)		
	<u>Yanshang area</u>			
	-Natural soils	4.4-6.8 μmol kg ⁻¹ (6)		
Ores / Rock	China, Guizhou province:		(Xiao et al. 2004)	ICP-MS
	Bedrock in background area	0.29-0.98 μmol kg ⁻¹ (3)		
	Lanmuchang area			
	-Sulfide ores	$0.5-171.2 \text{ mmol kg}^{-1}(9)$		
	-Coals	$0.06-0.22 \text{ mmol kg}^{-1}(2)$		
	-Secondary minerals	$0.12-5.38 \text{ mmol kg}^{-1}(3)$		
	-Mine wastes	$0.16-12.72 \text{ mmol kg}^{-1}(3)$		
	-Altered host rocks	$0.19-2.40 \text{ mmol kg}^{-1}$ (6)		
	-Outcropping rocks	$0.03-1.62 \text{ mmol kg}^{-1}(6)$		
	<u>Yanshang area</u>			
	-Gold ores	$1-78 \ \mu mol \ kg^{-1}$ (7)		
	-Coals	1.5-41.1 μ mol kg ⁻¹ (4)		

Table 2. Formation constants of Tl(III) with hydroxide and chloride ions.

	Log β	Log β
	NIST (2004)	Lin and Nriagu
Species		(1998b, a)
TlOH ²⁺	13.4	11.31
$Tl(OH)_2^+$	26.6	18.95
Tl(OH) ₃	38.7	25.53
Tl(OH) ₄	41.0	30.75
$TlCl^{2+}$	7.72	8.14
TlCl_2^+	13.48	13.60
TlCl ₃	16.48	15.78
TlCl ₄	18.29	18.00

Table 3. Thallium (I) concentrations reported in aquatic plants.	

Group	Sub-group	Taxon	[Tl] organism nmol g ⁻¹ dw	[Tl] water nmol L ⁻¹	Medium; lab or field	Exposure time (d)	Reference
Bacillariophyta	Thalassiosirales	Stephanodiscus, Hantzschii	7.4	2.75	freshwater; lab	3	(Twiss et al. 2004)
Chlorophyta	Chlorellales	Chlorella sp.	280	2.75	freshwater; lab	3	(Twiss et al. 2004)
"	Chlorellales	Chlorella kessleri	203,000	1000	freshwater; lab	-	(Stary et al. 1983)
"	Chlorococcales	Scenedesmus obliquus	203,000	1000	freshwater; lab	-	(Stary et al. 1983)
"	phytoplankton	-	0.02-0.8	$0.06-0.08^2$	marine; field	-	(Flegal et al. 1986)
"	phytoplankton	-	47-213	2.5-433	freshwater; field	-	(Zitko et al. 1975)
Bryophyta	mosses	-	613-794	2.5-433	freshwater; field	-	(Zitko et al. 1975)
Angiosperm	Araceae	Lemna minor	1370	200	freshwater; lab	12.5	(Kwan & Smith 1991)
	"	"	880-4426	10-750	freshwater; lab	10	(Kwan & Smith 1988)
"	"	"	128-1087	0.2-2.0	freshwater; lab	7	(Babić et al. 2009)

¹ The following dry weights were used for unit conversions: $5.1 \ \mu g/10^6$ cells *Chlorella sp.*; $12 \ \mu g/10^6$ cells *Chlorella kessleri*; $18 \ \mu g/10^6$ cells *Scenedesmus*; $155 \ \mu g/10^6$ cells *Stephanodiscus* (Swale 1963; Nalewajko 1966).

² Concentration range determined in a separate study (Flegal & Patterson 1985)

Table 4. Thallium concentrations reported in aquatic animals and in the water or sediment to which they have been exposed in either the laboratory or in the field. Values of [Tl] animal are for whole animals, except for some fish where values are for muscle (superscript ^a), liver (superscript ^b), kidneys (superscript ^c) or gills (superscript ^d) only. Where fish concentrations are given in wet weights (superscript ^e) they have been converted to dry weights by assuming a ratio of 5:1, respectively (CRESP 2006).

