Aluminum effects on marine phytoplankton: implications for a revised Iron Hypothesis (Iron-Aluminum Hypothesis)

Linbin Zhou<sup>1,2,3,4\*</sup>, Yehui Tan<sup>1,4\*</sup>, Liangmin Huang<sup>1,4</sup>, Claude Fortin<sup>2</sup>, Peter G.C. Campbell<sup>2</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> CAS Key Laboratory of Tropical Marine Bio-resources and Ecology, South China Sea Institute of Oceanology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Guangzhou, China
- <sup>2</sup> Institut national de la recherche scientifique, Centre Eau Terre Environnement, Québec, Canada
- <sup>3</sup> Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Applied Marine Biology, South China Sea Institute of Oceanology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Guangzhou, China
- <sup>4</sup> University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China
- \* Email: zhoulb@scsio.ac.cn/zhoulinbin2004@163.com (L.Z.) or

tanyh@scsio.ac.cn (Y.T.)

#### 1 Abstract

2 In contrast to substantial studies and established knowledge of aluminum (Al) effects 3 (mainly toxicity) on freshwater organisms and terrestrial plants, and even on human 4 health, only a few studies of Al effects on marine organisms have been reported, and our 5 understanding of the role of Al in marine biogeochemistry is limited. In this paper, we 6 review the results of both field and laboratory experiments on the effects of Al on marine 7 organisms, including Al toxicity to marine phytoplankton and the beneficial effects of Al 8 on marine phytoplankton growth, and we discuss possible links of Al to the biological 9 pump and the global carbon cycle. We propose a revised Iron (Fe) Hypothesis, i.e., the 10 Fe-Al Hypothesis that introduces the idea that Al as well as Fe play an important role in 11 the glacial-interglacial change in atmospheric  $CO_2$  concentrations. We propose that Al 12 could not only facilitate Fe utilization, dissolved organic phosphorus utilization and 13 nitrogen fixation by marine phytoplankton, enhancing phytoplankton biomass and carbon fixation in the upper oceans, but also reduce the decomposition and decay of biogenic 14 15 matter. As a result, Al allows potentially more carbon to be exported and sequestered in 16 the ocean depths through the biological pump. We also propose that Al binds to 17 superoxide to form an Al-superoxide complex, which could catalyze the reduction of 18 Fe(III) to Fe(II) and thus facilitate Fe utilization by marine phytoplankton and other 19 microbes. Further ocean fertilization experiments with Fe and Al are suggested, to clarify

1 the role of Al in the stimulation of phytoplankton growth and carbon sequestration in the

2 ocean depths.

3

4 **Keywords:** aluminum; marine phytoplankton; Iron Hypothesis; Fe-Al Hypothesis;

5 beneficial effects; biological pump

#### 1 1 Introduction

Aluminum (Al) is the most abundant metallic element in the Earth's crust (Taylor
1964), it is widespread in the environment, and is present in every cell of every organism
(Exley and Mold 2015). Paradoxically, no established biological function of Al has yet
been found.

6 Aluminum can be toxic to aquatic organisms and terrestrial plants, and it has even 7 been related to some human diseases (Gensemer and Playle 1999; Macdonald and Martin 8 1988; Yokel 2000). In contrast to substantial studies and established knowledge of Al 9 effects on organisms in acid and neutral pH freshwater, only a few studies of Al effects 10 on marine organisms in seawater have been reported. Our understanding of the role of Al 11 in marine biogeochemistry is strictly limited. 12 Many studies focus on the scavenging effects of marine organisms on the distribution 13 of Al in seawater, as marine organisms, especially microbes, can adsorb Al on their 14 surface or absorb it into cells, thus decreasing dissolved Al concentrations in seawater 15 (e.g., Liu et al. 2017b; Moran and Moore 1988; Ren et al. 2011). In contrast, the effects 16 of Al on marine organisms are usually unnoticed. More than five decades ago, Menzel et 17 al. (1963) reported that Al enrichment could increase primary productivity in some 18 waters in the Sargasso Sea. Subsequently, over the past half century, sporadic studies 19 have reported the influences of Al on marine organisms including the early life stages of 20 oysters, mussels, crabs, urchins and cnidarians, polychaetes, and marine algae

1	(Supplement material Table S1). All these studies provide a preliminary overall notion
2	that the Al effects on marine organisms might be very different, and more diverse,
3	compared to its mainly toxic interactions with organisms in freshwater. These results also
4	inspired us to think that Al may play an important but unrecognized role in the global
5	carbon cycle through influencing marine organisms, especially marine phytoplankton.
6	
7	To understand the role of Al in marine biogeochemistry, we review 1) the
8	bioavailability of Al to marine organisms, 2) Al toxicity to marine organisms, 3)
9	beneficial effects of Al on marine phytoplankton growth, 4) the possible links of Al to the
10	biological pump and the global carbon cycle, and 5) the relationship of Al with climate
11	change and the Iron Hypothesis. We propose a revised Fe-Al Hypothesis to recognize the
12	potentially important role of Al in the global carbon cycle and climate change over
13	geological time scales.
14	
15	2 Bioavailability of Al to marine organisms
16	2.1 Aluminum sources in natural seawater
17	River runoff, atmospheric dust deposition, and sediment resuspension are usually
18	considered the main sources of Al in natural seawater (Maring and Duce 1987; Orians
19	and Bruland 1986; van Hulten et al. 2013) (Fig. 1). Aluminum is transported with river
20	runoff into estuaries and their adjacent coastal waters, and with the deposition of
21	atmospheric dust into offshore seawater and the open ocean. Sediment resuspension

1	could also release Al trapped in the bottom (biogenic and abiotic) sediments into the
2	overlying seawater. Recent studies show that hydrothermal venting is another potentially
3	important source of Al in the ocean (Fig. 1). Hydrothermal vents in mid-ocean ridges,
4	such as the southern East Pacific Rise, are thought to contribute an important flux of Al
5	into the adjacent deep ocean (Elderfield and Schultz 1996), and the Al could be
6	transported several thousand kilometers westward across the Southern Ocean (Measures
7	et al. 2015; Resing et al. 2015). In addition, anthropogenic activities, such as coastal
8	mining and dredging operations, discharges of liquid wastes associated with Al
9	production, and the use of Al in sacrificial anodes, could significantly increase local
10	inputs of Al into natural seawater (Gillmore et al. 2016).





# 2 2.2 Solubility of Al in seawater

3	The solubility of Al is pH-dependent. Like most trivalent metals, Al is more soluble
4	in acidic and basic solutions with a minimum in circumneutral solutions (Millero 2009).
5	Savenko and Savenko (2011) examined the solubility of Al in artificial seawater with a
6	salinity of 35 at 25 $^{\circ}$ C and over a pH range from 7.4 to 8.2. They found that the solubility
7	ranged from 1.9 to 6.2 $\mu$ M, with a minimum from pH 8.05 to 8.10. An Al solubility of
8	about 10.5 $\mu$ M in artificial seawater has also been reported (Machill et al. 2013).
9	The solubility of Al in natural seawater is significantly higher than that in artificial
10	seawater. Willey (1975) reported that the Al solubility in filtered offshore seawater
11	sampled in the Sargasso Sea was 18.5 $\mu M$ (500 $\mu g/L)$ at 2 $^o\!C$ and demonstrated that Al
12	could be removed from seawater by coprecipitation with added silicic acid. He concluded
13	that levels of dissolved Al found in seawater would vary as a function of the
14	concentration of dissolved silica. Another two studies reported Al solubility values for
15	filtered coastal seawater of 18.5 $\mu M$ (500 $\mu g/L)$ at 22 °C, and 24 $\mu M$ (650 $\mu g/L)$ at 28 °C,
16	respectively (Angel et al. 2016; Trenfield et al. 2015). Owing to slow rates of
17	precipitation and transformation of Al solid phases, dissolved Al concentrations up to
18	1250 $\mu$ g/L (46.3 $\mu$ M) could also persist for several days (Angel et al. 2016). The much
19	higher solubility of Al in natural seawater than in artificial seawater might be partly due
20	to the complexation of Al by strong organic ligands in natural seawater, as there is

evidence indicating that siderophores, the high-affinity iron-chelating molecules secreted
 by microorganisms such as bacteria, could complex Al (Santos 2008).

3

4

#### 2.3 Speciation of dissolved Al in seawater

5 At present, there are no analytical methods to measure the ionic and neutral dissolved 6 forms of Al in seawater (Gillmore et al. 2016), and our knowledge about Al speciation in 7 seawater is based almost entirely on thermodynamic calculations. Without considering the influence of organic ligands, calculations with chemical equilibrium models show that 8 9 aluminate  $(Al(OH)_4)$ , aluminum trihydroxide  $(Al(OH)_3)$  and  $Al(OH)_2^+$  are the dominant 10 Al species in alkaline seawater, although the proportions of the three species relative to 11 total dissolved Al in seawater vary among the different models (Table 1). Based on the 12 Miami Interactions Model (Pierrot and Millero 2017), which is designed for calculating 13 trace metal speciation in seawater, aluminate and aluminum trihydroxide are the 14 dominant species in the pH range (7.4 to 9.0) of natural seawater (data not shown); this result differs from the speciation proposed by Savenko and Savenko (2011), where 15 16  $Al(OH)_2^+$  is the primary form of Al occurrence in seawater at pH < 8.05 and Al(OH)\_3 17 prevails within the narrow range from pH 8.05 to 8.10. Aluminum can also react with 18 silicic acid but this reaction tends to produce colloidal and particulate 19 hydroxyaluminosilicates rather than dissolved species (Beardmore et al. 2016; Exley et al. 20 2012).

