- 1 Lauren D. Hayhurst<sup>1,2</sup>, Jonathan D. Martin<sup>3</sup>, Sarah J. Wallace<sup>4</sup>, Valerie S. Langlois<sup>4</sup>, Marguerite A. Xenopoulos<sup>3</sup>,
- 2 Chris D. Metcalfe<sup>3</sup>, Michael D. Rennie<sup>1,2</sup>

# 3 Multi-Level Responses of Yellow Perch (Perca flavescens) to a Whole-lake Nanosilver Addition Study

- 4 <sup>1</sup> Lakehead University, Department of Biology, Thunder Bay, ON, Canada
- <sup>2</sup> IISD Experimental Lakes Area Inc., Winnipeg, MB, Canada
- 6 <sup>3</sup> Trent University, School of the Environment, Peterborough, ON, Canada
- <sup>7</sup> <sup>4</sup> Institut national de la recherche scientifique, Centre Eau Terre Environnement, Québec City, QC, Canada
- 8 LDH: <u>lhayhurst@iisd-ela.org</u>
- 9 JDM: jnthn.mrtn@gmail.com
- 10 SJW: <u>sarah.wallace@ete.inrs.ca</u>
- 11 VSL: <u>valerie.langlois@inrs.ca</u>
- 12 MAX: <u>mxenopoulos@trentu.ca</u>
- 13 CDM: <u>cmetcalfe@trentu.ca</u>
- 14 MDR: <u>mrennie@lakeheadu.ca</u>

# 15 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

16 Funding for the whole-lake addition project was provided by the Natural Sciences and Engineering 17 Research Council (NSERC) of Canada through the Strategic Grants Program (No. 413230-2011) and matching 18 grants from Environment and Climate Change Canada (ECCC) to CDM and MDR. The IISD-ELA provided in-kind 19 support for the project. Lee Hrenchuk, Chandra Rodgers, Patrick Kennedy, Brandon Greenaway, and students 20 assisted with fish collection. Andrea Conine, Daniel Rearick, Joseph Tonin, and Daniel Gilchrist provided additional 21 field assistance. Michael Paterson, Holger Hintelmann, and Paul Frost contributed to the design of the whole-lake 22 experiment. Vince Palace and Paul Frost provided comments on earlier drafts of the manuscript. Support for 23 biomarker analyses was provided by the National Contaminants Advisory Group of Fisheries and Oceans Canada to 24 CDM and VSL. Mercury analyses, energy density analyses, and bioenergetics modelling were supported by 25 infrastructure funded by the Canadian Foundation for Innovation, NSERC Discovery, and support from IISD-ELA 26 and the Mitacs Accelerate Program. Emianka Sotiri analyzed 2012 samples for energy density. The Alberta Centre 27 for Toxicology for analyzed 2012 samples for mercury. Susan Mann (retired) and Hilary Pritchard from Northwest 28 Biodiversity and Monitoring Ageing Laboratory with the Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry 29 provided training and age validation, Johane Joncas from the Lakehead University Environmental Laboratory 30 conducted methylmercury analyses, and Jane Kirk with Environment and Climate Change Canada assisted with 31 validation of mercury results. VSL and MDR hold Canada Research Chairs and are grateful for their generous 32 support.

# 33 ABSTRACT

34 Silver nanoparticles (AgNP) are widely used as antibacterial agents in both commercial products and for industrial 35 applications. As such, AgNP has a high potential for release into freshwater environments. As part of a whole-lake 36 ecosystem experiment to evaluate the impacts of AgNP exposure at low µg/L concentrations, we evaluated 37 biological responses in Yellow Perch (Perca flavescens) before, during, and after AgNP additions to a freshwater 38 lake. Yellow Perch were monitored for responses to in situ AgNP additions at the cellular (suite of biomarkers), 39 individual (growth, prey consumption, and metabolism), and population scale (abundance and gross prey 40 consumption). At the cellular level, several biomarkers of oxidative stress in liver tissues revealed down-regulation, 41 including decreased mRNA levels of catalase (cat) and glutathione peroxidase (gpx) in Yellow Perch collected 42 during AgNP exposure, and elevated ratios of reduced to oxidized glutathione (GSH:GSSG). At the individual level, 43 Yellow Perch bioenergetic models revealed that prey consumption and total metabolism significantly declined 44 during AgNP additions and remained depressed one year after AgNP addition. At the population level, Yellow 45 Perch densities declined, as did gross prey consumption by Yellow Perch after AgNP was added to the lake. 46 Together, these results reveal a holistic assessment of negative impacts of chronic exposure of environmentally 47 relevant AgNP concentrations (µg/L) over multiple years on Yellow Perch at cellular, individual, and population 48 levels.

*Keywords:* IISD-Experimental Lakes Area; Mass-balance; Nanoparticles; Oxidative stress; Populations;
Silver; Yellow Perch

# 51 DECLARATIONS

## 52 Funding

- 53 Funding for the whole-lake addition project was provided by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research
- 54 Council (NSERC) of Canada through the Strategic Grants Program (No. 413230-2011) and matching grants from
- 55 Environment and Climate Change Canada (ECCC). The IISD-ELA provided in-kind support for the project. Support
- 56 for biomarker analyses was provided by the National Contaminants Advisory Group of Fisheries and Oceans
- 57 Canada. Mercury analyses, energy density analyses, biomarker analyses, and bioenergetics modelling were
- 58 supported by infrastructure funded by the Canadian Foundation for Innovation, NSERC Discovery, the Canada
- 59 Research Chairs program, and support from IISD-ELA and the Mitacs Accelerate Program (Awards No. 1465260:
- 60 Recovery of fish populations from environmental nanosilver release, August 2016).

## 61 Conflicts of interest / Competing interests

62 The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### 63 Ethics approval

- Fish for the study were collected and handled under approval from the Animal Care Committee at Fisheries and
- 65 Oceans Canada (2012-13); the University of Manitoba (2014, AUP Nos. F14-007 and F14-008), Trent University
- 66 (2014-16; AUP Nos. 23694 and 23287), and Lakehead University (2015-16; AUP Nos. 1464693, 1464399,
- 67 1454655, and 1464656, and Biosafety Approval No. 1464768).

# 68 Consent to participate

69 All authors consent to participate in the publication.

# 70 Consent for publication

71 All authors provide consent for publication.

# 72 Availability of data and material

73 All data are available from the corresponding author on request.

# 74 Code availability

75 R code available from the corresponding author on request.

# 76 Authors' contributions

- 77 CDM, MAX, and MDR were among the team of investigators that designed the whole-lake addition study. LDH,
- 78 JDM, and MDR collected fish. JDM conducted analysis of glutathione and TBARS biomarkers, SJW, and VSL
- 79 conducted biomarker analyses, and CDM compiled biomarker data. LDH modelled fish energetics and population
- 80 estimates. LDH, MDR, and SJW conducted statistical analysis and prepared figures. LDH, MDR, SJW, VSL, and
- 81 CDM wrote the manuscript. All authors contributed actively to the editing and final preparation of the manuscript.

# 82 INTRODUCTION

83 Silver nanoparticles (AgNP) are a common antimicrobial agent in a wide range of consumer products, 84 including medical products, clothing, and laundry detergents (Nowack et al. 2012; Buzea et al. 2007). As such, a 85 major point of entry to the aquatic environment for AgNP is through point sources such as municipal wastewater 86 and industrial discharges, and from diffuse sources such as run-off from agricultural fields treated with biosolids 87 (Nowack et al. 2012; Maillard and Hartemann, 2013; Colman et al. 2014). In aquatic environments, AgNP may be a 88 threat to aquatic life as it is acutely toxic to fish at high ug/L or low mg/L concentrations (Asharani et al. 2008; Chae 89 et al. 2009; Farmen et al. 2012; Garner at al. 2015; Valerio-Garcia et al. 2017). There is evidence that the silver ions 90 (Ag<sup>+</sup>) released from AgNP by dissolution may account for some of these toxic effects (Notter et al. 2014). 91 However, there also is evidence that the toxic effects of AgNP compared with Ag<sup>+</sup> occur through different 92 pathways (Buzea et al. 2007; Pulit-Prociak et al. 2014). Although it is challenging to differentiate between toxicity 93 from exposure to AgNP, Ag<sup>+</sup>, and other transformation products (Kennedy et al. 2010; Laban et al. 2010; Wang et 94 al. 2012), the evidence of differential routes for biological responses in aquatic organisms for AgNP compared to 95 other transformation products may necessitate separate regulatory guidelines for AgNP. The Canadian Water 96 Quality Guideline for total silver (Ag) is 0.25 µg/L for long-term exposure of freshwater organisms (CCME, 2015), 97 but these guidelines may not be applicable to AgNP. Through recent advances in analytical methods, it is now 98 possible to detect Ag<sup>+</sup> in water in particulate and dissolved forms at environmentally relevant concentrations 99 (Furtado et al. 2016).