Group	Sub-group	Taxon	[Tl] animal	[Tl] water	[Tl] sediment	Milieu;	Exposure	Reference
			nmolg ⁻¹ dw	nmolL ⁻¹	nmolg ⁻¹ dw	lab or field	time (d)	
Annelida	Tubificidae	Tubifex tubifex	1.5	-	5	freshwater; lab	14	(Dumas & Hare 2008)
Crustacea	zooplankton	-	0.15-2.5	-	-	marine; field	-	(Flegal et al. 1986)
"	Amphipoda	Hyalella azteca	0.8-4.9	-	0.5-4.2	freshwater; lab	7	(Borgmann et al. 1998)
"	"	n	0.1-0.4	0.01-0.03	-	freshwater; field transplant	17	(Couillard et al. 2008)
Insecta	Diptera	Chironomus riparius	3	-	5	freshwater; lab	14	(Dumas & Hare 2008)
"	"	Chironomus species	0.04-4.7			freshwater; field		Proulx & Hare, unpubl
Molluska	Bivalvia	Dreissena polymorpha	1.2	-	-	freshwater; field	-	(Waidmann et al. 1990)
"	"	Mya arenaria	20-40	250-500	-	marine; lab	19	(Zitko & Carson 1975)
"	"	Mytilus edulis	22-31	250-500	-	marine; lab	12	(Zitko & Carson 1975)
Pisces	-	-	376-470 ^a	-	-	freshwater; field	-	(Palermo et al. 1983)
"	-	-	39 ^b	-	-	freshwater; field	-	(LIS 1980)
"	-	-	31 ^c	-	-	freshwater; field	-	(LIS 1980)
"	planktonic	-	0.6	-	-	marine; field	-	(Flegal et al. 1986)
"	Cyprinidae	Pimephales promelas (larvae)	4	0.44	-	freshwater; lab	21	(Lapointe & Couture 2010)
"	"	Pimephales promelas (larvae)	30	4.5	-	freshwater; lab	21	(Lapointe & Couture 2010)
"	"	Pimephales promelas (juveniles)	0.1	0.5	-	freshwater; lab	8	(Lapointe & Couture 2009)
"	"	Semotilus atromaculatus	0.04	-	-	freshwater;	35	(Dube et al. 2006)
		(females)				artificial stream		
"	"	Semotilus margarita	0.1	-	-	freshwater;	35	(Dube et al. 2006)
						artificial stream		
"	Esocidae	Esox lucius (age 1+)	0.15-0.8 ^a	-	-	freshwater; field	-	(Kelly & Janz 2009)
"	Salmonidae	Salvelinus alpinus	$0.07-0.61^{ae}$	-	-	freshwater; field	-	(Gantner et al. 2009)
"	"	Salvelinus namaycush	0.2-12 ^e	-	-	freshwater; field	-	(Lin et al. 2001)
"	"	Salmo salar	57 ^a	90	-	freshwater; lab	12+	(Zitko et al. 1975)
"	"	Salmo salar	57-660 ^a	87-979	-	freshwater; lab	12+	(Zitko et al. 1975)
"	"	Salmo salar	94-575 ^b	87-979	-	freshwater; lab	12+	(Zitko et al. 1975)
"	"	Salmo salar	29-788 ^d	87-979	-	freshwater; lab	12+	(Zitko et al. 1975)

Table 5. Toxicity of Tl(I) to aquatic bacteria, fungi, and primary producers.

Group	Sub group	Taxon	Endpoint	Aqueous [Tl] (µmol L ⁻¹)	Reference
Bacteria	Gammaproteobacteria	Pseudomonas aeruginosa	MIC	470	(Kunze 1972; cited in Wilson & Dean 1977)
"	Bacilli	Bacillus subtilis	MIC	940	(Kunze 1972; cited in Wilson & Dean 1977)
"	Bacilli	Bacillus megaterium	EC50 (24 h) Growth	15	(Norris et al. 1976)
"	Betaproteobacteria	Thiobacillus ferrooxidans	Growth inhibition (K ⁺ free medium)	100	(Tuovinen & Kelly 1974)
"	Gammaproteobacteria	Vibrio fisheri (marine)	EC50 (22 h) Growth	30.6	(Hsieh et al. 2004)
Fungi	Ascomycota	Saccharomyees cerevisiae	EC50 (24 h) Growth	750	(Norris et al. 1976)
Cyanobacteria	Chroococcales	Anacystis nidulans	EC50 EC100 Growth (22 d)	~25 ~50	(Lustigman et al. 2000)
"	Chroococcales	Anacystis nidulans	Reduced growth Growth inhibition	24.5 34.3	(Di Gaudio & Hirshfield 1975)
Bacillariophyta	Lithodesmidales	<i>Ditylum brightwellii</i> (marine)	EC50 (5 d) EC50 (5 d)	1.6-1.7 0.76-0.83*	(Canterford & Canterford 1980)
Chlorophyta	Chlorellales	<i>Chlorella</i> sp.	EC20 (without K^+) EC20 (20 µmol $L^{-1} K^+$) EC50 (without K^+) EC50 (20 µmol $L^{-1} K^+$) Growth (72h)	0.01 0.4 0.01 0.8	(Hassler et al. 2007)
"	Chlorellales	Chlorella vulgaris	Highest [] tolerated Lowest inhibitory [] 3-4 months	0.1 0.2	(den Dooren de Jong 1965)
"	Volvocales	Chlamydomonas reinhardtii	EC50 EC100 Chl <i>a</i> (22 d)	~1 ~3	(Lustigman et al. 2000)
"	Volvocales	Chlamydomonas reinhardtii	EC50 Light-induced O ₂ evolution	~15	(Overnell 1975b)
"	Sphaeropleales	Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata	EC25 (72 h) Growth	0.44	(Pickard et al. 2001)
"	Chlamydomonadales	<i>Dunaliella tertiolecta</i> (marine)	EC50 Light-induced O ₂ evolution	~20	(Overnell 1975a)