1	Despite the lack of agreement concerning the exact proportions of the various
2	$Al(OH)_x^{(3-x)+}$ complexes in seawater, the species of dissolved Al in seawater are clearly
3	very different from the main Al species in neutral and weakly acid freshwater. Generally,
4	$Al^{3+}$ , $Al(OH)^{2+}$ , $Al(OH)_{2}^{+}$ , and $Al$ -fulvic are the dominant dissolved Al species in natural
5	freshwater (Campbell et al. 1992; Driscoll and Schecher 1990).

7 Table 1 Speciation of dissolved Al in seawater calculated by different models at pH =
8 8.1 and 25 °C. Seawater composition used for the models was documented by
9 Pierrot & Millero (2017). The total Al concentration was set as 100 nM.

Species	Miami Interactions	MINEQL 5.0 <sup>a</sup>	Visual MINTEQ
	Model	Model	Model
$Al(OH)_2^+$	0.36%	< 0.03%	< 0.04%
Al(OH) <sub>3</sub>	35.3%	0.5%	1.1%
Al(OH) <sub>4</sub>	64.4%	99.5%	98.9%

10

<sup>a</sup> The MINEQL5.0 model predicted that  $\sim$ 61% of the total 100 nM Al would

11 precipitate as diaspore; data in the table show the proportions in the remaining

12 dissolved Al.

13

## 14 **2.4 Dissolved Al concentrations in natural seawater**

1	Aluminum concentrations in natural seawater vary significantly, ranging from
2	sub-nanomolar (nM) in the open ocean (Kaupp et al. 2011; Middag et al. 2011;
3	Planquette et al. 2009) to micromolar ( $\mu M$ ) in estuaries. The highest Al concentrations in
4	natural seawater occur in the estuaries, where typical Al concentrations range from 10 to
5	several hundred nM. Concentrations as high as $\mu$ M can be observed in brackish waters
6	with low salinity in the riverine end of estuaries (Angel et al. 2016; Hall et al. 1999;
7	Zhang et al. 1999), although, strictly speaking, the salinity at the riverine end is too low
8	to be considered as seawater. Dissolved Al concentrations in coastal seawater and in
9	ocean basins have been summarized by several studies (Angel et al. 2016; Han et al. 2008;
10	van Hulten et al. 2013). These studies indicate that typical Al concentrations in coastal
11	waters range from 10 to 100 nM, whereas typical Al concentrations in the open ocean
12	range from sub-nM to tens of nM. The mean Al concentrations in surface seawaters in
13	different ocean basins, such as the North Atlantic, South Atlantic, Pacific, Southern
14	Ocean, Indian Ocean and Mediterranean Sea, were reported to range from 2.2 nM to 65.2
15	nM (Han et al. 2008). Clearly, Al concentrations in most of the ocean are very low, i.e., at
16	trace levels.

## **2.5** Accumulation and uptake of Al by marine organisms

One of the reasons for the relatively low Al concentrations in natural seawater is thatdissolved Al in seawater is usually quickly scavenged by particles, such as marine

1	plankton (Fig. 1). Over the last several decades, a number of studies have reported that
2	marine plankton, especially marine phytoplankton such as diatoms, could remove or
3	scavenge dissolved Al in seawater through adsorption on their surface or/and absorption
4	into their cells (Liu et al. 2017b; Moran and Moore 1988; Ren et al. 2011).
5	Consistent with the idea that Al exists in every cell of every organism (Exley and
6	Mold 2015), marine plankton can also accumulate large amounts of Al, which is usually
7	the most abundant trace metal in marine plankton (Collier and Edmond 1984; Ebihara et
8	al. 2006; Kuss and Kremling 1999; Martin and Knauer 1973). In particular, marine
9	diatoms scavenge dissolved Al in seawater efficiently, and there is evidence that marine
10	diatoms can incorporate Al into their siliceous frustules (Gehlen et al. 2002; Koning et al.
11	2007; Machill et al. 2013; Moran and Moore 1988; Stoffyn 1979). Aluminum has been
12	shown to bind to the cell walls (frustules) of marine diatoms (e.g., Porosira glacialis,
13	Thalassiosira nordenskioeldii, Lauderia annulata, and Stephanopyxis turris), and Al/Si
14	ratios in diatom frustules ranging from 1:10,000 to 1:15 have been reported (Beck et al.
15	2002; Machill et al. 2013; Van Bennekom et al. 1991). To our knowledge, there is only
16	one published study reporting that Al could be transported into the cells of three marine
17	diatoms (Nitzschia closterium, Skeletonema coasatum and Thalassiosira weissflogii).
18	However, in this laboratory study (Wang et al. 2013), truly intracellular Al was not
19	distinguished from the fraction of Al that was strongly bound to the cell surface (i.e., the
20	siliceous cell wall) and could not be removed by washing solutions designed to remove

1	metals weakly adsorbed onto the cell surface. Our own unpublished data indicate that the
2	Al internalization flux for a model marine diatom (Thalassiosira weissflogii), normalized
3	to the exposure concentration, was $0.033 \pm 0.013 \text{ nmol/m}^2/\text{min/nM}$ , and the assimilated
4	Al was distributed in descending order of importance among the following subcellular
5	fractions: granules (68.7 $\pm$ 5.4%), debris (17.3 $\pm$ 3.6%), organelles (11.9 $\pm$ 2.1%),
6	heat-stable peptides (1.8 $\pm$ 0.2%), and heat-denaturable proteins (0.4 $\pm$ 0.0%).
7	Exley and Mold (2015) identified five major routes by which Al could traverse cell
8	membranes or epi-/endo-thelia, including paracellular transport, transcellular transport,
9	active transport, ion channels and adsorptive or receptor-mediated endocytosis. They
10	suggested that Al could be assimilated in this manner in a number of forms: 1) free
11	solvated trivalent cation, 2) low molecular weight, neutral, soluble complexes, 3) high
12	molecular weight, neutral, soluble complexes, 4) low molecular weight, charged, soluble
13	complexes, and 5) nano and micro-particulates. However, for trivalent metals such as Al,
14	these uptake mechanisms are still speculative, as a result of insufficient study and
15	technical challenges. The aqueous coordination chemistry of Al is complex (e.g., slow
16	kinetics and metastable poly-Al forms), it binds strongly to biological surfaces, no
17	affordable and suitable radioisotopes are available and sensitive analytical techniques
18	seldom exist (Crémazy et al. 2013; Rengel 1996; Taylor et al. 2000). To our knowledge,
19	there are no studies on the uptake kinetics of Al assimilation by marine organisms, let

1	alone on the mechanisms of Al transport into marine phytoplankton cells. Overall, we
2	know very little about the bioavailability of Al to marine organisms.
3	
4	3 Al toxicity to marine organisms
5	There are very few studies reporting the effects (including toxicity) of Al on marine
6	organisms. To the best of our knowledge, only 29 published studies (excluding studies of
7	the influence of Al on diatom frustules) report on the effects of Al on marine organisms
8	(supplemental material Table S1); more than half of the studies (16) have been published
9	since 2010, and only 16 of the studies were intentionally designed to test the acute
10	toxicity of Al to marine organisms or organisms in brackish waters.
11	Tolerance of high concentrations of Al by marine animals has been highlighted by a
12	number of studies. The toxicity of Al to the early life stages (embryonic development,
13	fertilization rates, etc.) of marine invertebrates such as oysters, mussels, crabs, urchins,
14	cnidarians and polychaetes has been relatively substantially covered in early (Calabrese
15	et al. 1973; Macdonald et al. 1988; Pagano et al. 1996; Petrich and Reish 1979; Wilson
16	and Hyne 1997) and recent studies (Caplat et al. 2010; Golding et al. 2015; Negri et al.
17	2011). The Al toxicity to copepods and fish in brackish water, and to fish in seawater, has
18	also been documented (Bengtsson 1978; Golding et al. 2015; Teien et al. 2006). These
19	studies demonstrate that Al toxicity only occurs at $\mu M$ concentrations or above for most
20	of the tested animal species (supplemental material Table S1).