100 Modeling approaches have provided estimates of levels of AgNP in water at concentrations up to  $1.3 \ \mu g/L$ 101 (Gottschalk et al. 2013; Sun et al. 2014; Massarsky et al. 2014), but with continuous use and an increase in 102 applications for AgNP in consumer products, concentrations in water may likely increase in the future (Massarsky et 103 al. 2014). As reviewed by Murray et al. (2017a), almost all studies of biological impacts in fish exposed to AgNP 104 have been conducted in controlled lab settings over relatively short periods of time and typically, at elevated 105 concentrations. To date, there have been no studies conducted to evaluate sub-lethal effects from chronic exposure 106 to low doses of AgNP in natural aquatic environments. In addition, responses at molecular and cellular levels in fish 107 exposed to AgNP have not been linked to effects at higher levels of biological organization (i.e., individual and 108 population levels) that may occur over months to years of exposure.

109 Fishes may react differently to exposure to AgNP compared with exposure to Ag<sup>+</sup> alone, since AgNP 110 uptake occurs via both respiration and digestion versus Ag<sup>+</sup> uptake through respiration alone (Buzea et al. 2007). As 111 reviewed by Murray et al. (2017a), in studies with AgNP exposures ranging from 10-32,000 µg/L, fish have been 112 observed to bioaccumulate Ag<sup>+</sup>, with the highest concentrations observed in the gills and liver. Responses to Ag<sup>+</sup> 113 occurs primarily through the inhibition of the sodium-potassium pump in fish gill cells, which eventually leads to 114 osmoregulatory failure as a result of a progressive net loss of sodium and chloride ions from the blood (Scown et al. 115 2010). In contrast, exposure to AgNP results in excess production of reactive oxygen species, which may cause 116 damage to cellular DNA, or lipid peroxidation and protein modifications (Scown et al. 2010). Several laboratory 117 studies have shown that exposures of fish to AgNP can cause oxidative stress, as indicated by alterations to cellular 118 antioxidant defense systems (Carlson et al. 2008; McShan et al. 2014; Valerio-Garcia et al. 2017; Bacchetta et al. 119 2017). A previous study conducted by our group showed that juvenile Yellow Perch (Perca flavescens) exposed to 120 AgNP yielded alterations in the expression of antioxidant enzymes, as well as changes to the ratios of the reduced 121 and oxidized forms of glutathione (Martin et al. 2017a). Biological responses also include increases in the levels of 122 metallothionein (MT) in fish exposed to both Ag<sup>+</sup> and AgNP (Mayer et al. 2003; Chae et al. 2009; Martin et al. 2017a). Other studies with fish exposed to AgNP at concentrations ranging from 20-8,000  $\mu$ g/L have shown that 123 124 exposure induces the release of cortisol, and metabolic impairment has been observed in fish exposed to 300 µg/L of 125 AgNP (Murray et al. 2017a). However, most studies indicate that AgNP is generally less toxic than Ag<sup>+</sup> at 126 equivalent concentrations (Scown et al. 2010; Wang et al. 2012; Murray et al. 2017a; Martin et al. 2017a). 127 As part of a multi-faceted study of the fate and effects of AgNP in a lake chronically dosed with AgNP, 128 bioaccumulation of Ag in the tissues of Yellow Perch and Northern Pike (Esox lucius) during the addition and post-129 addition phases was monitored (Martin et al. 2018). Concentrations of Ag in the liver and gill tissue of both Yellow 130 Perch and Northern Pike rapidly increased during the AgNP addition phase and then declined during the post-131 addition phase (Martin et al. 2018). In the present study, we evaluated the biological effects in Yellow Perch 132 collected from this dosed lake in response to accumulation of Ag during the whole-lake experiment. The effects 133 were evaluated at multiple scales: at the cellular level through oxidative stress bioindicators, at the individual level 134 by examining growth and bioenergetics, and at the population level by monitoring population densities and gross 135 prey consumption. At each level, we examined responses in Yellow Perch over the pre-addition, addition, and post-136 addition phases of the study.

# 137 MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### 138 Additions of silver nanoparticles

139 The whole-lake additions of AgNP that took place as part of this experiment have been described 140 previously (Conine et al. 2018; Rearick et al. 2018; Martin et al. 2018). Briefly, AgNP was added to Lake 222, 141 hereafter referred to as the AgNP lake, which is located at the International Institute for Sustainable Development -142 Experimental Lakes Area (IISD-ELA) in northwestern Ontario, Canada. The AgNP lake is a small (i.e., 16 ha) 143 oligotrophic lake with a maximum depth of approximately 6 m and a stable thermocline that forms in the summer 144 months at depths between 2 and 2.5 m. AgNP was added in 2014 for 18 weeks, starting in mid-June and ending in 145 late October, and in 2015 for 14 weeks, starting in early May and ending in late August, for total AgNP additions in 146 2014 and 2015 of approximately 9 kg and 6 kg, respectively. The concentrations of Ag detected in both the 147 epilimnion and hypolimnion of AgNP lake during the addition phase were in the range of 1-10 µg/L, although the 148 levels were higher immediately adjacent to the site of addition into the lake (Conine et al. 2018; Rearick et al. 2018; 149 Martin et al. 2018). 150 The AgNP used to dose the AgNP lake was purchased in powder form from Nanostructured and 151 Amorphous Materials, Inc. (NanoAmor, Los Alamos, NM., USA). The AgNP was capped with 152 polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP) and had a manufacturer specified average particle size of 30-50 nm. Particles were 153 suspended to a nominal concentration of 1 mg/mL in deionized water containing a 0.025% (w/v) solution of gum 154 arabic (Sigma Aldrich, Oakville, ON, Canada) which was added as an organic stabilizer. The particles were 155 suspended by milling with a commercial rotor-stator dispersion mill (Kady® International, Scarborough, ME., USA) 156 as described in detail by Martin et al. (2017b). The hydrodynamic diameter of nanoparticles in these stock 157 suspensions were determined by dynamic light scattering to be  $39.3 \pm 3.63$  nm (Martin et al. 2017b), consistent with 158 the manufacturer's specifications.

#### 159 Fish collections

Perch for biomarker analyses were collected under a protocol approved through the Animal Care
Committee at Trent University (AUP Nos. 23694 and 23287). Perch collected for population abundance estimates
and bioenergetics analysis were collected during 2012-13 under a protocol approved through Fisheries and Oceans
Canada and the Animal Care Committees at the University of Manitoba (AUP No. F14-007), and during 2014-17
through Lakehead University (AUP No. 1464693).

165 Before AgNP additions (i.e., 2012-2013), Yellow Perch were collected by beach seine from the AgNP lake 166 and from three reference lakes (i.e., Lake 239, Lake 240, Lake 383). Subsequently, Yellow Perch were collected 167 from the AgNP lake and from Lake 239, hereafter referred to as the reference lake, during AgNP additions in 2014 168 and 2015 (i.e., Years 1 and 2 of addition, respectively), and during the post-addition phase in 2016, as shown in 169 Table 1. Perch collected for biomarker studies were sacrificed on-site by an overdose of tricaine methanesulfonate 170 (TMS) anaesthetic purchased from Argent Chemical Laboratories (Redmond, WA., USA) dissolved in lake water. 171 Euthanized fish were then weighed and measured for fork length. Liver tissues were removed and placed on dry ice 172 for transport to the lab where they were stored in liquid nitrogen or in a -80°C freezer until thawed for biomarker 173 analysis. Liver tissues were analyzed for both molecular and cellular biomarkers from Yellow Perch collected in 174 Year 1 addition, but only cellular biomarkers were analyzed in the livers of Yellow Perch collected in Year 2 175 addition (Table 1).

176 Over the months of May to October in 2012-2016, Yellow Perch were captured in trap and seine nets from 177 the AgNP lake and reference lake for population estimates and for bioenergetics analysis (Table 2). During 2012, 178 2014, 2015, and 2016, up to n = 5 Yellow Perch from the AgNP lake and reference lake were sacrificed in the 179 summer and fall for bioenergetic analyses in each of the following size classes:  $\leq$  50 mm, 51-70 mm, 71-90 mm, 91-180 110 mm, 111-130 mm, 131-150 mm, 151-170 mm, and >170 mm, which roughly corresponded to age cohorts 181 (Hayhurst 2018). Fish were euthanized with an overdose of TMS, placed in labelled Whirl-Pak® bags and frozen at 182 -20°C. Fish were later thawed in the laboratory for dissection and removal of ageing structures, stomach contents, 183 and muscle tissue. Ages of Yellow Perch were determined by examination of opercula and fin rays, a subset of 184 which were verified by third-party blind assessment (Susan Mann, pers. comm.). Stomachs were removed and 185 preserved in 95% ethanol for gut content analysis. Finally, muscle tissue was taken above the lateral line and below 186 the dorsal fin, placed in a plastic micro-centrifuge vial and frozen at -20°C for Hg analysis (see "Bioenergetics 187 modelling" below).