Heterokontophyta	Naviculales	<i>Phaeodactylum tricornutum</i> (marine)	EC20 Light-induced O ₂ evolution	~150	(Overnell 1975a)
Rhodophyta	Cyanidiales	Cyanidium caldarium	EC50(20 d) Growth	≈ 422	(Albertano & Pinto 1986)
"	"	Cyanidioschyzon merolae	EC50 (20 d) Growth	≈ 1585	(Albertano & Pinto 1986)
"	"	Galdieria sulphuraria	EC50 (20 d) Growth	≈ 1445	(Albertano & Pinto 1986)
Angiosperm	Hydrocharitaceae	Elodea canadensis	EC50 (24 h) EC90 (24 h) Light-induced O ₂ evolution	7.0 13.5	(Brown & Rattigan 1979)
"	Araceae	Lemna minor	EC50 (14 d) Growth inhibition	0.79	(Babić et al. 2009)
"	"	Lemna minor	EC50 (10 d) Frond area Fresh weight Frond number	0.16 0.20 0.23	(Kwan & Smith 1988)
"	"	Lemna minor	EC50 (10 d) Frond number	0.2	(Smith & Kwan 1989)
"	"	Lemna minor	EC10 (7 d) EC20 (7 d) EC50 (7 d) Growth	0.54-0.74 0.74-0.98 1.23-1.96	(Naumann et al. 2007)
"	'n	Lemna minor	EC20 (24 h) EC50 (24 h) Frond abscission	2.17 4.29	(Henke et al. 2011)
"	"	Lemna minor	EC50 (14 d) Visual rating	0.04	(Brown & Rattigan 1979)

MIC: Minimal inhibitory concentration.

*Based on calculated free metal concentration.

Table 6. Toxicity of thallium to aquatic animals.

Group	Sub group	Taxon	Endpoint	Aqueous [Tl] (μ mol L ⁻¹)	Reference
Crustacea	Amphipoda	Gammarus	Mortality	20	(Nehring 1962)
	"	Hyalella azteca	LC50 (96-h)	0.053 - 0.6*	(Borgmann et al. 1998)
			IC25 (4 weeks)	0.012 - 0.093*	
			IC25 (6 weeks)	0.0086 - 0.037*	
			IC25 (10 weeks)	0.0025 - 0.026*	
			reproduction	*(depending on media and $[K^+]$)	
"	Cladocera	Ceriodaphnia dubia	LC50 (7 days)	1.8	(Pickard et al. 2001)
"	"	"	IC25 (7 days)	0.5	(Pickard et al. 2001)
			reproduction		
"	"	Daphnia	"	10-20	(Nehring 1962)
"	"	Daphnia magna	LC50 (48-h)	9.8	(Pickard et al. 2001)
Amphibian	-	Tadpole	"	2	(Dilling & Healey 1926)
Pisces	Cyprinidae	Roach	"	195-294	(Nehring 1962)
"	"	Pimephales promelas	No effect	≤0.98	(LeBlanc & Dean 1984)
		(Embryos)	Lower hatching success	1.7	
		,	Mortality (100%)	3.5	
"	"	"	Reduced growth	0.6	(LeBlanc & Dean 1984)
		(Larvae)	Decreased survival	0.2	
			Mortality (100%)	1.7	
"	Perciformes	Perch	Mortality (72-h)	294	(Nehring 1962)
"	Salmonidae	Oncorhynchus mykiss	"	49-73	(Nehring 1962)
		"	LC50 (96-h)	20.9	(Pickard et al. 2001)
"	"	Salmo salar	LC50 (300-h)	$\log LT_{50} =$	(Zitko et al. 1975)
				$1 / (0.161 + 0.109 \log C)$	
"	"	"	Incipient lethal [T1]	0.15	(Zitko et al. 1975)

Figure 1. Schematic diagram illustrating the efficiency with which thallium is transferred among trophic levels in planktonic and benthic food webs.



¹(Lapointe & Couture 2009)

²(Lapointe et al. 2009)

³(Dumas & Hare 2008)

⁴(Twining & Fisher 2004)

Figure 2. Relationship (means \pm SD) between the assimilation efficiency of Tl by two predators, the insect *Sialis velata* and the fish *Pimephales promelas*, and the proportions of Tl in various prey types (the worm *T. tubifex*, the insect *C. riparius* and the crustacean *D. magna*) that are theoretically available for uptake by these predators (TAM). The dashed line represents a 1:1 relationship.