1	Aluminum toxicity to marine phytoplankton has only been intentionally tested in
2	recent years (Gillmore et al. 2016; Golding et al. 2015; Harford et al. 2011; Saçan et al.
3	2007; Xie et al. 2015). The influence of Al on the growth, iron (Fe) uptake and
4	physiology of marine phytoplankton has also been reported in other studies (Liu et al.
5	2018; Santana-Casiano et al. 1997; Shi et al. 2015; Stoffyn 1979; Vrieling et al. 1999;
6	Zhou et al. 2016). Among all the 13 marine phytoplankton species tested (Table S1), the
7	growth of only one diatom Ceratoneis closterium was reported to be inhibited by
8	environmentally relevant Al concentrations (higher than about 10 $\mu$ g/L or 0.37 $\mu$ M) in
9	one study (Golding et al. 2015), but its growth was reported to be stimulated by low Al
10	concentrations (< 60 $\mu$ g/L or < 2.2 $\mu$ M) in another study (Gillmore et al. 2016). Similarly,
11	the growth and nitrogen fixation of the cyanobacterium Crocosphaera watsonii were
12	inhibited by a low Al concentration (200 nM) in a phosphorus-sufficient seawater
13	medium (Liu et al. 2017a), but they were stimulated by the same Al concentration in the
14	same seawater medium but with insufficient phosphorus (Liu et al. 2018). Overall, based
15	on the existing published data, environmentally relevant Al concentrations will not be
16	toxic to most marine phytoplankton species in natural seawater.
17	It should be pointed out here that recent studies show that the Al effects on marine
18	phytoplankton are closely related to the nutrient status of the algal growth medium (Liu et
19	al. 2017a; 2018; Zhou et al. 2016). In future studies, nutrient conditions in the medium
20	used for Al toxicity tests should be considered as a potential factor influencing the

1	toxicity test results. We speculate that different background nutrient concentrations in the
2	seawater used for preparing the test media might account for the inconsistent toxicity
3	results for Ceratoneis closterium (Golding et al. 2015; Gillmore et al. 2016).
4	Consistent with the above discussion and based on toxicity tests of 11 species from 6
5	taxonomic groups, Golding et al. (2015) estimated that 2.1 $\mu$ g/L and 24 $\mu$ g/L of Al (i.e.,
6	78 and 890 nM) would be safe for 99% and 95% of marine species, respectively.
7	Although our understanding of Al effects on marine organisms is far from sufficient,
8	based on our present knowledge about Al concentrations in natural seawater (discussed in
9	Section 2.4), we would expect that natural levels of Al in most of the ocean will show no
10	toxicity to marine organisms living there.
11	
11 12	4 Beneficial effects of Al on marine phytoplankton growth
11 12 13	<ul><li>4 Beneficial effects of Al on marine phytoplankton growth</li><li>4.1 Enhanced growth of marine phytoplankton in the presence of Al</li></ul>
11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>4 Beneficial effects of Al on marine phytoplankton growth</li> <li>4.1 Enhanced growth of marine phytoplankton in the presence of Al In contrast to Al toxicity, there are several studies reporting that Al could be</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>11</li> <li>12</li> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>4 Beneficial effects of Al on marine phytoplankton growth</li> <li>4.1 Enhanced growth of marine phytoplankton in the presence of Al In contrast to Al toxicity, there are several studies reporting that Al could be</li> <li>beneficial to the growth of marine phytoplankton, "growth" being defined in the present</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>11</li> <li>12</li> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>4 Beneficial effects of Al on marine phytoplankton growth</li> <li>4.1 Enhanced growth of marine phytoplankton in the presence of Al</li> <li>In contrast to Al toxicity, there are several studies reporting that Al could be</li> <li>beneficial to the growth of marine phytoplankton, "growth" being defined in the present</li> <li>context as the exponential growth rate and/or biomass yield.</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>11</li> <li>12</li> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>4 Beneficial effects of Al on marine phytoplankton growth</li> <li>4.1 Enhanced growth of marine phytoplankton in the presence of Al <ul> <li>In contrast to Al toxicity, there are several studies reporting that Al could be</li> <li>beneficial to the growth of marine phytoplankton, "growth" being defined in the present</li> <li>context as the exponential growth rate and/or biomass yield.</li> <li>Since Menzel et al. (1963) first reported that Al enrichment increased the primary</li> </ul> </li></ul>
<ol> <li>11</li> <li>12</li> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>A Beneficial effects of Al on marine phytoplankton growth</li> <li>A.1 Enhanced growth of marine phytoplankton in the presence of Al <ul> <li>In contrast to Al toxicity, there are several studies reporting that Al could be</li> <li>beneficial to the growth of marine phytoplankton, "growth" being defined in the present</li> <li>context as the exponential growth rate and/or biomass yield.</li> <li>Since Menzel et al. (1963) first reported that Al enrichment increased the primary</li> <li>productivity in some waters in the Sargasso Sea, additional evidence indicating the</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<ol> <li>11</li> <li>12</li> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>A Beneficial effects of Al on marine phytoplankton growth</li> <li>A.1 Enhanced growth of marine phytoplankton in the presence of Al <ul> <li>In contrast to Al toxicity, there are several studies reporting that Al could be</li> <li>beneficial to the growth of marine phytoplankton, "growth" being defined in the present</li> <li>context as the exponential growth rate and/or biomass yield.</li> <li>Since Menzel et al. (1963) first reported that Al enrichment increased the primary</li> <li>productivity in some waters in the Sargasso Sea, additional evidence indicating the</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

1	Skeletonema costatum during a study on diatom control of dissolved Al in seawater.
2	Twenty years later, it was reported that enrichments with Al (0.1 to 10 $\mu$ M) increased
3	growth of a pennate diatom Navicula salinarum (Vrieling et al. 1999). Enhanced growth
4	of other marine phytoplankton species (Dunaliella tertiolecta, Tetraselmis sp., and
5	Ceratoneis closterium) in the presence of Al was unexpectedly observed during tests of
6	Al toxicity to marine phytoplankton (Gillmore et al. 2016; Golding et al. 2015; Saçan et
7	al. 2007). Similar stimulatory effects of Al enrichment on marine phytoplankton growth
8	(e.g., Synechococcus sp., Thalassiosira weissflogii and Crocosphaera watsonii) were
9	reported in laboratory studies (Leleyter et al. 2016; Liu et al. 2018; Shi et al. 2015; Shi et
10	al. 2016; Zhou et al. 2016). Enhanced growth of marine phytoplankton (e.g., Diatoms,
11	Trichodesmium, photosynthetic picoeukaryotes, unicellular diazotrophic cyanobacterium
12	group A, Synechococcus and Prochlorococcus) in the presence of added Al has also been
13	observed in field studies (Liu et al. 2018; Shi et al. 2016; Zhou et al. 2017). Our
14	unpublished data indicate that Al enrichment (40 nM and 200 nM) enhanced the yield of
15	all the tested diatoms, namely Thalassiosira oceanica, T. pseudonana, Phaeodactylum
16	tricornutum and Chaetoceros muelleri, in phosphorus-deficient Aquil medium (Zhou et
17	al. unpublished manuscript).
10	

# **4.2** Enhanced nitrogen fixation in the presence of Al

1	Previous studies indicate that Al is linked to nitrogen fixation and nitrogen-fixers.
2	Positive correlations between dissolved Al, nitrogen fixation and Trichodesmium
3	abundance have been reported in the Atlantic Ocean (Moore et al. 2009; Rijkenberg et al.
4	2011). In these studies Al was treated only as an indicator of terrigenous dust (/Fe) input,
5	and the roles of Al in influencing nitrogen fixation and nitrogen-fixers were usually
6	unnoticed. In fact, Trichodesmium could not only accumulate a large amount of Al (e.g.,
7	2.6 $\mu$ mol/ $\mu$ g chlorophyll- <i>a</i> ) (Moore et al. 2009; Tovar-Sanchez et al. 2006), but it also
8	contains a gene of unknown function involved in Al resistance (da Cunha et al. 2009).
9	A recent study shows that enhanced nitrogen fixation and increased growth of
10	nitrogen-fixers occurs in the presence of Al. By adding Al to natural seawater collected
11	from the South China Sea, a large subtropical and tropical marginal sea, we observed
12	enhanced growth of Trichodesmium, and increased nitrogen fixation by the whole
13	plankton community (Zhou et al. 2017). Another study indicated that addition of a low
14	level of Al (200 nM) to natural seawater in the South China Sea could not only stimulate
15	nitrogen fixation by the whole plankton community, but could also increase the growth
16	and the <i>nifH</i> gene expression of two nitrogen-fixers ( <i>Trichodesmium</i> spp. and unicellular
17	diazotrophic cyanobacterium group A (Liu et al. 2018). Stimulatory effects of Al on the
18	growth and nitrogen fixation of the unicellular diazotrophic cyanobacterium
19	Crocosphaera watsonii in phosphorus-deficient Aquil medium have also been confirmed
20	in a laboratory study (Liu et al. 2018).

# **4.3 Enhanced uptake of Fe in the presence of Al**

3	Another beneficial effect of Al on marine phytoplankton growth is that some marine
4	diatoms increase their Fe uptake in the presence of Al. Santana-Casiano et al. (1997)
5	reported that the Fe uptake by Thalassiosira weissflogii increased in the presence of Al.
6	They suggested that an increase of labile Fe could be one of the reasons for increased Fe
7	uptake by the diatom in the presence of Al. However, they recognized that the
8	mechanism for the transformation reactions from stable Fe-organic complexes, inorganic
9	crystalline Fe hydrolysis products and small Fe colloids to labile Fe was not clear.
10	New understanding of Fe uptake by marine microorganisms, and the catalytic role of
11	Al in the reduction of Fe(III) to Fe(II), suggests a new perspective on why the presence of
12	Al could increase Fe uptake by marine phytoplankton. On the one hand, extracellular
13	reduction of Fe (III) to Fe (II) is considered a prerequisite for Fe uptake by most
14	phytoplankton (Shaked et al. 2005), and an Fe-acquisition strategy allowing other
15	microbes (such as denitrifiers or anammox-capable species) to succeed in regions where
16	the concentrations of bioavailable Fe are exceedingly low (Kondo and Moffett 2015;
17	Moffett et al. 2007). Extracellular reduction of Fe(III) complexes by biogenic superoxide
18	is an important way of producing Fe(II) (Rose and Waite 2005). Besides photochemical
19	production of superoxide in the marine environment, many marine organisms including
20	diatoms, cyanobacteria and heterotrophic bacteria can produce superoxide, which is