To obtain size distribution and population estimates of Yellow Perch in the AgNP lake and reference lake, all captured fish were anaesthetized using a mild solution of TMS, measured for length on-site, given a season- and year-specific fin nick to indicate capture history, examined for pre-existing fin nicks indicating previous capture, and released upon recovery into the lake. Population estimates of Yellow Perch in both the AgNP lake and reference lake were estimated using open population mark-recapture methods using the POPAN method in Program Mark (Supplementary Information S5). All assumptions of the open population POPAN estimation method were met(Suppl. Info. S3).

195 Biomarkers

196 During the pre-addition phase and the first year of additions, the expression of four genes related to 197 oxidative stress were measured in liver tissue: glutathione peroxidase 3(gpx), glutathione reductase (gsr), catalase 198 (cat), and superoxide dismutase 1 (sod1). In addition, measurements were made of the gene expression of 199 metallothionein (mt), heat shock protein 70kDa (hsp70), heat shock protein 90kDa (hsp90), and cytochrome P450 200 (*cyp1a*). Gene expression was assessed through quantitative PCR (qPCR) following MIQE guidelines (Bustin et al. 201 2009) using primers previously designed and validated (Pierron et al. 2009; Martin et al. 2017a; Table S1.1). The 202 analysis was run with GoTaq® qPCR Master Mix (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) containing BRYT Green® dye 203 with each sample in duplicate. Each qPCR assay included a negative template control as well as a negative reverse 204 transcriptase control to ensure contamination was not present. Relative mRNA levels of the genes of interest were 205 normalized to the expression of the reference gene beta-actin ( $act\beta$ ), which did not differ with treatments. Gene 206 expression changes were reported as fold-changes relative to the control. For more details, refer to supplementary 207 information.

During the pre-addition phase and in the first and second years of AgNP addition, total glutathione (GSH<sub>tot</sub>) and oxidized glutathione (GSSG) in Yellow Perch livers were measured spectrophotometrically in units of mmol per gram wet weight using a glutathione reductase catalyzed cycling assay with 5,5'-dithio-bis(2-nitrobenzoic acid (DTNB), as described previously by Martin et al. (2017a). The reduced form of glutathione (i.e., GSH) was calculated as the difference between measured GSH<sub>tot</sub> and GSSG. Lipid peroxidation was measured spectrophotometrically using the thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARS) assay, as described by Martin et al. (2017a).

# 215 Bioenergetics modelling

Following the approach described by Ferriss and Essington (2014), Yellow Perch energetics in the AgNP lake and reference lakes were modelled for each year from the beginning of the growing season (i.e., summer) to the end of the growing season (i.e., fall). We used the MeHg accumulation model (MMAM) described by Trudel et al. (2000) to estimate consumption of prey (*C*) by Yellow Perch from their accumulation of Hg over the growing 220 season (see Equations 1 and 2 below; Supplementary Information S2). The output from the MMAM provided an estimate for absolute C (g<sub>food</sub>/day) that was then used in the Wisconsin Bioenergetics Model (WBM) described by 221 222 Hanson et al. (1997) to estimate the total metabolism,  $R_T$  (J/day) for Yellow Perch in both the AgNP lake and 223 reference lake during the pre-addition, addition, and post-addition phases of the study (see Equation 3 below). The 224 MMAM approach has been validated and field tested against other methods of estimating consumption and performs 225 well (Trudel et al. 2000), and the approach has been successfully implemented previously to demonstrate changes or 226 differences in fish consumption related to ecomorphological differences (Trudel et al. 2001), prev community 227 differences (Pazzia et al. 2002), predator densities (Rennie et al. 2010) and species invasions (Rennie et al. 2012). 228 MeHg in Yellow Perch was assumed to be 100% of the measured Hg concentrations (Rennie et al. 2005). The 229 analytical methods for determining the concentrations of Hg and MeHg in fish tissues are described in 230 Supplementary Information S4. For modelling purposes, it was assumed that there was negligible MeHg uptake 231 from water and that all uptake was from dietary sources (Trudel et al. 2000). Juvenile Yellow Perch are 232 zoobenthivorous and transition to piscivory as they grow. Analysis of gut contents from the lakes that we monitored 233 indicated that Yellow Perch  $\geq 3$  years of age from the reference lake were piscivorous, but piscivory was not 234 observed in Yellow Perch from the AgNP lake (Hayhurst, 2018). Perch catches during this study were highly 235 female-biased, which is common among Yellow Perch populations (Rennie and Venturelli, 2015). Therefore, we 236 combined input parameters by age cohort that were overwhelmingly represented by female fish and interpreted the 237 results as representative of populations with a substantial female-bias.

According to the MMAM described by Trudel et al. (2000), the increase in the estimated concentrations ofMeHg in Yellow Perch over the growing season can be represented by:

240 Equation (1)  $dHg / dt = (\alpha \cdot C_d \cdot C) - (E + G + K) \cdot Hg$ 

241 *Where:* Hg is the estimated amount of MeHg in the fish at time 0 and t,  $\alpha$  is the assimilation efficiency of MeHg 242 from food,  $C_d$  is the MeHg content of the food (estimated from diet and MeHg in collected prey from each lake; 243 Table S2.2), C is the absolute ingestion rate ( $g_{food}/day$ ) integrated over the time period, E is the elimination rate of 244 MeHg, and G is the mass-specific growth rate ( $g_{fish}/day$ ). Instantaneous loss to gonads (K) was set to zero as we did 245 not model Yellow Perch growth over the spawning season. All other model parameters are taken from Rennie et al. 246 (2008). 247 Over a daily time-step, it is assumed that losses are near constant, and the above equation is integrated to 248 solve for absolute consumption, C (g<sub>food</sub>/day):

249 Equation (2)  $C = [Hg_t - Hg_0 \cdot e^{-(E+G+K)t}] / [\alpha \cdot C_d \cdot (1 - e^{-(E+G+K)t})] \cdot (E+G)$ 

250 The output from the MMAM provided the estimate for *C* that was used in the WBM. This model, which251 was described by Hanson et al. (1997) is expressed as:

252 Equation (3)  $W_t = W_0 + [C \cdot ED_{Prey} - (F + U + R_T)] / ED_{Fish}$ 

253 Where:  $W_i$  is final fish weight,  $W_0$  is initial weight,  $ED_{Prev}$  is energy density of prev, F is losses due to 254 egestion, U is losses due to excretion,  $R_T$  is losses due to metabolism (J/day), and  $ED_{Fish}$  is energy density of fish 255 (measured lake-specific *ED<sub>Fish</sub>* values). Examination of gut contents revealed no significant difference in prey 256 rations during and after AgNP additions, or between seasons.  $ED_{Prev}$  values were estimated for each lake and 257 maturity, since piscivory was only observed in the reference lake. Prey energy density values were calculated based 258 on Yellow Perch gut contents and published values (Table S4.3). Yellow Perch energy densities were estimated 259 directly from samples taken in 2012. Energy densities in both lakes were found to be independent of body size 260 (Hayhurst, 2018), so mean values were used (i.e., AgNP lake:  $4876 \pm 461$ ; reference lake:  $4501 \pm 588$ ). Many of the 261 functions in both the MMAM (E) and WBM (C,  $R_T$ ) are temperature dependent and daily mean lake temperatures 262 were collected to parameterize these functions in the models (Supplementary Information S2). To evaluate changes 263 in size-at-age and body condition, we examined fish collected during summer and fall only to avoid the influence of 264 spring spawning on body shape and mass. Changes in size-at-age were evaluated over time using fork length at age. 265 Body condition was estimated as relative weight for all Yellow Perch over 100 mm total length, using equations 266 described by Willis et al. (1991).

# 267 *Population estimates*

Population estimates were calculated using the POPAN sub-module in Program Mark (White and Burnham, 1999), based on batch-marking of Yellow Perch fins with seasonal nicks that were observed between capture periods. The POPAN sub-module is a modification of the Cormack-Jolly-Seber (CJS) model. Where the CJS model considers the marked cohort of animals only and follows the subsequent recaptures, the modified POPAN formulation uses ratios of unmarked versus marked individuals to permit estimates of population size, survival, and capture probabilities (Arnason et al. 1998). Model fitting procedures and details are outlined in Supplementary Information S5. While sampling sites in the relatively small AgNP lake (i.e., 16 ha) provided a good representation of the shoreline habitat occupied by Yellow Perch, sampling in the much larger reference lake (i.e., 54 ha) was
limited to two bays with a combined area of 0.76 ha. Therefore, population estimates are reported as numbers per
unit area, based on the relative areas sampled in each lake (i.e., 16 ha in the AgNP lake, 0.76 ha in the reference
lake).