8. Bibliography

- Albertano P, Pinto G 1986. The action of heavy metals on the growth of three acidophilic algae. Bollettino della Societa dei Naturalisti in Napoli 95: 319-328.
- Aoyama H, Yoshida M, Yamamura Y 1988. Induction of lipid peroxidation in tissues of thallous malonatetreated hamster. Toxicology 53: 11-18.
- Avery SV, Codd GA, Gadd GM 1991. Caesium accumulation and interactions with other monovalent cations in the cyanobaterium *Synechocystis* PCC 6803. Journal of General Microbiology 137: 405-413.
- Babić M, Radic S, Cvjetko P, Roje V, Pevalek-Kozlina B, Pavlica M 2009. Antioxidative response of *Lemna minor* plants exposed to thallium(I)-acetate. Aquatic Botany 91: 166-172.
- Baiocchi C, Giacosa D, Saini G, Cavalli P, Omenetto N, Passarella R, Polettini A, Trincherini PR 1994. Determination of thallium in Antarctic snow by means of laser induced atomic fluorescence and high resolution inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry. International Journal of Environmental Analytical Chemistry 55: 211-218.
- Barrie LA 1986. Arctic air pollution: an overview of current knowledge. Atmospheric Environment (1967) 20: 643-663.
- Barrie LA, Gregor D, Hargrave B, R. L, Muir D, Shearer R, Tracey B, Bidleman T 1992. Arctic contaminants: sources, occurrence and pathways. Science of the Total Environment 122: 1-74.
- Borgmann U, Cheam V, Norwood WP, Lechner J 1998. Toxicity and bioaccumulation of thallium in *Hyalella azteca*, with comparison to other metals and prediction of environmental impact. Environmental Pollution 99: 105-14.
- Boughriet A, Proix N, Billon G, Recourt P, Ouddane B 2007. Environmental impacts of heavy metal discharges from a smelter in Deule-canal sediments (Northern France): Concentration levels and chemical fractionation. Water Air and Soil Pollution 180: 83-95.
- Brismar T 1998. Thallium transport in cellular membranes. In: Nriagu JO ed. Thallium in the environment. New York, NY, USA, John Wiley and Sons. pp. 241-261.
- Brooks RR, Ahrens LH 1961. Some observations on the distribution of thallium, cadmium and bismuth in silicate rocks and the significance of covalency on their degree of association with other elements. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 23: 100-115.
- Brown BT, Rattigan BM 1979. Toxicity of soluble copper and other metal ions to *Elodea canadensis*. Environmental Pollution 20: 303-314.
- Campbell PGC 1995. Interactions between trace metal and aquatic organisms: a critique of the free-ion activity model. In: Tessier A & Turner DR, Metal speciation and bioavailability in aquatic systems. John Wiley & Sons, New York, NY, USA, pp. 45-102.
- Campbell PGC, Errécalde O, Fortin C, Hiriart-Baer VP, Vigneault B 2002. Metal bioavailability to phytoplankton-applicability of the biotic ligand model. Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology C-Toxicology & Pharmacology 133: 189-206.

- Canterford GS, Canterford DR 1980. Toxicity of heavy metals to the marine diatom *Ditylum brightwellii* (West) Grunow: correlation between toxicity and metal speciation. Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom 60: 227-242.
- Casiot C, Egal M, Bruneel O, Verma N, Parmentier M, Elbaz-Poulichet F 2011. Predominance of aqueous Tl(I) species in the river system downstream from the abandoned Carnoulès mine (Southern France). Environmental Science and Technology 45: 2056-2064.
- Casiot C, Egal M, Elbaz-Poulichet F, Bruneel O, Bancon-Montigny C, Cordier MA, Gomez E, Aliaume C 2009. Hydrological and geochemical control of metals and arsenic in a Mediterranean river contaminated by acid mine drainage (the Amous River, France); preliminary assessment of impacts on fish (*Leuciscus cephalus*). Applied Geochemistry 24: 787-799.
- Cheam V 2000. Comment on "Thallium Speciation in the Great Lakes". Environmental Science and Technology 34: 2367-2368.
- Cheam V 2001. Thallium concentration of water in Canada. Water Quality Research Journal of Canada 36: 851-877.
- Cheam V, Lechner J, Desrosiers R 1998. Laser-induced fluorescence determination of thallium in sediments. Fresenius Journal of Analytical Chemistry 360: 81-85.
- Cheam V, Garbai G, Lechner J, Rajkumar J 2000. Local impacts of coal mines and power plants across Canada. I. Thallium in waters and sediments. Water Quality Research Journal of Canada 35: 581-607.
- Cheam V, Lechner J, Desrosiers R, Sekerka I, Lawson G, Mudroch A 1995. Dissolved and total thallium in Great-Lakes waters. Journal of Great Lakes Research 21: 384-394.
- Cheam V, Lechner J, Desrosiers R, Azcue J, Rosa F, Mudroch A 1996. LEAFS determination and concentration of metals in Great Lakes ecosystem. Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry 355: 336-9.
- Chou CL, Moffatt JD 1998. Determination of thallium and its species in aquatic environment samples. In: Nriagu JO ed. Thallium in the Environment, John Wiley and Sons Inc. pp. 121-166.
- Coetzee PP, Fischer JL, Hu M 2003. Simultaneous separation and determination of Tl(I) and Tl(III) by IC-ICP-OES and IC-ICP-MS. Water SA 29: 17-22.
- Couillard Y, Grapentine LC, Borgmann U, Doyle P, Masson S 2008. The amphipod *Hyalella azteca* as a biomonitor in field deployment studies for metal mining. Environmental Pollution 156: 1314-1324.
- Dadfarnia S, Assadollahi T, Shabani AMH 2007. Speciation and determination of thallium by on-line microcolumn separation/preconcentration by flow injection-flame atomic absorption spectrometry using immobilized oxine as sorbent. Journal of Hazardous Materials 148: 446-452.
- den Dooren de Jong LE 1965. Tolerance of *Chlorella vulgaris* for metallic and nonmetallic ions. Antonie van Leeuwenhoek 31: 301-313.
- den Dooren de Jong LE 1971. Tolerance of *Azotobacter* for metallic and non-metallic ions. Antonie van Leeuwenhoek 37: 119-124.
- Di Gaudio MR, Hirshfield HI 1975. The effect of thallium on photosynthetic respiration in *Anacystis nidulans*. Journal of Cell Biology 67: 189.