1	capable of reducing a wide range of forms of Fe(III) under typical environmental
2	conditions, such as those found in many marine systems (Diaz et al. 2013; Hansel et al.
3	2016; Rose 2012; Schneider et al. 2016).
4	On the other hand, Al can potentially facilitate the reduction of Fe(III) to Fe(II) and
5	increase Fe availability to marine organisms. First, Al has an intrinsic high potential to
6	stabilize the superoxide anion (Exley 2004; Mujika et al. 2011). This could increase the
7	lifetimes of superoxide long enough to enable it diffuse well away from the site of its
8	production, and influence the reduction of Fe(III) on a spatial scale that is biologically
9	significant (Rose 2012). Second, Al could bind with superoxide to form an Al-superoxide
10	complex, catalyzing the reduction of Fe(III) to Fe(II), and increasing the concentration of
11	Fe(II) (Exley 2004; Khan et al. 2006; Mujika et al. 2011; Ruipérez et al. 2012); the result
12	would be an increase in labile and readily internalized Fe (Fig. 2).
13	Accordingly, we hypothesize that Al can facilitate Fe uptake by marine
14	phytoplankton and other microbes through forming an Al-superoxide complex, catalyzing
15	the reduction of Fe(III) to Fe(II) and increasing Fe bioavailability. The superoxide could
16	be biogenic in origin or from other external sources, as discussed above.
17	
18	



2	Figure 2 Schematic diagram illustrating how Al could facilitate Fe uptake by marine
3	phytoplankton and other microbes. 1. Al binds with superoxide to form an
4	Al-superoxide complex (e.g. $AlO_2^{\bullet 2+}$ ); 2. Al-superoxide catalyzes the reduction
5	of Fe (III) to Fe (II); 3. Increased bioavailability of Fe (II) results in enhanced
6	Fe uptake by phytoplankton (or other microbial) cells. The thermodynamic
7	tendency to form Al-superoxide is Al species-dependent, and it decreases in the
8	following order: Al <sup>3+</sup> , Al(OH) <sup>2+</sup> , Al(OH) <sub>2</sub> <sup>+</sup> , Al(OH) <sub>3</sub> (Ruipérez et al. 2012).
9	
10	4.4 Aluminum facilitates dissolved organic phosphorus (DOP) utilization by
11	marine phytoplankton
11 12	marine phytoplankton Zhou et al. (2016) suggested that the Al-related enhanced utilization of DOP could
11 12 13	marine phytoplankton Zhou et al. (2016) suggested that the Al-related enhanced utilization of DOP could account for the increased yield of marine phytoplankton that was observed in the
11 12 13 14	marine phytoplankton         Zhou et al. (2016) suggested that the Al-related enhanced utilization of DOP could         account for the increased yield of marine phytoplankton that was observed in the         presence of Al under conditions of phosphorus limitation. To examine why Al

16 media, but did not influence diatom growth and yield in phosphorus-sufficient media,

1	they demonstrated that the Al-treated T. weissflogii cells utilized DOP at a higher rate
2	than the control cells under conditions of phosphorus limitation. Their results indicate
3	that Al enrichment led to a higher proportion of alkaline phosphatase activity (APA)
4	being associated with the diatom cell surface, and a lower proportion of APA in dissolved
5	form. More interestingly, the Al-treated diatom cells could use cellular APA more
6	efficiently to utilize DOP than could the control cells, as the ratio of DOP uptake rate to
7	cellular APA was significantly higher for the Al-treated cells than for the control cells.
8	The increased yield of other diatom species (Thalassiosira oceanica, Thalassiosira
9	pseudonana, Phaeodactylum tricornutum, Chaetoceros muelleri) and growth of one
10	nitrogen-fixing cyanobacterium (Crocosphaera watsonii) in the presence of Al in
11	phosphorus-deficient Aquil media could also be related to the enhanced utilization of
12	DOP (Zhou et al. unpublished manuscript and Liu et al. 2018).
13	It should be pointed out that, despite the demonstration of enhanced utilization of Fe
14	and DOP by marine phytoplankton in the presence of Al, we are still far from a full
15	understanding of the mechanisms underlying the beneficial effects of Al on marine
16	phytoplankton. Beneficial effects of Al on terrestrial plants (such as tea trees) have been
17	reported, and several mechanisms including increasing Fe availability, promotion of
18	nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium uptake, protection against copper, manganese and
19	phosphorus toxicity, and alleviation of $\boldsymbol{H}^{\!\scriptscriptstyle +}$ toxicity have been proposed for different plant
20	genotypes and growth media (reviews in Foy (1984); Osaki et al. (1997)). As no

1	established biological function of Al has yet been found, the suggestion of indirect roles
2	of Al in the enhanced growth of marine phytoplankton is more readily accepted by most
3	of us who are accustomed to thinking of Al as a non-essential element that is toxic to
4	freshwater organisms and terrestrial plants and animals. However, we suggest that our
5	thinking and exploration of the roles of Al in the enhanced growth of marine
6	phytoplankton should not be constrained by established mechanisms of action, since our
7	understanding of Al effects on marine organisms is still embryonic and limited.
8	
9	5 Possible links of Al to the biological pump and global carbon cycle
10	More and more evidence shows that Al could play an important role in the biological
11	pump and the global carbon cycle, by influencing marine phytoplankton growth and
12	biogenic matter decay. First, the beneficial effects of Al on marine phytoplankton growth,
13	as described in section 4, indicate that Al could increase carbon fixation in the upper
14	layers of the ocean. On the one hand, by facilitating the uptake of Fe by marine
15	phytoplankton, Al could enhance carbon fixation in the high-nutrient-low-chlorophyll
16	(HNLC) oceans such as the Southern Ocean, and nitrogen fixation and carbon fixation in
17	the oligotrophic tropical and subtropical oceans. On the other hand, by enhancing
18	phytoplankton utilization of DOP, Al could increase carbon fixation in coastal seawaters
19	such as estuaries, where phosphorus limitation can sporadically occur (Lin et al. 2016),
20	and in phosphorus-limited oligotrophic seawater such as the tropical Atlantic Ocean

1	(Browning et al. 2017). The Al-related enhanced carbon fixation in the upper oceans
2	would provide increased organic carbon could potentially be exported to the deep ocean
3	through the biological pump.
4	Second, Al could increase the proportion of organic carbon fixed in the upper ocean
5	that is exported and sequestered in the deep ocean, by reducing the decomposition and
6	decay of particulate biogenic matter. It is well known that Al can reduce the solubility of
7	diatom frustules when it is incorporated into them (Beck et al. 2002; Dixit et al. 2001;
8	Sarmiento and Gruber 2006; Van Cappellen et al. 2002), potentially leading to an
9	increased flux of diatom frustules and associated organic matter into the deep ocean. In
10	addition, Al has been reported to be the most abundant trace metal in fecal pellets
11	produced by zooplankton (e.g., salps) (Cabanes et al. 2017); we suggest that the presence
12	of Al could reduce the solubility and degradation of these pellets, which show higher
13	export efficiencies to the deep ocean than the bulk of sinking particulate organic carbon
14	(Anderson 1998; Cabanes et al. 2017). According to our recent unpublished laboratory
15	data on the microbial degradation of senescent marine diatom cells, the decay of
16	particulate organic carbon fixed by the diatoms was delayed in the presence of Al, and
17	this was accompanied by an increase in cell size and cellular carbon content. All of these
18	effects of Al on particulate biogenic matter would tend to increase the efficiency of the
19	biological pump, leading to increased export of particulate organic carbon produced in
20	the upper ocean to the deep ocean.

1	Third, Al can substitute for other cations (e.g., Mg) in biological systems, reacting
2	with biomolecules to form strong bonds that are slow to dissociate (Exley and Mold 2015;
3	Williams 1996). In addition, Al can induce the formation of strong structures in a wide
4	range of peptides, through binding amino acid sidechains (Mujika et al. 2017). Binding to
5	the peptide backbone has also been considered (Mujika et al. 2014; Song et al. 2014) but
6	is less favored (Mujika et al. 2017). As a result, Al may make organic carbon more
7	difficult to decompose, again leading to greater and longer sequestration in the ocean
8	depths.
9	In summary, we suggest that Al likely plays an unrecognized but important role in the
10	marine and global carbon cycles, not only by enhancing CO <sub>2</sub> fixation in the upper ocean,
11	but also by favouring the export and sequestration of the fixed carbon to the deep ocean.
12	
13	6 The relationship of Al with climate change and Iron Hypothesis
14	The possible links of Al to the biological pump and the global carbon cycle, and the
15	inverse correlation of Al inputs into the Southern Ocean with atmospheric $CO_2$ in
16	geological times, indicate that Al may play an important role in climate change.
17	

### **6.1** A flaw in the Iron Hypothesis

3	The Iron Hypothesis was proposed by John Martin, who considered that higher Fe
4	(dust) input into the Southern Ocean was associated with cooler temperatures and lower
5	atmospheric CO <sub>2</sub> concentrations in geological times. This hypothesis was based on the
6	data recorded in the Vostok ice core, which indicated that Fe inputs into the Southern
7	Ocean were inversely correlated with atmospheric CO <sub>2</sub> concentrations over the past 160
8	thousand years (Martin 1990). The hypothesis led to the idea that adding Fe to the ocean
9	surface waters in the Fe-limited HNLC oceans such as the Southern Ocean would
10	stimulate the growth of marine phytoplankton such as diatoms, drawing down
11	atmospheric $CO_2$ and in turn cooling the planet. More and more paleo-oceanographic
12	data (Abelmann et al. 2006; Kumar et al. 1995; Lambert et al. 2008; Martínez-García et
13	al. 2014; Martínez-Garcia et al. 2011), as well as modern evidence from natural Fe
14	fertilization through dust deposition or upwelling in the Fe-limited HNLC oceans (Bishop
15	et al. 2002; Blain et al. 2007; Han et al. 2006; Pollard et al. 2009), support the proposed
16	link of Fe to climate change, i.e., inputs of Fe into the HNLC oceans would result in
17	phytoplankton blooms in the upper layer, and carbon export and sequestration in the deep
18	ocean.