# 279 Gross consumption

280 Using population estimates for Yellow Perch and cohort-estimated consumption (C), gross consumption of 281 prey by Yellow Perch was estimated for each lake. As only a limited number of Yellow Perch were sacrificed and 282 aged in each season, predicted ages were assigned to all captured individuals using size-at-age relationships to 283 determine the proportion of the population within each age class. Lake-specific size-at-age relationships were 284 predicted and analysed in R using age-length keys for unequal interval age cohorts (Ogle, 2016; Isermann and 285 Knight, 2005). Proportions of Yellow Perch with known ages were assessed per age cohort, as outlined in Kimura 286 (1977) to provide an age sample against which the age-length key was run. This provided an assigned age to all 287 captured Yellow Perch in each population and allowed for a proportional estimate of the population in each cohort 288 which could be applied to estimated population estimates for each capture period.

289 Absolute consumption estimates ( $g_{food}/day$ ) for each cohort aged 1-6 were converted to mass-specific rates 290 (g of prey per g of fish per day; Figure S4.1) and multiplied by the estimated number of fish in each cohort (yielding 291 total daily g of prey consumed in the population for each cohort) and then summed across cohorts within each period 292 (Rand and Steward 1998). Population estimates for each year were averaged across sampling periods, then 293 subdivided among age classes based on the annual proportion of Yellow Perch caught in each lake (Supplementary 294 Information S4). This value estimated for each lake was then multiplied by the number of days from May 1<sup>st</sup> to 295 October 31<sup>st</sup>, which is the estimated period of the year over which Yellow Perch feed to yield estimates of gross prey 296 consumption. Prey consumption by Yellow Perch over the winter months (between November 1st and April 30th) 297 was assumed to be negligible (Eckmann, 2004). Missing consumption estimates for a particular cohort were 298 replaced with nearest (i.e., spring-summer) bioenergetics values. Excluded from gross consumption estimates were 299 young-of-the-year (YOY; age 0) Yellow Perch, which were too small to effectively tag within a season, and Yellow 300 Perch age 7 or older, which comprised <0.9% of the annual populations in the AgNP lake, and <1.5% of the annual 301 populations in the reference lake (Table S4.1).

302 By excluding YOY fish, which were estimated to comprise between 29% and 52% of the population in the 303 AgNP lake, and between 16% and 54% of the population in the reference lake based on age-key assignments to all 304 captured fish (Hayhurst, 2018), and excluding age  $\geq$ 7 Yellow Perch (too few fish to accurately apply bioenergetic 305 models to), gross consumption estimates for Yellow Perch in the AgNP lake represented 48% of the total sampled 306 population during the pre-addition phase (2012), 51% of the total sampled population during the first year of AgNP 307 additions in 2014, 70% during the second year of AgNP additions in 2015, and 60% of the total sampled population 308 during the post-addition phase in 2016 (Table S4.1). For Yellow Perch from the reference lake captured over the 309 same time periods, gross consumption estimates represented 46% in 2012, 83% in 2014, 62% in 2015, and 64% of 310 the population in 2016.

# 311 Statistical analysis

312 Outliers in the gene expression data were removed using the robust regression and outlier removal method 313 at 1%. For statistical analysis of biomarker data, the Shapiro-Wilk Goodness of Fit test was performed to verify 314 normality and Levene's test was performed to test for equal variances among treatments, which indicated that log-315 transformations were required to meet these assumptions. Treatments in the analysis represented the time of Yellow 316 Perch collection (Phase: pre-addition years, Year 1 AgNP addition in August, Year 1 AgNP addition in October), 317 and conditions in the lakes (Lake: AgNP lake, reference lake). Differences in biomarker responses among Yellow 318 Perch collected at different times and locations were tested using two-factor ANOVA, followed by post-hoc 319 comparisons using a Tukey's Honestly Significant Difference (HSD) test. All statistical analyses were performed 320 using Prism (version 6, GraphPad Software, California, USA).

321 Similarly, data for levels of GSH<sub>tot</sub>, GSSG, GSH, the ratio of GSH:GSSG, and TBARS were analyzed 322 using a two-factor ANOVA, followed by a Tukey's HSD test in R (version 3.6.2, R Core Team 2019). Type III 323 sums of squares were used to account for unequal sample sizes among groups. Treatments in the analysis 324 represented the year and season of Yellow Perch collection (Phase: pre-addition Year 1, pre-addition Year 2, Year 1 325 AgNP addition for August and October, and Year 2 AgNP addition in May and October). Log-transformations to 326 response variables generated normally distributed and homogeneous residuals in all cases for GSH. For TBARS, an 327 And erson-Darling test indicated that residuals were not distributed normally (p = 0.04), and neither log nor square 328 root transformation improved residual distributions. As such, we present results for untransformed TBARS data.

329 Differences in estimated log-transformed consumption rates (C;  $g_{food}/day$ ) and total metabolism ( $R_T$ ; J/day) 330 derived from the bioenergetics models were analyzed in R 3.6.2 (R Core Team, 2019), first using a test of 331 heterogeneity of slopes (to verify homogeneity of slopes among experimental periods) and then ANCOVA with log-332 transformed mass as a covariate (Quinn and Keough, 2002). In each case, Anderson-Darling tests for normality and 333 Levene's test for homogeneity of variance were performed and demonstrated that assumptions of the tests were met. 334 Differences among intercepts were estimated using tests of planned comparisons among adjusted means between the 335 pre-addition, AgNP addition, and post-addition periods (Quinn and Keough, 2002). Changes in fork length-at-age 336 were evaluated over time using tests for heterogeneity of slopes, as mean size increased linearly with age in our 337 populations. Changes in body condition were evaluated using a two-factor ANOVA, with year of sampling and lake 338 (as well as their interaction) as treatments. Body condition residuals were normally distributed and homogeneous 339 among groups. Changes in Yellow Perch abundance over time and gross consumption were assessed visually with 340 plots of mean densities over time.

## 341 RESULTS

#### 342 Cellular responses

343 For most of the genes studied, there were significant interaction between the time of collection (phase) and 344 the lake they were sampled from, indicating different temporal responses in gene expression between the 345 experimental and reference lakes (Figure 1; Figure S1.1; Table S1.2). There was a significant reduction in the 346 expression of gpx in Yellow Perch collected from the AgNP lake in October of the first year of AgNP additions, 347 relative to Yellow Perch from the same lake during the pre-addition phase, and relative to Yellow Perch from the 348 reference lake collected in October (Figure 1). In addition, the expression of mt was down-regulated in Yellow Perch 349 collected from the AgNP lake in October of the first year of AgNP additions relative to Yellow Perch from the same 350 lake during the pre-addition phase and relative to Yellow Perch from the reference lake collected in October (Figure 351 1). Significant interactions in gene expression among fish from different collection phases and between lakes were 352 also observed for cat, cyp1a, hsp70, and hsp90 (Table S1.2), demonstrating patterns of down-regulation following 353 AgNP exposure in almost all genes associated with oxidative stress (i.e., all except gsr). We observed a significant 354 up-regulation of gsr in Yellow Perch from the AgNP lake collected in October during the first year of AgNP 355 addition compared to Yellow Perch from the same lake before AgNP additions (Figure 1), though a similar pattern 356 was also observed in the reference lake.

357 The degree of reduction in levels of glutathione (GSH) in Yellow Perch livers was enhanced significantly 358 during the experiment (2-factor ANOVA, Phase  $\times$  Treatment interaction:  $F_{5.52}$ = 26.9, p < 0.0001; Figure 2A), 359 whereas there were no significant differences among mean levels of GSSG (2-factor ANOVA, p > 0.1 for both main 360 effects and interaction; Figure 2B). Concentrations of GSH increased significantly by October of the first year of 361 AgNP additions, and remained elevated through the second year of exposure, whereas there was no similar change 362 in GSH in the reference lake (Figure 2A). Patterns in levels of GSH<sub>tot</sub> were identical to those observed in GSH (data 363 not shown). The ratio of reduced to oxidized glutathione demonstrated a pattern similar to GSH, being elevated in 364 Yellow Perch livers at four months after AgNP additions, and remaining elevated for the second year of additions, 365 with no significant change in Yellow Perch from the reference lake (2-factor ANOVA, Phase × Treatment 366 interaction:  $F_{5,52}$ = 15.2, p < 0.0001; Figure 2C). There were no significant differences observed in the levels of liver 367 tissue TBARS among Yellow Perch collected from the AgNP lake and reference lake over the study (2-factor 368 ANOVA, p > 0.2 for all main effects and interaction; Table S1.3).