- Di Toro DM, Allen HE, Bergman HL, Meyer JS, Paquin PR, Santore RC 2001. Biotic ligand model of the acute toxicity of metals. 1. Technical basis. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry 20: 2383-2396.
- Dilling WJ, Healey CW 1926. Influence of lead and the metallic ions of copper, zinc, thorium, berylium and thallium on the germination of frogs spawns and on the growth of tadpoles. Annals of Applied Biology 13: 177-188.
- Douglas KT, Bunni MA, Baindur SR 1990. Thallium in biochemistry. International Journal of Biochemistry 22: 429-38.
- Dube MG, MacLatchy DL, Hruska KA, Glozier NE 2006. Assessing the responses of creek chub (*Semotilus atromaculatus*) and pearl dace (*Semotilus margarita*) to metal mine effluents using *in situ* artificial streams in Sudbury, Ontario, Canada. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry 25: 18-28.
- Dumas J, Hare L 2008. The internal distribution of nickel and thallium in two freshwater invertebrates and its relevance to trophic transfer. Environmental Science and Technology 42: 5144-5149.
- Field MP, Sherrell RM 2003. Direct determination of ultra-trace levels of metals in fresh water using desolvating micronebulization and HR-ICP-MS: application to Lake Superior waters. Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry 18: 254-259.
- Flegal AR, Patterson CC 1985. Thallium concentrations in seawater. Marine Chemistry 15: 327-331.
- Flegal AR, Settle DM, Patterson CC 1986. Thallium in marine plankton. Marine Biology 90: 501-503.
- Galvan-Arzate S, Santamaria A 1998. Thallium toxicity. Toxicology Letters 99: 1-13.
- Gantner N, Power M, Babaluk JA, Reist JD, Kock G, Lockhart LW, Solomon KR, Muir DCG 2009. Temporal trends of mercury, cesium, potassium, selenium and thallium in arctic char (*Salvelinus alpinus*) from lake Hazen, Nunavut, Canada: Effects of trophic position, size, and age. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry 28: 254-263.
- Gao Y, Leermakers M, Elskens M, Billon G, Ouddane B, Fischer JC, Baeyens W 2007. High resolution profiles of thallium, manganese and iron assessed by DET and DGT techniques in riverine sediment pore waters. Science of the Total Environment 373: 526-533.
- Grahn E, Karlsson S, Duker A 2006a. Sediment reference concentrations of seldom monitored trace elements (Ag, Be, In, Ga, Sb, T1) in four Swedish boreal lakes -Comparison with commonly monitored elements. Science of the Total Environment 367: 778-790.
- Grahn E, Karlsson S, Karlsson U, Duker A 2006b. Historical pollution of seldom monitored trace elements in Sweden - Part B: Sediment analysis of silver, antimony, thallium and indium. Journal of Environmental Monitoring 8: 732-744.
- Gratz NG 1973. A critical review of currently used single-dose rodenticides. Bulletin of the World Health Organization 48: 469-477.
- Hanzel CE, Villaverde MS, Verstraeten SV 2005. Glutathione metabolism is impaired *in vitro* by thallium(III) hydroxide. Toxicology 207: 501-510.
- Hare L, Tessier A, Croteau MN 2008. A biomonitor for tracking changes in the availability of lakewater cadmium over space and time. Human and Ecological Risk Assessment 14: 229-242.