20 fertilizations conducted in Fe-limited seawaters all over the world. To examine the idea

1	that Fe fertilization might control past and future climate, as predicted by the Iron
2	Hypothesis, 13 ocean Fe fertilization experiments in Fe-limited seawaters, such as the
3	Southern Ocean, have been completed since 1993. Adding Fe indeed brought about
4	phytoplankton blooms in the upper ocean, but it did not necessarily result in increased
5	carbon export and sequestration into the deep ocean (Boyd et al. 2007; Boyd et al. 2004;
6	Martin et al. 2013). In contrast, enhanced carbon export to the deep ocean was
7	consistently observed following natural Fe fertilization; natural Fe fertilization was 10 to
8	100 times more efficient at removing carbon from the surface ocean than any artificial Fe
9	fertilization has been (Blain et al. 2007; Pollard et al. 2009; Salter et al. 2014; Wolff et al.
10	2011). This observation led us to consider that Fe alone could not adequately explain the
11	drawdown of atmospheric CO <sub>2</sub> concentrations and cooler temperatures in glacial periods,
12	i.e., there could be a flaw in the Iron Hypothesis, and the differences between natural and
13	experimental Fe fertilizations may tell us where the flaw lies.
14	

#### 1 6.2 A revised Iron-Aluminum Hypothesis

2 Natural Fe fertilization, as caused by dust deposition, upwelling and hydrothermal 3 venting, provides the ocean not only Fe, but also Al and other elements. Silicate and 4 phosphorus from dust deposition have been suggested to favor net primary production 5 and a greater carbon sink in the ocean (Falkowski et al. 1998; Harrison 2000). It is also 6 well established that dust deposition is the major source of dissolved Al in the upper layer 7 of the open ocean, most of this Al being in the form of aluminosilicates. Several studies 8 have reported the solubility of Al in dust aerosols (e.g., Baker et al. 2006; Buck et al. 9 2013; Measures et al. 2010). The median solubility is around 5%, and 80% of the 10 dissolvable Al in dust aerosols is released rapidly in seawater, within the first 24 h, and a 11 further 20% is released slowly over the next three days (Measures et al. 2010). We here 12 propose that the Al associated with natural Fe fertilization may be responsible for the 13 inconsistency between natural and experimental Fe fertilization events. 14 Re-examining the argument for the Iron Hypothesis, we find that the original data • 15 from the Vostok ice core showed an inverse correlation not between Fe and  $CO_2$ , but 16 rather between Al and  $CO_2$  (Fig. 3). Martin converted the original Al data to Fe 17 estimates using Taylor's (1964) crustal abundance values (Martin 1990). In other 18 words, the original data also support the idea that higher Al inputs into the Southern 19 Ocean were associated with cooler temperatures and lower atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations in geological times (De Angelis et al. 1987; Petit et al. 1999). 20

1	•	As discussed earlier, with reference to the effect of Al on various biogeochemical
2		processes (Section 4), this Al could allow marine phytoplankton to use the Fe inputs
3		from natural processes like dust deposition or hydrothermal venting more efficiently.
4	•	Finally, the ability of Al to reduce the decomposition and decay of biogenic matter
5		could favor the export of the Fe fertilization-generated organic carbon in HNLC
6		oceans to the deep ocean. Moreover, Al could also potentially increase carbon export
7		and sequestration in non-HNLC oceans by stimulating nitrogen fixation and
8		facilitating the utilization of Fe and DOP by marine phytoplankton, as discussed in
9		Section 4.







together with mean  $\mathrm{CO}_2$  concentrations in air trapped in ice, versus mean age

1	of ice. Original figure adapted from Martin (1990). Al data are from De
2	Angelis et al. (1987); $CO_2$ concentrations are from Barnola et al. (1987).
3	
4	Accordingly, we would like to propose a revision to the original Iron Hypothesis, to
5	propose a new Fe-Al hypothesis that takes into account the roles played by Al as well as
6	Fe in the glacial-interglacial change in atmospheric CO <sub>2</sub> concentrations and climate
7	change. In the new hypothesis, we do not deny the important role of Fe, but seek
8	recognition that the role of Al is also important in the global carbon cycle, as outlined in
9	Fig. 4. We predict that adding both Al and Fe in the HNLC oceans such as the Southern
10	Ocean, will result not only in phytoplankton blooms in the upper ocean, but also high
11	carbon export and sequestration to the deep ocean.



1	Figure 4. Schematic description of the revised Fe-Al Hypothesis. Natural Fe fertilization
2	processes like (but not limited to) dust deposition provide the ocean not only
3	with Fe but also Al; Al, as well as Fe, plays an important role in the
4	glacial-interglacial change in atmospheric $CO_2$ concentration and climate
5	change.
6	
7	
8	
9	Acknowledgements
10	We thank Dr. Fengjie Liu for helpful discussion of our work. This work is supported
11	by the National Key Basic Research Program of China (973 Program, 2015CB452904),
12	the National Natural Science Foundation of China (41506150), the Strategic Priority
13	Research Program of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (XDA11020305), and the
14	Science and Technology Planning Project of Guangdong Province, China
15	(2017B030314052), the Natural Science Foundation of Guangdong Province, China
16	(2015A030310169) and the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of
17	Canada (NSERC). C. Fortin and P.G.C. Campbell benefitted from support from the
18	Canada Research Chairs program.
19	

#### **References**

2	Abelmann A, Gersonde R, Cortese G, Kuhn G, Smetacek V (2006) Extensive
3	phytoplankton blooms in the Atlantic sector of the glacial Southern Ocean.
4	Paleoceanography 21:PA1013. doi: 10.1029/2005pa001199
5	Anderson V (1998) Salp and pyrosomid blooms and their importance in biogeochemical
6	cycles. In: Bone Q (ed) The Biology of Pelagic Tunicates. Oxford University
7	Press, Oxford, pp 125-137
8	Angel BM, Apte SC, Batley GE, Golding LA (2016) Geochemical controls on aluminium
9	concentrations in coastal waters. Environ Chem 13:111-118. doi:
10	10.1071/EN15029
11	Baker AR, Jickells TD, Witt M, Linge KL (2006) Trends in the solubility of iron,
12	aluminium, manganese and phosphorus in aerosol collected over the Atlantic
13	Ocean. Mar Chem 98: 43-58. doi: 10.1016/j.marchem.2005.06.004
14	Barnola JM, Raynaud D, Korotkevich YS, Lorius C (1987) Vostok ice core provides
15	160,000-year record of atmospheric CO <sub>2</sub> . Nature 329: 408-414. doi:
16	10.1038/329408a0
17	Beardmore J, Lopez X, Mujika JI, Exley C (2016) What is the mechanism of formation
18	of hydroxyaluminosilicates? Sci Rep 6: 8. doi: 10.1038/srep30913
19	Beck L, Gehlen M, Flank AM, Van Bennekom AJ, Van Beusekom JEE (2002) The
20	relationship between Al and Si in biogenic silica as determined by PIXE and XAS.
21	Nucl Instrum Methods Phys Res Sect B 189:180-184. doi:
22	10.1016/s0168-583x(01)01035-7
23	Bengtsson B-E (1978) Use of a harpacticoid copepod in toxicity tests. Mar Pollut Bull
24	9:238-241. doi: 10.1016/0025-326X(78)90378-8

1	Bishop JKB, Davis RE, Sherman JT (2002) Robotic observations of dust storm
2	enhancement of carbon biomass in the North Pacific. Science 298:817-821. doi:
3	10.1126/science.1074961
4	Blain S et al. (2007) Effect of natural iron fertilization on carbon sequestration in the
5	Southern Ocean. Nature 446:1070-1074. doi: 10.1038/nature05700
6	Boyd PW et al. (2007) Mesoscale iron enrichment experiments 1993-2005: synthesis and
7	future directions. Science 315:612-617. doi: 10.1126/science.1131669
8	Boyd PW et al. (2004) The decline and fate of an iron-induced subarctic phytoplankton
9	bloom. Nature:1-4. doi: 10.1038/nature02437
10	Buck CS, Landing WM, Resing J (2013) Pacific Ocean aerosols: Deposition and
11	solubility of iron, aluminum, and other trace elements. Mar Chem 157: 117-130.
12	doi: 10.1016/j.marchem.2013.09.005
13	Browning TJ, Achterberg EP, Yong JC, Rapp I, Utermann C, Engel A, Moore CM (2017)
14	Iron limitation of microbial phosphorus acquisition in the tropical North Atlantic.
15	Nature Commun 8:15465. doi: 10.1038/ncomms15465
16	Cabanes DJE, Norman L, Santos-Echeandía J, Iversen MH, Trimborn S, Laglera LM,
17	Hassler CS (2017) First evaluation of the role of salp fecal pellets on iron
18	biogeochemistry. Front Mar Sci 3. doi: 10.3389/fmars.2016.00289
19	Calabrese A, Collier RS, Nelson DA, MacInnes JR (1973) The toxicity of heavy metals
20	to embryos of the American oyster Crassostrea virginica. Mar Biol 18:162-166.
21	doi: 10.1007/BF00367984
22	Campbell PGC, Hansen HJ, Dubreuil B, Nelson WO (1992) Geochemistry of Quebec
23	North Shore salmon rivers during snowmelt: organic acid pulse and aluminum
24	mobilization. Can J Fish Aquat Sci 49:1938-1952. doi: 10.1139/f92-215