#### 369 Individual responses

Accumulation of silver in Yellow Perch liver and gill tissues began immediately after the first addition of AgNP to the experimental lake, continued to increase in the second year of additions and declined rapidly during the post-addition phase. The results of these findings are described in detail in Martin et al. (2018). Briefly, the mean concentrations of Ag in the livers of Yellow Perch from the AgNP lake increased from pre-addition levels of  $20 \pm$ 0.4 ng/g wet weight to  $472 \pm 134$  ng/g wet weight in October after the second year of AgNP additions. The concentrations of Ag in Yellow Perch from the reference lake remained at concentrations similar to the pre-addition levels in Yellow Perch from the AgNP-added lake (Martin et al. 2018).

377 Bioenergetic consumption estimates declined after AgNP additions. Slopes of Yellow Perch consumption 378 with body mass were equivalent among time periods (pre-addition, AgNP addition, and post-addition) in the AgNP 379 lake (Test for heterogeneity of slopes,  $F_{2,13} = 0.8$ , p = 0.47). However, intercepts for consumption were significantly 380 different in the AgNP lake over the different phases of the study (ANCOVA,  $F_{2,15} = 4.8$ , p = 0.024; Figure 3A); 381 consumption rates for Yellow Perch were greatest prior to AgNP additions and were significantly reduced during 382 AgNP additions (t = -2.7, p = 0.009) and following AgNP additions (t = -2.8, p = 0.012; Figure 4.1A). There were 383 no significant differences between consumption rates in Yellow Perch during additions relative to the Yellow Perch 384 sampled after AgNP additions (t = 0.41, p = 0.7).

385 Yellow Perch from the reference lake showed two distinct trajectories for both consumption and total 386 metabolism, with one trajectory for zoobenthivorous life stage (ages 1-2), and the other for piscivorous life stages 387 (ages 3 to 6; Figure 3B; Hayhurst 2018). As such, formal comparisons among zoobenthivorous Yellow Perch from 388 the reference lake were only possible by comparing 2014-2015 and 2016, as only a single consumption estimate was 389 available for 2012 zooplanktivorous fish (Figure 3B). Slopes among time periods (2014-15 vs. 2016) were statistically indistinguishable for zooplanktivorous Yellow Perch from the reference lake ( $F_{1,1} = 0.0004$ , p = 0.99). 390 391 Intercepts among time periods from the ANCOVA model were also not significantly different for consumption 392 estimates of zooplanktivorous Yellow Perch from the reference lake ( $F_{1,2} = 8.3, p = 0.10$ ). For piscivorous Yellow 393 Perch from the reference lake, neither slopes ( $F_{2,7} = 0.07$ , p = 0.9) nor intercepts ( $F_{2,9} = 2.8$ , p = 0.11) were different 394 among time periods (Figure 3B).

395 Like consumption, bioenergetic estimates of total metabolic costs also declined in Yellow Perch after 396 AgNP additions. Slopes for total metabolic rates with body size were equivalent among time periods (pre-addition, 397 AgNP addition, and post-addition) for Yellow Perch from the AgNP lake (Test for heterogeneity of slopes,  $F_{2,13}$  = 398 1.2, p = 0.34). Intercepts in the ANCOVA model for total metabolic costs with body size were significantly different 399 among experimental phases for Yellow Perch from the AgNP lake when Yellow Perch energy densities were 400 increased by the standard error of the mean estimate ( $F_{2,15} = 3.85$ , p = 0.045; Figure 3C). When the mean energy 401 density value was used, differences were very close to the significance value of  $\alpha = 0.05$  ( $F_{2.15} = 3.65$ , p = 0.051). 402 Metabolic costs were greatest in Yellow Perch prior to AgNP additions and declined significantly during AgNP 403 additions (t = -2.4, p = 0.016) and after AgNP additions (t = -2.5, p = 0.019) relative to initial conditions. There was 404 no significant difference between metabolic costs for Yellow Perch captured during AgNP additions versus after 405 AgNP additions (t = 0.37, p = 0.6). Similar to consumption estimates, formal comparisons among zoobenthivorous 406 Yellow Perch from the reference lake were only possible by comparing data from 2014-2015 and 2016 (Figure 3D). 407 Slopes were similar among time periods for zooplanktivorous fish from the reference lake ( $F_{1,1} = 0.0003$ , p = 0.99). 408 However, metabolic costs for zoobenthivorous Yellow Perch in the reference lake were significantly different 409 between time periods ( $F_{1,2} = 25$ , p = 0.04). Total metabolic costs were lower in 2014-2015 compared to 2016 (t = -410 5.0, p = 0.008; Figure 3D). For piscivorous Yellow Perch from the reference lake, while we similarly observed no 411 difference in slopes among time periods ( $F_{2,7} = 0.43$ , p = 0.7), we did observe differences among time period 412 intercepts ( $F_{2,9} = 9.34$ , p = 0.006). Metabolism rates of piscivorous Yellow Perch were significantly lower in the

413 reference lake during 2014-15, compared to fish collected in 2012 (t = -3.1, p = 0.008) and 2016 (t = -3.79, p = -3.79, p

414 0.002). There was no significant difference between the respirometric rates of Yellow Perch collected from the 415 reference lake in 2012 and 2016 (t = 0.13, p = 0.55).

416 The slope of fork length (FL) with age was different among all years of sampling (Figure 4A;  $F_{3,244} = 7.5$ , p 417 <0.0001). In Yellow Perch from the AgNP lake, sizes of older age classes appeared to be lower in the years when 418 AgNP was added (i.e., 2014, 2015) and the year following the additions (2016) compared with 2012; that is, before 419 any AgNP was added to the lake. Slopes of FL with age were also different in the reference lake among years 420 (Figure 4B;  $F_{3,274} = 9.1$ , p < 0.0001). In Yellow Perch from the reference lake, size-at-age data for 2014 and 2015 421 appeared to group more closely with data from 2012. In the reference lake, 2016 appears to have been a poor year 422 for Yellow Perch growth, with the size of Yellow Perch changing very little from the preceding age class (Figure 423 5B). For body condition data, there was a significant interaction among lake and year of fish collection ( $F_{3,225} =$ 424 2.79, p = 0.04; Figure 5A). Body condition in Yellow Perch from the AgNP lake did not differ over time but was 425 lower during 2014-2016 relative to 2012 in Yellow Perch from the reference lake (Tukey HSD, 2012 vs. 2014, p =426 0.004).

# 427 Population responses

Densities of Yellow Perch were higher in the AgNP lake than in the reference lake. However, temporal trends differed significantly between populations. For Yellow Perch from the AgNP lake, the population density was nearly halved over the course of the study, from 13000/ha during the pre-addition phase to just over 7000/ha postaddition, with no sign of recovery in population density following the cessation of AgNP additions (Figure 5B). By contrast, the Yellow Perch population in the reference lake was relatively stable at around 3000/ha over the entire study period.

Gross prey consumption by Yellow Perch from the AgNP lake across all age classes during AgNP additions was less than 50% of pre-addition estimates (Figure 6A). Consumption rates remained suppressed, at approximately half of pre-addition levels during the second year of AgNP additions (2015) and post-addition (2016). By contrast, gross prey consumption in the reference lake actually increased during the study period (Figure 6A), though consumption rates in Yellow Perch from this lake were lower on average compared to Yellow Perch from the AgNP lake over the entire course of the study. Dividing the gross consumption data into estimates for smaller (age 1-2) and larger (age 3-6) age classes revealed that gross consumption by juvenile Yellow Perch (i.e., age 1-2) in the AgNP lake declined to 1/3 of pre-addition levels during additions of AgNP in 2014 and 2015, but rebounded

following the cessation of AgNP additions in 2016 (Figure 6B). By contrast, gross consumption in age 3-6 Yellow

443 Perch from the AgNP lake declined by approximately 1/3 during AgNP additions and fell to less than 1/5 of pre-

444 addition levels during the post-addition phase in 2016 (Figure 6C).