- Hassler CS, Chafin RD, Klinger MB, Twiss MR 2007. Application of the biotic ligand model to explain potassium interaction with thallium uptake and toxicity to plankton. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry 26: 1139-1145.
- Henke R, Eberius M, Appenroth KJ 2011. Induction of frond abscission by metals and other toxic compounds in *Lemna minor*. Aquatic Toxicology 101: 261-265.
- Horvath O, Stevenson KL, Vogler A 1999. Photoinduced electron ejection from hydroxo complexes of thallium(I) and tin(II) in alkaline aqueous solution. Radiation Physics and Chemistry 55: 497-501.
- Hrsak J, Skrbec A, Balagovic I, Sega K 2003. Thallium content in Zagreb air. Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology 71.
- Hsieh CY, Tsai MH, Ryan DK, Pancorbo OC 2004. Toxicity of the 13 priority pollutant metals to *Vibrio fisheri* in the Microtox® chronic toxicity test. Science of the Total Environment 320: 37-50.
- Karlsson U, Karlsson S, Duker A 2006a. The effect of light and iron(II)/iron(III) on the distribution of Tl(I)/Tl(III) in fresh water systems. Journal of Environmental Monitoring 8: 634-640.
- Karlsson U, Duker A, Karlsson S 2006b. Separation and quantification of Tl(I) and Tl(III) in fresh water samples. Journal of Environmental Science and Health Part A-Toxic/Hazardous Substances and Environmental Engineering 41: 1157-1169.
- Kazantzis G 2000. Thallium in the environment and health effects. Environmental Geochemistry and Health 22: 275-280.
- Kelly JM, Janz DM 2009. Assessment of oxidative stress and histopathology in juvenile northern pike (*Esox lucius*) inhabiting lakes downstream of a uranium mill. Aquatic Toxicology 92: 240-249.
- Korenman IM 1963. Analytical Chemistry of Thallium. Jerusalem, Israel Programme for Scientific Translations. 138 p.
- Kunze M 1972. Influence of thallium acetate on the growth of *Acholeplasmataceae*, *Mycoplasmataceae*, and some bacteria species. Der Einfluss von Thalliumazetat auf das Wachstum von Acholeplasmataceae, Mycoplasmataceae und einigen Bakterienspezies. pp. 535-539.
- Kwan KHM, Smith S 1988. The effect of thallium on the growth of *Lemna minor* and plant tissue concentrations in relation to both exposure and toxicity. Environmental Pollution 52: 203-219.
- Kwan KHM, Smith S 1991. Some aspects of the kinetics of cadmium and thallium uptake by fronds of *Lemna minor* L. New Phytologist 117: 91-102.
- Laforte L, Tessier A, Gobeil C, Carignan R 2005. Thallium diagenesis in lacustrine sediments. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 69: 5295-5306.
- Lan CH, Lin TS 2005. Acute toxicity of trivalent thallium compounds to *Daphnia magna*. Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety 61: 432-435.
- Lapointe D, Couture P 2009. Influence of the route of exposure on the accumulation and subcellular distribution of nickel and thallium in juvenile fathead minnows (*Pimephales promelas*). Archives of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology 57: 571-580.
- Lapointe D, Couture P 2010. Accumulation and effects of nickel and thallium in earlylife stages of fathead minnows (*Pimephales promelas*). Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety 73: 572-578.

- Lapointe D, Gentès S, Ponton DE, Hare L, Couture P 2009. Influence of prey type on nickel and thallium assimilation, subcellular distribution and effects in juvenile fathead minnows (*Pimephales promelas*). Environmental Science and Technology.
- Leach DL, Viets JB, Foley-Ayuso N, Klein DP 1995. Mississippi Valley-type Pb-Zn deposits. In: du Bray EA ed. Preliminary compilation of descriptive geoenvironmental mineral deposit models, US Geological Survey Open-File Report 95-831. pp. 234- 243.
- LeBlanc GA, Dean JW 1984. Antimony and thallium toxicity to embryos and larvae of fathead minnows (*Pimephales promelas*). Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology 32: 565-569.
- Li DX, Gao ZM, Zhu YX, Yu YM, Wang H 2005. Photochemical reaction of Tl in aqueous solution and its environmental significance. Geochemical Journal 39: 113-119.
- Lin T-S, Nriagu JO, Wang X-Q 2001. Thallium concentration in lake trout from lake Michigan. Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology 67: 921-925.
- Lin TS, Nriagu JO 1998a. Speciation of thallium in natural waters. In: Nriagu JO ed. Thallium in the Environment, John Wiley & Sons. pp. 31-44.
- Lin TS, Nriagu JO 1998b. Revised hydrolysis constants for thallium(I) and thallium(III) and the environmental implications. Journal of the Air and Waste Management Association 48: 151-156.
- Lin TS, Nriagu JO 1999a. Thallium speciation in river waters with Chelex-100 resin. Analytica Chimica Acta 395: 301-307.
- Lin TS, Nriagu JO 1999b. Thallium speciation in the Great Lakes. Environmental Science and Technology 33: 3394-3397.
- Lin TS, Meier P, Nriagu JO 2005. Acute toxicity of thallium to *Daphnia magna* and *Ceriodaphnia dubia*. Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology 75: 350-355.
- LIS ed. 1980. Environmental burden by thallium. Investigations in the neighbourhood of the Dyckerhoff cement plant in Lengerich and other thallium-emitting plants in the country NW. Bonn, Bonn University Press (in German).
- Lis J, Pasieczna A, Karbowska B, Zembruzuski W, Lukaszewski Z 2003. Thallium in soils and stream sediments of a Zn-Pb mining and smelting area. Environmental Science and Technology 37: 4569-4572.
- Luoma SN, Rainbow PS 2005. Why is metal bioaccumulation so variable? Biodynamics as a unifying principle. Environmental Science and Technology 39: 1921-1931.
- Lustigman B, Lee LH, Morata J, Khan F 2000. Effect of thallium on the growth of *Anacystis nidulans* and *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology 64: 565-573.
- Martell AE, Smith RM, Motekaitis RJ 2004. NIST Critical Stability Constants of Metal Complexes Database. Version 8.0. Gaithersburg, MD, USA, U.S. Department of Commerce.
- Mathis BJ, Kevern NR 1975. Distribution of mercury, cadmium, lead and thallium in a eutrophic lake. Hydrobiologia 46: 207-222.