1	Caplat C, Oral R, Mahaut ML, Mao A, Barillier D, Guida M, Della Rocca C, Pagano G
2	(2010) Comparative toxicities of aluminum and zinc from sacrificial anodes or
3	from sulfate salt in sea urchin embryos and sperm. Ecotox Environ Saf
4	73:1138-1143. doi: 10.1016/j.ecoenv.2010.06.024
5	Collier R, Edmond J (1984) The trace element geochemistry of marine biogenic
6	particulate matter. Prog Oceanogr 13:113-199. doi:
7	10.1016/0079-6611(84)90008-9
8	Crémazy A, Campbell PGC, Fortin C (2013) The Biotic Ligand Model can successfully
9	predict the uptake of a trivalent Ion by a unicellular alga below pH 6.50 but not
10	above: possible role of hydroxo-species. Environ Sci Technol 47:2408-2415. doi:
11	10.1021/es3038388
12	da Cunha LC, Croot P, LaRoche J (2009) Influence of river discharge in the tropical and
13	subtropical North Atlantic Ocean. Limnol Oceanogr 54:644-648. doi:
14	10.4319/lo.2009.54.2.0644
15	De Angelis M, Barkov N, Petrov V (1987) Aerosol concentrations over the last climatic
16	cycle (160 kyr) from an Antarctic ice core. Nature 325:318-321. doi:
17	10.1038/325318a0
18	Diaz JM, Hansel CM, Voelker BM, Mendes CM, Andeer PF, Zhang T (2013)
19	Widespread production of extracellular superoxide by heterotrophic bacteria.
20	Science 340:1223-1226. doi: 10.1126/science.1237331
21	Dixit S, Van Cappellen P, van Bennekom AJ (2001) Processes controlling solubility of
22	biogenic silica and pore water build-up of silicic acid in marine sediments. Mar
23	Chem 73:333-352. doi: 10.1016/S0304-4203(00)00118-3

1	Driscoll CT, Schecher WD (1990) The chemistry of aluminum in the environment
2	Environ Geochem Health 12:28-49. doi: 10.1007/BF01734046
3	Ebihara N, Uchida T, Ogura H, Oguma K (2006) Determination of metal elements in
4	marine planktons after pressurized acid decomposition Bunseki Kagaku
5	55:855-861. doi: 10.2116/bunsekikagaku.55.855
6	Elderfield H, Schultz A (1996) Mid-ocean ridge hydrothermal fluxes and the chemical
7	composition of the ocean. Ann Rev Earth Planet Sci 24: 191-224. doi:
8	10.1146/annurev.earth.24.1.191
9	Exley C (2004) The pro-oxidant activity of aluminum. Free Radical Bio Med 36:380-387.
10	doi: 10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2003.11.017
11	Exley C (2012) Reflections upon and recent insight into the mechanism of formation of
12	hydroxyaluminosilicates and the therapeutic potential of silicic acid. Coord Chem
13	Rev 256: 82-88. doi: 10.1016/j.ccr.2011.06.022
14	Exley C, Mold MJ (2015) The binding, transport and fate of aluminium in biological cells.
15	J Trace Elem Med Bio 30:90-95. doi: 10.1016/j.jtemb.2014.11.002
16	Falkowski PG, Barber RT, Smetacek V (1998) Biogeochemical controls and feedbacks
17	on ocean primary production. Science 281: 200-206. doi:
18	10.1126/science.281.5374.200
19	Foy CD (1984) Physiological effects of hydrogen, aluminum, and manganese toxicities in
20	acid soil. In: Adams F (ed) Soil Acidity and Liming, 2nd edn. pp 57-97. American
21	Society of Agronomy, Madison, WI, USA
22	Gehlen M, Beck L, Calas G, Flank AM, Van Bennekom AJ, Van Beusekom JEE (2002)
23	Unraveling the atomic structure of biogenic silica: evidence of the structural

1	association of Al and Si in diatom frustules. Geochim Cosmochim Acta
2	66:1601-1609. doi: 10.1016/s0016-7037(01)00877-8
3	Gensemer RW, Playle RC (1999) The bioavailability and toxicity of aluminum in aquatic
4	environments. Crit Rev Environ Sci Technol 29:315-450. doi:
5	10.1080/10643389991259245
6	Gillmore ML, Golding LA, Angel BM, Adams MS, Jolley DF (2016) Toxicity of
7	dissolved and precipitated aluminium to marine diatoms. Aquat Toxicol
8	174:82-91. doi: 10.1016/j.aquatox.2016.02.004
9	Golding LA, Angel BM, Batley GE, Apte SC, Krassoi R, Doyle CJ (2015) Derivation of
10	a water quality guideline for aluminium in marine waters. Environ Toxicol Chem
11	34:141-151. doi: 10.1002/etc.2771
12	Hall IR, Hydes DJ, Statham PJ, Overnell J (1999) Seasonal variations in the cycling of
13	aluminium, cadmium and manganese in a Scottish sea loch: biogeochemical
14	processes involving suspended particles. Cont Shelf Res 19:1783-1808. doi:
15	10.1016/S0278-4343(99)00056-4
16	Han Q, Moore JK, Zender C, Measures C, Hydes D (2008) Constraining oceanic dust
17	deposition using surface ocean dissolved Al. Global Biogeochem Cycles
18	22:GB2003. doi: 10.1029/2007gb002975
19	Han Y, Fang X, Xi X, Song L, Yang S (2006) Dust storm in Asia continent and its
20	bio-environmental effects in the North Pacific: A case study of the strongest dust
21	event in April, 2001 in central Asia. Chin Sci Bull 51:723-730. doi:
22	10.1007/s11434-006-0723-2

1	Hansel CM, Buchwald C, Ossolinski J, Dyhrman S, Van Mooy B, Polyviou D (2016)
2	Dynamics of extracellular superoxide production by Trichodesmium colonies
3	from the Sargasso Sea. Limnol Oceanogr 61:1188-1200. doi: 10.1002/lno.10266
4	Harford AJ, Hogan AC, Tsang JJ, Parry DL, Negri AP, Adams MS, Stauber JL, van Dam
5	RA (2011) Effects of alumina refinery wastewater and signature metal
6	constituents at the upper thermal tolerance of: 1. The tropical diatom Nitzschia
7	closterium Mar Pollut Bull 62:466-473. doi: 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2011.01.013
8	Harrison KG (2000) Role of increased marine silica input on paleo- $pCO_2$ levels.
9	Paleoceanography 15: 292-298. doi: 10.1029/1999PA000427
10	Kaupp LJ, Measures CI, Selph KE, Mackenzie FT (2011) The distribution of dissolved
11	Fe and Al in the upper waters of the Eastern Equatorial Pacific. Deep-Sea Res II:
12	58:296-310. doi: 10.1016/j.dsr2.2010.08.009
13	Khan A, Dobson JP, Exley C (2006) Redox cycling of iron by A beta(42). Free Radical
14	Bio Med 40: 557-569. doi: 10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2005.09.013
15	Kondo Y, Moffett JW (2015) Iron redox cycling and subsurface offshore transport in the
16	eastern tropical South Pacific oxygen minimum zone Mar Chem 168:95-103. doi:
17	10.1016/j.marchem.2014.11.007
18	Koning E, Gehlen M, Flank AM, Calas G, Epping E (2007) Rapid post-mortem
19	incorporation of aluminum in diatom frustules: evidence from chemical and
20	structural analyses. Mar Chem 106:208-222. doi: 10.1016/j.marchem.2006.06.009
21	Kumar N, Anderson RF, Mortlock RA, Froelich PN, Kubik P, Dittrich-Hannen B, Suter
22	M (1995) Increased biological productivity and export production in the glacial
23	Southern Ocean. Nature 378:675-680. doi: 10.1038/378675a0