445 DISCUSSION

446 Yellow Perch exposed to AgNP clearly exhibited negative biological responses during the additions of 447 AgNP that were not observed during the same period in Yellow Perch collected from a reference lake. This study is 448 unique as we were able to evaluate responses at all three levels of biological organization (cellular, individual, and 449 population levels), indicating linkages between responses at the cellular level to changes in individual fish to 450 impacts at the population level for Yellow Perch, due to AgNP exposure at environmentally relevant concentrations. 451 At the cellular level, we observed a down-regulation of glutathione peroxidase 3(gpx), which catalyzes the 452 oxidation of peroxides using electrons from GSH in the livers of Yellow Perch collected during the first year of 453 AgNP addition. The levels of mRNA for expression of glutathione reductase (gsr), which catalyzes the turnover of 454 GSH, also increased significantly in Yellow Perch after AgNP addition. Although the mRNA levels of these genes 455 only indicate an increase in transcription, these changes are consistent with the overall increase of GSH<sub>tot</sub>, GSH, and 456 the mean ratios of reduced to oxidized glutathione (GSH:GSSG) observed in the liver. The increases in GSH and 457 GSH:GSSG ratios were seen both in the liver tissues of Yellow Perch collected in October during the Year 1 of 458 AgNP additions and in May to August of Year 2 of AgNP additions. These results are also consistent with the 459 elevated GSH:GSSG ratios in the liver tissues of juvenile Yellow Perch exposed in the laboratory to AgNP 460 purchased from the same commercial source and prepared in the same way as the AgNP added to the lake (Martin et 461 al. 2017a). Glutathione is an important antioxidant synthesized in the cell by glutathione cysteine ligase and 462 glutathione synthetase and contributes to the ability of the cell to scavenge ROS, thereby protecting against 463 oxidative stress (Hayes and McLellan, 1999).

464 Overall, the increase in GSH<sub>tot</sub>, GSH, and the GSH:GSSG ratios and associated changes in the gene
465 expression of enzymes involved in the redox process indicated that hepatocytes in the liver of Yellow Perch exposed
466 to AgNP may be responding to the increased oxidative stress from AgNP and transformation products. However, an
467 increase in lipid peroxidation (i.e., an indicator of cellular damage) as measured by the TBARS assay was not
468 observed in the livers of Yellow Perch collected from the AgNP lake over the period of AgNP additions. A similar

469 response was observed in golden gray mullet (*Liza aurata*) collected from a mercury contaminated site in Portugal, 470 where there was evidence of extensive oxidative stress in the gills of these fish, but no evidence of lipid peroxidative 471 damage (Cappello et al. 2016). The authors of this study concluded that there were alternative mechanisms for 472 preventing lipid peroxidation associated with enhancement of the membrane stabilization/repair processes. 473 Surprisingly, there was a down-regulation of the metallothionein gene (mt) in Yellow Perch collected 474 during AgNP addition. In a previous laboratory study, juvenile Yellow Perch were exposed for 96 h or 10 d to 475 AgNP and a significant increase in mt mRNA levels of 2- to 3-fold was observed in the exposed fish relative to 476 control fish (Martin et al. 2017a). Maes et al. (2013) analyzed metallothionein transcriptional levels in European eels 477 (Anguilla anguilla) from several polluted sites and observed that mt expression was reduced in fish with low energy 478 reserves and reduced body condition. Therefore, the expression of metallothionein in Yellow Perch from the AgNP 479 lake may have been modulated as a result of diminished energy levels in fish stressed by exposure to AgNP. 480 At the level of individual fish, we observed suppressed prey consumption and reduced total metabolism in 481 Yellow Perch exposed to AgNP, and a reduction in size-at-age in older fish. We also observed reduced size-at-age in 482 2016 in Yellow Perch from the reference lake, which may indicate a regional effect on growth in Yellow Perch in 483 that year. However, our data indicate that the reduced size-at-age observed in 2014 and 2015 in Yellow Perch in the 484 AgNP lake is more likely due to exposure to AgNP or its transformation products. We speculate that the cellular-485 level effects of AgNP exposure that indicate stress in Yellow Perch were linked mechanistically to the reduced 486 consumption of prey and reduced total metabolism of Yellow Perch from the AgNP lake. The energy demands of 487 combating oxidative stress could have altered total metabolism, causing lethargy in Yellow Perch and reducing their 488 ability to capture prey, ultimately reflected in reduced size-at-age. Consistent with these findings, exposure to AgNP 489 was observed by Murray et al. (2017a) to induce higher cortisol levels in rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss). In 490 this lab study with rainbow trout and in a subsequent study by the same authors, both growth and metabolic rates all 491 tended to be lower with increasing concentrations of AgNP, although though non-significantly after 28 days of 492 AgNP exposure (Murray et al. 2017a,b). Interestingly, the body condition of Yellow Perch was relatively stable in 493 Yellow Perch from the AgNP lake whereas body condition was variable in Yellow Perch from the reference lake; 494 that is, high in 2012 and consistently lower during 2014-2016. Body condition often scales positively with food 495 availability (Rennie and Verdon 2008; Rennie et al. 2019). Thus, stable body condition may be an indicator of 496 relatively stable per capita food availability in the AgNP lake, further indicating that the reductions in food

497 consumption and metabolic costs in Yellow Perch after AgNP additions were likely not a result of reduced food498 availability.

499 At the population level, the density of Yellow Perch exposed to AgNP declined by nearly half during the 500 experiment, while no such declines were observed in the Yellow Perch population in the reference lake. This 501 reduction in population size may also explain the stable body condition observed in Yellow Perch that were exposed 502 to AgNP, as intraspecific competition for food would be reduced in conditions where there is a smaller population 503 size. The reduction in both population densities and consumption rates combined to yield estimates of gross 504 consumption that were reduced by approximately 50% for Yellow Perch exposed to AgNP, for a reduction of 505 invertebrate biomass consumed of approximately 600 kg/ha on an annual basis. Conversely, gross consumption rates 506 for Yellow Perch from the reference lake were relatively stable. Further, Yellow Perch exposed to AgNP fed 507 overwhelmingly on zooplankton and benthos, switching from zooplanktivory to benthivory when they reached sizes 508 of 75-100 mm (Hayhurst 2018), corresponding to the transition between age 2 and age 3 fish (Figure 4A). 509 Interestingly, most of the gross prey consumption in 2016 for Yellow Perch exposed to AgNP was determined by 510 younger (i.e., age 1 and 2) Yellow Perch that feed on zooplankton, as gross consumption by older age classes that 511 feed on zoobenthos declined precipitously. Without additional information on either resource partitioning (e.g., in 512 studies using stable isotopes) or production rates of either zooplankton or zoobenthos, it is unclear whether the 513 decline in the gross consumption of larger fish is driven by a lack of benthic food resources (i.e., indirect effect) 514 caused by exposure of benthos to AgNPs settling into sediments, or direct effects of AgNP in exposed fish. 515 However, the observed increased consumption by young Yellow Perch provides some evidence of post-addition 516 recovery for small fish that are planktivorous.

517 The biological responses observed in Yellow Perch in the present study are consistent with other examples 518 of biological effects described in the literature for fish exposed to nanoparticles. In studies with a range of fish 519 species exposed to AgNP, oxidative stress has been observed at cellular and molecular levels (Valerio-Carlson et al. 520 2008; Griffit et al. 2012; Pham et al. 2012; McShan et al. 2014; Bacchetta et al. 2017; Garcia et al. 2017; Martin et 521 al. 2017a). Rainbow trout exposed to low (0.3-50 µg/L) levels of AgNP for 28 days showed a significant stress 522 response via increased blood cortisol (Murray et al. 2017a) and these changes in cortisol levels may have been 523 associated with oxidative stress. While no previous studies have documented the effects of AgNP exposure on fish 524 bioenergetics, Beyers et al. (1999) observed reduced prey consumption and total metabolism in fish following

525 exposure to other classes of contaminants. In laboratory studies with fish exposed to AgNP over relatively short 526 periods of time, reduced metabolic performance was observed (Bilberg et al. 2010; Murray et al. 2017b), although 527 the levels of exposure that elicited metabolic responses in these studies were too high to be considered 528 environmentally relevant. However, chronic exposures to lower levels of AgNP, such as those that occurred in the 529 AgNP lake may produce similar metabolic effects. For instance, Leadley et al. (2015) detailed how exposures to a 530 range of contaminants (i.e., metals, pesticides, persistent organic pollutants, etc.) directly decrease the metabolic 531 rates of fishes, either from a stressor response in energy allocation or a toxic interaction between the contaminant 532 and the biochemical pathway regulating fish metabolism.

533 The sum of evidence from the present study indicates that there are linkages between responses observed 534 across several levels of biological organization in Yellow Perch during the period of AgNP exposure and these 535 responses are largely direct, as opposed to indirect effects on prey species. We speculate that negative impacts due 536 to oxidative stress led to reduced prey consumption, metabolism, and growth among individual Yellow Perch, and 537 that this ultimately led to reduced Yellow Perch densities and gross prey consumption rates. However, it cannot be 538 entirely discounted that indirect effects related to prey availability could cause similar responses. While other studies 539 have demonstrated that the simplified prey communities that occur in metal-contaminated lakes contributed to 540 stunted growth in Yellow Perch populations due to energetic bottlenecks (Sherwood et al. 2000, 2002), these 541 energetic bottlenecks are normally associated with increased metabolic costs (Sherwood et al. 2000), which is 542 contrary to the decreased rates we observed here. Interestingly, metabolic patterns observed across all years in the 543 reference lake are entirely consistent with expectations of changes in metabolic costs when switching from 544 invertebrate to fish prey (Sherwood et al. 2002).