- Matschullat J, Maenhaut W, Zimmermann F, Fiebig J 2000. Aerosol and bulk deposition trends in the 1990's, Eastern Erzgebirge, Central Europe. Atmospheric Environment 34: 3213-3221.
- McGeer JC, Brix KV, Skeaff JM, DeForest DK, Brigham SI, Adams WJ, Green A 2003. Inverse relationship between bioconcentration factor and exposure concentration for metals: Implications for hazard assessment of metals in the aquatic environment. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry 22: 1017-1037.
- Meeravali NN, Jiang SJ 2008. Ultra-trace speciation analysis of thallium in environmental water samples by inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry after a novel sequential mixed-micelle cloud point extraction. Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry 23: 555-560.
- Mochizuki M, Mori M, Akinaga M, Yugami K, Oya C, Hondo R, Ueda F 2005. Thallium contamination in wild ducks in Japan. Journal of Wildlife Diseases 41: 664-668.
- Munger C, Hare L, Tessier A 1999. Cadmium sources and exchange rates for *Chaoborus* larvae in nature. Limnology and Oceanography 44: 1763-1771.
- Murao S, Itoh S 1992. High thallium content in Kuroko-type ore. Journal of Geochemical Exploration 43: 223-231.
- Nalewajko C 1966. Photosynthesis and excretion in various planktonic algae. Limnology and Oceanography 11: 1-10.
- Naumann B, Eberius M, Appenroth K-J 2007. Growth rate based dose-response relationships and EC-values of ten heavy metals using the duckweed growth inhibition test (ISO 20079) with *Lemna minor* L. clone St. Journal of Plant Physiology 164: 1656-1664.
- Nehring D 1962. Untersuchungen über die toxikologische Wirkung von Thallium-Ionen auf Fische und Fischnährtiere. Z. Fischerei 11: 557-561.
- Nolan A, Schaumloffel D, Lombi E, Ouerdane L, Lobinski R, McLaughlin M 2004. Determination of Tl-(I) and Tl-(III) by IC-ICP-MS and application to Tl speciation analysis in the Tl hyperaccumulator plant *Iberis intermedia*. Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry 19: 757-761.
- Norris P, Man WK, Hughes MN, Kelly DP 1976. Toxicity and accumulation of thallium in bacteria and yeast. Archives of Microbiology 110: 279-86.
- Nriagu JOE 1998. Thallium in the environment. John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Overnell J 1975a. The effect of heavy metals on photosynthesis and loss of cell potassium in two species of marine algae, *Dunaliella tertiolecta* and *Phaeodactylum tricornutum*. Marine Biology 29: 99-103.
- Overnell J 1975b. The effect of some heavy metal ions on photosynthesis in a freshwater alga. Pesticide Biochemistry and Physiology 5: 19-26.
- Palermo D, Chiaravalle AE, D'Errico M, Milillo MA, De Natale G 1983. An occurrence of thallium poisoning in fish. Clinica Veterinaria 106: 129-131.
- Percival TJ, Radtke AS 1993. Thallium in disseminated replacement gold deposits of the Carlin-type; a preliminary report. Neues Jahrbuch fuer Mineralogie, Abhandlungen 166: 65-75.
- Peter ALJ, Viraraghavan T 2005. Thallium: a review of public health and environmental concerns. Environment International 31: 493-501.