1	Kuss J, Kremling K (1999) Spatial variability of particle associated trace elements in
2	near-surface waters of the North Atlantic (30°N/60°W to 60°N/2°W), derived by
3	large volume sampling. Mar Chem 68:71-86. doi:
4	10.1016/S0304-4203(99)00066-3
5	Lambert F , Delmonte B, Petit JR, Bigler M, Kaufmann PR, Hutterli MA, Stocker TF,
6	Ruth U, Steffensen JP, Maggi V (2008) Dust-climate couplings over the past
7	800,000 years from the EPICA Dome C ice core. Nature 452:616-619. doi:
8	10.1038/nature06763
9	Leleyter L, Baraud F, Gil O, Gouali S, Lemoine M, Orvain F (2016) Al impact on growth
10	of benthic diatoms. In: Williams S (ed) Marine Sediments: Formation,
11	Distribution and Environmental Impacts, Nova Science Publishers, pp 61-79
12	Lin S, Litaker RW, Sunda WG (2016) Phosphorus physiological ecology and molecular
13	mechanisms in marine phytoplankton. J Phycol 52:10-36. doi: 10.1111/jpy.12365
14	Liu J, Zhou L, Ke Z, Li G, Shi R, Tan Y (2017a) Effect of aluminum on the growth and
15	nitrogen fixation of a marine nitrogen-fixing cyanobacterium: Crocosphaera
16	watsonii (In Chinese with English abstract). J Trop Oceanogr 36:12-18. doi:
17	10.11978/2016069
18	Liu J, Zhou L, Li G, Ke Z, Shi R, Tan Y (2018) Beneficial effects of aluminum
19	enrichment on nitrogen-fixing cyanobacteria in the South China Sea. Mar Pollut
20	Bull In press. doi: 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2018.02.011
21	Liu J, Zhou L, Tan Y, Wang Q, Hu Z, Li J, Jiang X, Ke Z (2017b) Distribution of
22	reactive aluminum under the influence of mesoscale eddies in the western South
23	China Sea. Acta Oceanol Sin 36:95-103. doi: 10.1007/s1313

1	Macdonald JM, Shields JD, Zimmer-Faust RK (1988) Acute toxicities of eleven metals to
2	early life-history stages of the yellow crab Cancer anthonyi. Mar Biol 98:201-207.
3	doi: 10.1007/BF00391195
4	Macdonald TL, Martin RB (1988) Aluminum ion in biological systems. Trends Biochem
5	Sci 13:15-19. doi: 10.1016/0968-0004(88)90012-6
6	Machill S, Kohler L, Ueberlein S, Hedrich R, Kunaschk M, Paasch S, Schulze R,
7	Brunner E (2013) Analytical studies on the incorporation of aluminium in the cell
8	walls of the marine diatom Stephanopyxis turris. BioMetals 26:141-150. doi:
9	10.1007/s10534-012-9601-3
10	Maring HB, Duce RA (1987) The impact of atmospheric aerosols on trace metal
11	chemistry in open ocean surface seawater, 1. Aluminum. Earth Planet Sci Lett
12	84:381-392. doi: 10.1016/0012-821X(87)90003-3
13	Martínez-García A, Sigman DM, Ren HJ, Anderson RF, Straub M, Hodell DA, Jaccard
14	SL, Eglinton TI, Haug GH (2014) Iron fertilization of the subantarctic Ocean
15	during the Last Ice Age. Science 343:1347-1350. doi: 10.1126/science.1246848
16	Martínez-Garcia A, Rosell-Melé A, Jaccard SL, Geibert W, Sigman DM, Haug GH (2011)
17	Southern Ocean dust-climate coupling over the past four million years. Nature
18	476:312-315. doi: 10.1038/nature10310
19	Martin JH (1990) Glacial-interglacial CO <sub>2</sub> change: the Iron Hypothesis.
20	Paleoceanography 5:1-13. doi: 10.1029/PA005i001p00001
21	Martin JH, Knauer GA (1973) The elemental composition of plankton. Geochim
22	Cosmochim Acta 37:1639-1653. doi: 10.1016/0016-7037(73)90154-3

1	Martin P et al. (2013) Iron fertilization enhanced net community production but not
2	downward particle flux during the Southern Ocean iron fertilization experiment
3	LOHAFEX. Global Biogeochem Cycles 27:871-881. doi: 10.1002/gbc.20077
4	Measures CI, Sato T, Vink S, Howell S, Li YH (2010) The fractional solubility of
5	aluminium from mineral aerosols collected in Hawaii and implications for
6	atmospheric deposition of biogeochemically important trace elements. Marine
7	Chem 120: 144-153. doi: 10.1016/j.marchem.2009.01.014
8	Measures C, Hatta M, Fitzsimmons J, Morton P (2015) Dissolved Al in the zonal N
9	Atlantic section of the US GEOTRACES 2010/2011 cruises and the importance
10	of hydrothermal inputs. Deep-Sea Res II 116:176-186. doi:
11	10.1016/j.dsr2.2014.07.006
12	Menzel DW, Hulburt EM, Tyther JH (1963) The effects of enriching Sargasso sea water
13	on the production and species composition of the phytoplankton. Deep Sea Res
14	Oceanogr Abstr 10:209-219. doi: 10.1016/0011-7471(63)90357-7
15	Middag R, van Slooten C, de Baar HJW, Laan P (2011) Dissolved aluminium in the
16	Southern Ocean. Deep-Sea Res II 58:2647-2660. doi: 10.1016/j.dsr2.2011.03.001
17	Millero FJ (2009) Effect of ocean acidification on the speciation of metals in seawater.
18	Oceanography 22: 72-85. doi: 10.5670/oceanog.2009.98
19	Moffett JW, Goepfert TJ, Naqvi SWA (2007) Reduced iron associated with secondary
20	nitrite maxima in the Arabian Sea. Deep-Sea Res I 54:1341-1349. doi:
21	10.1016/j.dsr.2007.04.004
22	Moore CM et al. (2009) Large-scale distribution of Atlantic nitrogen fixation controlled
23	by iron availability. Nature Geosci 2:867-871. doi: 10.1038/NGEO667

1	Moran SB, Moore RM (1988) Evidence from mesocosm studies for biological removal of
2	dissolved aluminium from sea water. Nature 335:706-708. doi: 10.1038/335706a0
3	Mujika JI, Dalla Torre G, Formoso E, Grande-Aztatzi R, Grabowski SJ, Exley C, Lopez
4	X (2017) Aluminum's preferential binding site in proteins: sidechain of amino
5	acids versus backbone interactions. J Inorg Biochem. doi:
6	10.1016/j.jinorgbio.2017.10.014
7	Mujika JI, Rezabal E, Mercero JM, Ruipérez F, Costa D, Ugalde JM, Lopez X (2014)
8	Aluminium in biological environments: a computational approach. Computat
9	Struct Biotechnol J 9:e201403002. doi: 10.5936/csbj.201403002
10	Mujika JI, Ruipérez F, Infante I, Ugalde JM, Exley C, Lopez X (2011) Pro-oxidant
11	activity of aluminum: stabilization of the aluminum superoxide radical ion. J Phys
12	Chem A 115:6717-6723. doi: 10.1021/jp203290b
13	Negri AP, Harford AJ, Parry DL, van Dam RA (2011) Effects of alumina refinery
14	wastewater and signature metal constituents at the upper thermal tolerance of: 2.
15	The early life stages of the coral Acropora tenuis. Mar Pollut Bull 62:474-482.
16	doi: 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2011.01.011
17	Orians KJ, Bruland KW (1986) The biogeochemistry of aluminum in the Pacific Ocean.
18	Earth Planet Sci Lett 78: 397-410. doi: 10.1016/0012-821X(86)90006-3
19	Osaki M, Watanabe T, Tadano T (1997) Beneficial effect of aluminum on growth of
20	plants adapted to low pH soils. Soil Sci Plant Nutr 43:551-563. doi:
21	10.1080/00380768.1997.10414782
22	Pagano G, His E, Beiras R, DeBiase A, Korkina LG, Iaccarino M, Oral R, Quiniou F,
23	Warnau M. Trieff NM (1996) Cytogenetic, developmental, and biochemical

1	effects of aluminum, iron, and their mixture in sea urchins and mussels. Arch
2	Environ Contam Toxicol 31:466-474. doi: 10.1007/BF00212429
3	Petit J-R et al. (1999) Climate and atmospheric history of the past 420,000 years from the
4	Vostok ice core, Antarctica. Nature 399:429-436. doi: 10.1038/20859
5	Petrich SM, Reish DJ (1979) Effects of aluminium and nickel on survival and
6	reproduction in polychaetous annelids. Bull Environ Contam Toxicol 23:698-702.
7	doi: 10.1007/BF01770027
8	Pierrot D, Millero FJ (2017) The speciation of metals in natural waters. Aquat Geochem
9	23:1-20. doi: 10.1007/s10498-016-9292-4
10	Planquette H, Fones GR, Statham PJ, Morris PJ (2009) Origin of iron and aluminium in
11	large particles (>53 $\mu$ m) in the Crozet region, Southern Ocean. Mar Chem
12	115:31-42. doi: 10.1016/j.marchem.2009.06.002
13	Pollard RT et al. (2009) Southern Ocean deep-water carbon export enhanced by natural
14	iron fertilization. Nature 457:577-580. doi: 10.1038/nature07716
15	Ren J-L, Zhang GL, Zhang J, Shi JH, Liu SM, Li FM, Jin J, Liu CG (2011) Distribution
16	of dissolved aluminum in the Southern Yellow Sea: influences of a dust storm and
17	the spring bloom. Mar Chem 125:69-81. doi: 10.1016/j.marchem.2011.02.004
18	Rengel Z (1996) Uptake of aluminium by plant cells. New Phytologist 134:389-406. doi:
19	10.1111/j.1469-8137.1996.tb04356.x
20	Resing JA, Sedwick PN, German CR, Jenkins WJ, Moffett JW, Sohst BM, Tagliabue A
21	(2015) Basin-scale transport of hydrothermal dissolved metals across the South
22	Pacific Ocean. Nature 523:200-203. doi: 10.1038/nature14577