545 Based on the biological responses observed in Yellow Perch at multiple levels of biological organization in 546 a whole-lake ecosystem, we make the case that exposure to AgNP and transformation products at low  $\mu g/L$ 547 concentrations was detrimental to the overall health of these fish. Our previous studies showed that AgNP and 548 transformation products were distributed throughout the AgNP lake during the addition phase. Concentrations of Ag 549 were in the low  $\mu g/L$  range, with 11.5  $\mu g/L$  detected as the highest concentration estimated from passive samplers 550 (Martin et al. 2018) and 17.4  $\mu$ g/L as the highest concentration measured directly in water samples (Conine et al. 551 2017). In contrast, very low concentrations of dissolved silver were detected in the water column during the addition 552 phase (Conine et al. 2017; Martin et al. 2018). Analysis of water samples collected from the AgNP lake using single

- particle ICP-MS instrumentation showed that Ag in the nanoparticle size range (i.e., 14-72 nm) was present in the
- water column during AgNP additions at concentrations of approximately  $1-5 \times 10^{10}$  particles per litre (Martin et al.
- 555 2018). The concentrations of Ag during AgNP additions were about an order of magnitude higher than the Canadian
- water quality guideline for the protection of aquatic life (Ag =  $0.25 \mu g/L$ ; CCME, 2015). More work is needed to
- 557 determine whether this guideline is protective for aquatic life exposed over the long-term to AgNP and its
- 558 transformation products.

# 559 **REFERENCES**

- Asharani PV, Lian WY, Gong Z, Valiyaveettil S (2008) Toxicity of silver nanoparticles in zebrafish models.
   *Nanotech* 19:1-8
- 562 Bacchetta C, Ale A, Simoniello MF, Gervasio S, Davico C, Rossi AS, Desimone MF, Poletta G, López G,
- Monserrat JM, Casenave J (2017) Genotoxicity and oxidative stress in fish after short-term exposure to
   silver nanoparticles. *Ecol Indicat* 76:230-239
- Beyers DW, Rice JA, Clements WH, Henry CJ (1999) Estimating physiological cost of chemical exposure:
  integrating energetics and stress to quantify toxic effects in fish. *Can J Fish Aquat Sci* 56:814-822
- 567 Bilberg K, Malte H, Wang T, Baatrup E (2010) Silver nanoparticles and silver nitrate cause respiratory stress in
  568 Eurasian Yellow Perch (*Perca fluviatilis*). *Aquat Toxicol* 96:159-165
- 569 Bustin SA, Benes VB, Garson JA, Hellemans J, Huggett J, Kubista M, Mueller R, Nola T, Pfaffl MW, Shipley GL,
- 570 Vandesompele J, Wittwer CT (2009) The MIQE guidelines: Minimum information for the publication of
  571 quantitative real-time PCR experiments. *Clin Chem* 55: 611-622
- 572 Buzea C, Pacheco I, Robbie K (2007) Nanomaterials and nanoparticles: Sources and toxicity. *Biointerphases*573 2:MR17-MR71
- 574 CCME (2015) Canadian water quality guidelines for the protection of aquatic life: Silver. In: Canadian
- 575 environmental quality guidelines, Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment, Winnipeg, MB,
  576 Canada, 16 p
- 577 Cappello T, Brandão F, Guilherme S, Santos MA, Maisano M, Mauceri A, Canário J, Pacheco M, Pereira P (2016)
  578 Insights into the mechanisms underlying mercury-induced oxidative stress in gills of wild fish (*Liza aurata*)
- 579 combining <sup>1</sup>H NMR metabolomics and conventional biochemical assays *Sci Total Environ* 548-549:13-24

- Carlson C, Schrand AM, Braydich-Stolle LK, Hess KL, Jones RL, Schlager JJ, Hussain SM (2008) Unique cellular
   interaction of silver nanoparticles: Size-dependent generation of reactive oxygen species. *J Phys Chem B* 112:13608-13619
- 583 Chae YJ, Pham CH, Lee J, Bae E, Yi J, Gu MB (2009) Evaluation of the toxic impact of silver nanoparticles on
  584 Japanese medaka (*Oryzias latipes*). *Aquat Toxicol* 94:320-327
- 585 Colman BP, Espinasse B, Richardson CJ, Matson CW, Lowry GV, Hunt DE, Wiesner MR, Bernhardt ES (2014)
- 586 Emerging contaminant or an old toxin in disguise? Silver nanoparticle impacts on ecosystems. *Environ Sci* 587 *Technol* 48:5229-5236
- Conine AL, Rearick DC, Paterson MJ, Xenopoulos MA, Frost PC (2018) Addition of silver nanoparticles has no
   long-term effects on natural phytoplankton community dynamics in a boreal lake. *Limnol Oceanog Lett*
- **590** 3(4):311-319
- 591 Cummins KW, Wuycheck JC (1971) Caloric equivalents for investigations in ecological energetics. International
   592 Association of Theoretical and Applied Limnology 18. E. Schweizerbart, Stuttgart, Germany
- Eckmann R (2004) Overwinter changes in mass and lipid content of *Perca fluviatilis* and *Gymnocephalus cernuus*. J
   *Fish Biol* 65:1498-1511
- 595 Farmen E, Mikkelsen HN, Evensen O, Einset J, Heier LS, Rosseland BO, Salbu B, Tollefsen KE, Oughton DH
- 596 (2012) Acute and sub-lethal effects in juvenile Atlantic salmon exposed to low µg/L concentrations of Ag
  597 nanoparticles. *Aquat Toxicol* 108:78-84
- Ferriss BE, Essington TE (2014) Can fish consumption rate estimates be improved by linking bioenergetics and
   mercury mass balance models? Application to tunas. *Ecol Model* 272:232-241
- 600 Fox J, Weisberg S (2011) An R Companion to Applied Regression, 2<sup>nd</sup> edn. Sage
- Garner KL, Suh S, Lenihan HS, Keller AA (2015) Species sensitivity distributions for engineered nanoparticles.
   *Environ Sci Technol* 49:5753-5759
- Gottschalk F, Sonderer T, Scholz RW, Nowack B (2010) Possibilities and limitations of modeling environmental
   exposure to engineered nanomaterials by probabilistic material flow analysis. *Environ Toxicol Chem* 29:1036-1048
- Gottschalk F, Sun T, Nowack R (2013) Environmental concentrations of engineered nanomaterials: Review of
   modeling and analytical studies. *Environ Pollut* 181:287-300

- 608 Hanson PC, Johnson TB, Schindler DE, Kitchell JF (1997) Fish Bioenergetics 3.0. University of Wisconsin, Sea
- 609 Grant Institute, Technical Report WISCU-T-97-001, Madison, WI, USA
- 610 Hayes JD, McLellan LI (1999) Glutathione and glutathione-dependent enzymes represent a co-ordinately regulated
- 611 defence against oxidative stress. *Free Radical Res* 31:273-300
- 612 Hayhurst LD (2018) Bioenergetic evaluation of a whole-lake nanosilver addition on Yellow Perch (Perca
- 613 *flavescens*). Dissertation, Lakehead University
- 614 Isermann DA, Knight CT (2005) A computer program for age-length keys incorporating age assignment to
  615 individual fish. *NA J Fish Manag* 25:1153-1160
- Kennedy AJ, Hull MS, Bednar AJ, Goss JD, Gunter JC, Bouldin JL, Vikesland PJ, Steevens JA (2010) Fractionating
   nanosilver: Importance for determining toxicity to aquatic test organisms. *Environ Sci Technol* 44:9571-
- **618** 9577
- 619 Kimura DA (1977) Statistical assessment of the age-length key. J Fish Res Board Can 34:317-324
- Kitchell JF, Stewart DJ, Weininger D (1977) Applications of a bioenergetics model to yellow Yellow Perch (*Perca flavescens*) and walleye (*Stizostedion vitreum vitreum*). J Fish Res Board Can 34:1922-1935
- Laban G, Nies LF, Turco RF, Bickham JW, Sepúlveda MS (2010) The effects of silver nanoparticles on fathead
   minnow (*Pimephales promelas*) embryos. *Ecotoxicology* 19:185-95
- Leadley TA, McLeod AM, Johnson TB, Heath D, Drouillard KG (2015) Uncovering adaptive versus acclimatized
  alterations in standard metabolic rate in brown bullhead (*Ameiurus nebulosus*). *Can J Fish Aquat Sci*73:973-981
- Maillard J-Y, Hartemann P (2013) Silver as an antimicrobial: facts and gaps in knowledge. *Crit Rev Microbiol*39(4):373-383
- Martin JD, Colson T-L, Langlois VS, Metcalfe CD (2017a) Biomarkers *of* exposure to nanosilver and silver
   accumulation in yellow Perch (*Perca flavescens*). *Environ Toxicol Chem* 36:1211-1220
- Martin JD, Telgmann L, Metcalfe CD (2017b) A method for preparing silver nanoparticle suspensions in bulk for
   ecotoxicity testing and ecological risk assessment. *Bull Environ Contam Toxicol* 98:589-594
- 633 Martin JD, Frost PC, Hintelmann H, Newman K, Paterson MJ, Hayhurst L, Rennie MD, Xenopoulos MA, Yargeau V,
- 634 Metcalfe CD (2018) Accumulation of silver in Yellow Perch (*Perca flavescens*) and Northern Pike (*Esox*
- 635 *lucius*) from a lake dosed with nanosilver. *Environ Sci Technol* 52:11114-11122