- Pickard J, Yang R, Duncan B, McDevitt CA, Eickhoff C 2001. Acute and sublethal toxicity of thallium to aquatic organisms. Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology 66: 94-101.
- Powell KJ 2001. IUPAC Stability Constants Database. 2001. Version 5.18. Otley, Yorkshire, UK., Academic Software.
- Ralph L, Twiss MR 2002. Comparative toxicity of thallium(I), thallium(III), and cadmium(II) to the unicellular alga *Chlorella* isolated from Lake Erie. Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology 68: 261-268.
- Reinfelder JR, Fisher NS 1994. The assimilation of elements ingested by marine planktonic bivalve larvae. Limnology and Oceanography 39: 12-20.
- Sahl K, Albuquerque CAR, Shaw DM 1978. Thallium. In: Wedepohl KH ed. Handbook of Geochemistry. Berlin, Springer-Verlag.
- Schaub G 1996. Thallium http://www.inchem.org/documents/ehc/ehc/ehc182.htm
- Schedlbauer OF, Heumann KG 1999. Development of an isotope dilution mass spectrometric method for dimethylthallium speciation and first evidence of its existence in the ocean. Analytical Biochemistry 71: 5459-5464.
- Schedlbauer OF, Heumann KG 2000. Biomethylation of thallium by bacteria and first determination of biogenic dimethylthallium in the ocean. Applied Organometallic Chemistry 14: 330-340.
- Smith IC, Carson BL 1977. Trace Metals in the Environment. Volume 1 Thallium. Ann Arbor, MI, Ann Arbor Science. 394 p.
- Smith S, Kwan MKH 1989. Use of aquatic macrophytes as a bioassay method to assess relative toxicity, uptake kinetics and accumulated forms of trace metals. Hydrobiologia 188-189: 345-351.
- Stary J, Kratzer K, Prasilova J 1983. Systematic study of the cumulation of elements on algae. Toxicological and Environmental Chemistry 7: 47-61.
- Sterckeman T, Douay F, Proix N, Fourrier H, Perdix E 2002. Assessment of the contamination of cultivated soils by eighteen trace elements around smelters in the North of France. Water Air and Soil Pollution 135: 173 - 194.
- Sturgeon RE, Willie SN, Zheng J, Kudo A 1993. Determination of ultratrace levels of heavy metals in Arctic snow by electrothermal vaporization inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry. Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry 8: 1053-1058.
- Swale EMF 1963. Notes on *Stephanodiscus hantzschii* Grun. in culture. Archives of Microbiology 45: 210-216.
- Thomann RV 1981. Equilibrium model of fate of microcontaminants in diverse aquatic food chains. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 38: 280-296.
- Tuovinen OH, Kelly DP 1974. Studies on the growth of *Thiobacillus ferrooxidans*. IV. Influence of monovalent metal cations on ferrous iron oxidation and uranium toxicity in growing cultures. Archives of Microbiology 98: 167-174.
- Turetta C, Barbante C, Capodaglio G, Gambaro A, Cescon P 2010. The distribution of dissolved thallium in the different water masses of the western sector of the Ross Sea (Antarctica) during the austral summer. Microchemical Journal 96: 194-202.
- Turner A, Cabon A, Glegg GA, Fisher AS 2010. Sediment-water interactions of thallium under simulated estuarine conditions. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 74: 6779-6787.

- Twining BS, Fisher NS 2004. Trophic transfer of trace metals from protozoa to mesozooplankton. Limnology and Oceanography 49: 28-39.
- Twining BS, Twiss MR, Fisher NS 2003. Oxidation of thallium by freshwater plankton communities. Environmental Science and Technology 37: 2720-2726.
- Twiss MR, Twining BS, Fisher NS 2004. Bioconcentration of inorganic and organic thallium by freshwater phytoplankton. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry 23: 968-973.
- Villaverde MS, Verstraeten SV 2003. Effects of thallium(I) and thallium(III) on liposome membrane physical properties. Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics 417: 235-43.
- Waidmann E, Hilpert K, Stoeppler M 1990. Thallium determination in reference materials by isotope dilution mass spectrometry (IDMS) using thermal ionization. Fresenius Journal of Analytical Chemistry 338: 572-574.
- Wallace WG, Luoma SN 2003. Subcellular compartmentalization of Cd and Zn in two bivalves. II. Significance of trophically available metal (TAM). Marine Ecology Progress Series 257: 125-137.
- Wang W-X, Fisher NS 1999. Assimilation efficiencies of chemical contaminants in aquatic invertebrates: a synthesis. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry 18: 2034-2045.
- Wilson IC, Dean ACR 1977. Thallium sensitivity and tolerance in *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. Microbios Letters 5: 85-91.
- Xiao T, Guha J, Boyle D, Liu C-Q, Zheng B, Wilson GC, Rouleau A, Chen J 2004. Naturally occuring thallium: a hidden geoenvironmental health hazard? Environment International 30: 501-507.
- Xiong YL 2009. The aqueous geochemistry of thallium: speciation and solubility of thallium in low temperature systems. Environmental Chemistry 6: 441-451.
- Zitko V 1975. Toxicity and pollution potential of thallium. Science of the Total Environment 4: 185-92.
- Zitko V, Carson WV 1975. Accumulation of thallium in clams and mussels. Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology 14: 530-3.
- Zitko V, Carson WV, Carson WG 1975. Thallium: occurrence in the environment and toxicity to fish. Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology 13: 23-30.