1	Rijkenberg MJA, Langlois RJ, Mills MM, Patey MD, Hill PG, Nielsdottir MC, Compton
2	TJ, LaRoche J, Achterberg EP (2011) Environmental forcing of nitrogen fixation
3	in the eastern tropical and sub-tropical North Atlantic Ocean PLoS ONE 6:e28989.
4	doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0028989
5	Rose AL (2012) The influence of extracellular superoxide on iron redox chemistry and
6	bioavailability to aquatic microorganisms. Front Microbiol 3:1-21. doi:
7	10.3389/fmicb.2012.00124
8	Rose AL, Waite TD (2005) Reduction of organically complexed ferric iron by superoxide
9	in a simulated natural water. Environ Sci Technol 39:2645-2650. doi:
10	10.1021/es048765k
11	Ruipérez F, Mujika JI, Ugalde JM, Exley C, Lopez X (2012) Pro-oxidant activity of
12	aluminum: promoting the Fenton reaction by reducing Fe(III) to Fe(II). J Inorg
13	Biochem 117:118-123. doi: 10.1016/j.jinorgbio.2012.09.008
14	Saçan MT, Oztay F, Bolkent S (2007) Exposure of Dunaliella tertiolecta to lead and
15	aluminum: Toxicity and effects on ultrastructure. Biol Trace Elem Res
16	120:264-272. doi: 10.1007/s12011-007-8016-4
17	Salter I, Schiebel R, Ziveri P, Movellan A, Lampitt R, Wolff GA (2014) Carbonate
18	counter pump stimulated by natural iron fertilization in the Polar Frontal Zone.
19	Nature Geosci 7:885-889. doi: 10.1038/ngeo2285
20	Santana-Casiano JM, Gonzalez-Davila M, Laglera LM, Perez-Pena J, Brand L, Millero
21	FJ (1997) The influence of zinc, aluminum and cadmium on the uptake kinetics of
22	iron by algae. Mar Chem 59:95-111. doi: 10.1016/s0304-4203(97)00068-6

1	Santos MA (2008) Recent developments on 3-hydroxy-4-pyridinones with respect to
2	their clinical applications: mono and combined ligand approaches. Coordin Chem
3	Rev 252:1213-1224. doi: 10.1016/j.ccr.2008.01.033
4	Sarmiento JL, Gruber N (eds) (2006) Ocean Biogeochemical Dynamics. Princeton
5	University Press, Princeton, NJ, USA
6	Savenko AV, Savenko VS (2011) Aluminum hydroxide's solubility and the forms of
7	dissolved aluminum's occurrence in seawater. Oceanology 51:231-234. doi:
8	10.1134/s0001437011020147
9	Schneider RJ, Roe KL, Hansel CM, Voelker BM (2016) Species-level variability in
10	extracellular production rates of reactive oxygen species by diatoms. Front Chem
11	4:5. doi: 10.3389/fchem.2016.00005
12	Shaked Y, Kustka AB, Morel FM (2005) A general kinetic model for iron acquisition by
13	eukaryotic phytoplankton. Limnol Oceanogr 50:872-882. doi:
14	10.4319/lo.2005.50.3.0872
15	Shi R, Li G, Zhou L, Tan Y (2015) Increasing aluminum alters the growth, cellular
16	chlorophyll a and oxidation stress of cyanobacteria Synechococcus sp. Oceanol
17	Hydrobiol Stud 44:343-351. doi: 10.1515/ohs-2015-0033
18	Shi R, Li Z, Zhou L, Tan Y (2016) Influence of dissolved aluminum on marine
19	phytoplankton community structure and growth of Synechococcus sp. (In Chinese
20	with English abstract) South China Fish Sci 12:1-8. doi:
21	10.3969/j.issn.2095-0780.2016.01.001
22	Song B et al. (2014) Irreversible denaturation of proteins through aluminum-induced
23	formation of backbone ring structures. Angew Chem Int Edit 53:6358-6363. doi:
24	10.1002/anie.201307955

1	Stoffyn M (1979) Biological control of dissolved aluminum in seawater: experimental
2	evidence. Science 203:651-653. doi: 10.1126/science.203.4381.651
3	Taylor GJ, McDonald-Stephens JL, Hunter DB, Bertsch PM, Elmore D, Rengel Z, Reid
4	RJ (2000) Direct measurement of aluminum uptake and distribution in single cells
5	of Chara corallina. Plant Physiol 123:987-996. doi: 10.1104/pp.123.3.987
6	Taylor SR (1964) Abundance of chemical elements in the continental crust: a new table.
7	Geochim Cosmochim Ac 28:1273-1285. doi: 10.1016/0016-7037(64)90129-2
8	Teien H-C, Standring WJF, Salbu B (2006) Mobilization of river transported colloidal
9	aluminium upon mixing with seawater and subsequent deposition in fish gills. Sci
10	Total Environ 364:149-164. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2006.01.005
11	Tovar-Sanchez A, Sanudo-Wilhelmy SA, Kustka AB, Agusti S, Dachs J, Hutchins DA,
12	Capone DG, Duarte CM (2006) Effects of dust deposition and river discharges on
13	trace metal composition of Trichodesmium spp. in the tropical and subtropical
14	North Atlantic Ocean. Limnol Oceanogr 51:1755-1761. doi:
15	10.4319/lo.2006.51.4.1755
16	Trenfield MA, van Dam JW, Harford AJ, Parry D, Streten C, Gibb K, van Dam RA
17	(2015) Aluminium, gallium, and molybdenum toxicity to the tropical marine
18	microalga Isochrysis galbana. Environ Toxicol Chem 34:1833-1840. doi:
19	10.1002/etc.2996
20	Van Bennekom A, Buma A, Nolting R (1991) Dissolved aluminium in the
21	Weddell-Scotia Confluence and effect of Al on the dissolution kinetics of
22	biogenic silica. Mar Chem 35:423-434. doi: 10.1016/S0304-4203(09)90034-2

1	Van Cappellen P, Dixit S, van Beusekom J (2002) Biogenic silica dissolution in the
2	oceans: reconciling experimental and field-based dissolution rates. Global
3	Biogeochem Cycles 16:23-21-23-10. doi: 10.1029/2001GB001431
4	van Hulten MMP, Sterl A, Tagliabue A, Dutay JC, Gehlen M, de Baar HJW, Middag R
5	(2013) Aluminium in an ocean general circulation model compared with the West
6	Atlantic Geotraces cruises. J Mar Syst 126:3-23. doi:
7	10.1016/j.jmarsys.2012.05.005
8	Vrieling EG, Poort L, Beelen TPM, Gieskes WWC (1999) Growth and silica content of
9	the diatoms Thalassiosira weissflogii and Navicula salinarum at different
10	salinities and enrichments with aluminium. Eur J Phycol 34:307-316. doi:
11	10.1080/09670269910001736362
12	Wang Z, Ren J, Yan L, Xie F, Su R (2013) Preliminary study on scavenging mechanism
13	of dissolved aluminum by phytoplankton. Acta Ecol Sin 33:7140-7147. doi:
14	10.5846 /stxb201207251057
15	Willey JD (1975) Reactions which remove dissolved alumina from seawater. Mar Chem
16	3:227-240. doi: 10.1016/0304-4203(75)90004-3
17	Williams RJP (1996) Aluminium and biological systems: an introduction. Coordin Chem
18	Rev 149:1-9. doi: 10.1016/S0010-8545(96)90004-1
19	Wilson SP, Hyne RV (1997) Toxicity of acid-sulfate soil leachate and aluminum to
20	embryos of the Sydney rock oyster. Ecotox Environ Saf 37:30-36. doi:
21	10.1006/eesa.1996.1514
22	Wolff GA et al. (2011) The effects of natural iron fertilisation on deep-sea ecology: the
23	Crozet Plateau, southern Indian Ocean. PLoS ONE 6:e20697. doi:
24	10.1371/journal.pone.0020697

1	Xie J, Bai XC, Lavoie M, Lu HP, Fan XJ, Pan XL, Fu ZW. Qian HF (2015) Analysis of
2	the proteome of the marine miatom Phaeodactylum tricornutum exposed to
3	aluminum providing insights into aluminum toxicity mechanisms Environ Sci
4	Technol 49:11182-11190. doi: 10.1021/acs.est.5b03272
5	Yokel RA (2000) The toxicology of aluminum in the brain: a review. Neurotoxicology
6	21:813-828
7	Zhang J, Xu H, Yu ZG, Wu Y, Li JF (1999) Dissolved aluminum in four Chinese
8	estuaries: evidence of biogeochemical uncoupling of Al with nutrients. J Asian
9	Earth Sci 17:333-343. doi: 10.1016/S0743-9547(98)00068-3
10	Zhou L, Liu J, Tan SXY, Huang L (2017) Phytoplankton responses to aluminum
11	enrichment in the South China Sea. J Inorg Biochem. In press. doi:
12	10.1016/j.jinorgbio.2017.09.022
13	Zhou L, Tan Y, Huang L, Wang W-X (2016) Enhanced utilization of organic phosphorus
14	in a marine diatom Thalassiosira weissflogii: A possible mechanism for
15	aluminum effect under P limitation. J Exp Mar Biol Ecol 478:77-85. doi:
16	10.1016/j.jembe.2016.02.009