- Massarsky A, Trudeau VL, Moon TW (2014) Predicting the environmental impact of nanosilver. *Environ Toxicol Pharmacol* 38:861-873
- Maes GE, Raeymaekers, JAM, Hellemans B, Geeraerts C, Parmentier K, De Temmerman L, Volckaert FAM,
  Belpairte C (2013) Gene transcription reflects poor health status of resident European eel chronically
  exposed to environmental pollutants. *Aquat Toxicol* 126:242-255.
- 641 McShan D, Ray PC, Yu H (2014) Molecular toxicity mechanisms of nanosilver. J Food Drug Anal 22:116-127.
- Morgan TP, Grosell M, Playle RC, Wood CM (2004) The time course of silver accumulation in rainbow trout
   during static exposure to silver nitrate: Physiological regulation or an artifact of the exposure conditions?
   *Aquat Toxicol* 66:55-72
- Murray L, Rennie MD, Enders E, Pleskach K, Martin J (2017a) Effect of nanosilver on cortisol release and
  morphometrics in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). *Environ Toxicol Chem* 36(6):1606-1613
- 647 Murray L, Rennie MD, Svendsen JC, Enders EC (2017b) Effect of nanosilver on metabolism in rainbow trout
- 648 (Oncorhynchus mykiss): An investigation using different respirometric approaches. Environ Toxicol Chem
   649 36:2722-2729
- Notter DA, Mitrano DM, Nowack B (2014) Are nanosized or dissolved metals more toxic in the environment? A
  meta-analysis. *Environ Toxicol Chem* 33:2733-2739
- Nowack, B, Ranville J, Diamond S, Gallego-Urrea J, Metcalfe C, Rose J, Horne A, Koelmans AA, Klaine SJ (2012)
   Potential scenarios for nanomaterial release and subsequent alteration in the environment. *Environ Toxicol Chem* 31:50-59
- Ogle DH (2016) A computer program for age-length keys incorporating age assignment to individual fish. *NA J Fish Manag* 25:1153-1160
- 657 Ogorek J, Dewild J (2010) Analysis of methylmercury in biological samples by cold vapor atomic fluorescence
- detection with the Brooks-Rand "MERX" automated methylmercury analytical system. Brooks-Rand 13p
- 659 Ontario Benthos Biomonitoring Network: Protocol Manual (2007) Jones C, Somers KM, Craig B, Reynoldson TB
- 660 (eds) Ontario Ministry of the Environment and Climate Change. Accessed
- 661 https://desc.ca/sites/default/files/OBBN2007finalapril18c.pdf
- 662 Pazzia I, Trudel M, Ridgway M, Rasmussen JB (2002) Influence of food web structure on the growth and
- 663 bioenergetics of lake trout (Salvelinus namaycush). Can J Fish Aquat Sci 59:1593-1605

- 664 Pena EA, Slate EH (2006) Global validation of linear model assumptions. J Amer Stat Assoc 101: 341-354
- Pham CH, Yi J, Gu MB (2012) Biomarker gene response in male medaka (*Oryzias latipes*) chronically exposed to
  silver nanoparticle. *Ecotoxicol Environ Safe* 78:239-245
- 667 Pierron F, Bourret V, St-Cyr J, Campbell PGC, Bernatchez L, Couture P (2009) Transcriptional responses to
- environmental metal exposure in wild yellow Yellow Perch (*Perca flavescens*) collected in lakes with
  differing environmental metal concentrations (Cd, Cu, Ni). *Ecotoxicol* 18:620-631
- 670 Pulit-Prociak J, Stokłosa K, Banach M (2014) Nanosilver products and toxicity. *Environ Chem Lett* 13:59-68
- Quinn GP, Keough MJ (2002) Experimental Design and Data Analysis for Biologists. Cambridge University Press,
   New York
- 673 R Core Team (2014) R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical
  674 Computing, Vienna, Austria. Accessed http://R-project.org
- Rand PS, Stewart DJ (1998) Prey fish exploitation, salmonine production, and pelagic food web efficiency in Lake
  Ontario. *Can J Fish Aquat Sci* 55:318-327
- 677 Rearick DC, Telgmann L, Hintelmann H, Frost PC, Xenopoulos MA (2018) Spatial and temporal trends in the fate
  678 of silver nanoparticles in a whole-lake addition study. *PlosONE* 13(8):e0201412
- 679 Reidy B, Haase A, Luch A, Dawson KA, Lynch I (2013) Mechanisms of silver nanoparticle release, transformation
- and toxicity: A critical review of current knowledge and recommendations for future studies andapplications. *Materials* 6:2295-2350
- 682 Rennie MD, Johnson TB, Sprules WG (2012) Energy acquisition and allocation patterns of lake whitefish
- 683 (Coregonus clupeaformis) are modified when dreissenids are present. Can J Fish Aquat Sci 69:41-59
- Rennie MD, Purchase CF, Shuter BJ, Collins NC, Abrams PA, Morgan GE (2010) Prey life-history and

bioenergetics response across a predation gradient. *J Fish Biol* 77:1230-1251

- 686 Rennie MD, Venturelli PA (2015) The ecology of lifetime growth in percid fishes. In: Kestemont P, Dabrowski K,
- 687 Summerfelt RC (eds) Biology and Culture of Percid Fishes: Principles and Practices. Springer,
  688 Netherlands, pp 499-536
- 689 Schindler DE, Eby LA (1997) Stoichiometry of fishes and their prey: implications from nutrient recycling. *Ecology*690 78:1816-1831
- 691 Schnabel ZE (1938) The estimation of the total fish population of a lake. Am Math Month 45:348-352

- 692 Sherwood GD, Kovecses J, Hontela A, Rasmussen JB (2002) Simplified food webs lead to energetic bottlenecks in
  693 polluted lakes. *Can J Fish Aquat Sci* 59:1-5
- 694 Sherwood GD, Rasmussen JB, Rowan DJ, Brodeur J, Hontela A (2000) Bioenergetic costs of heavy metal exposure
- 695 in yellow Perch (*Perca flavescens*): in situ estimates with a radiotracer (Cs-137) technique. *Can J*696 *Fish Aquat Sci* 57(2):441-450
- 697 Smokorowski KE, Randall RG (2017) Cautions on using the Before-After-Control-Impact design in environmental
   698 effects monitoring programs. *FACETS* 2:212-232
- Sun TY, Gottschalk F, Hungerbühler K, Nowack B (2014) Comprehensive probabilistic modelling of environmental
  emissions of engineered nanomaterials. *Environ Poll* 185:69-76
- Trudel M, Rasmussen J (2001) Predicting mercury concentration in fish using mass balance models. *Ecol Applic* 11(2):517-529
- Trudel M, Tremblay A, Schetagne R, Rasmussen JB (2000) Estimating food consumption rates of fish using a
   mercury mass balance method. *Can J Fish Aquat Sci* 57:414-428
- Trudel M, Tremblay A, Schetagne R, Rasmussen JB (2001) Why are dwarf fish so small? An energetic analysis of
   polymorphism in lake whitefish (*Coregonus clupeaformis*). *Can J Fish Aquat Sci* 58:394-405
- 707 US Environmental Protection Agency (1998) Method 7473 (SW-846): Mercury in Solids and Solutions by Thermal
- Decomposition, Amalgamation, and Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometry. Revision 0. United States
   Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C., USA
- 710 US Environmental Protection Agency (2001) Method 1630: Methyl mercury in water by distillation, aqueous
- ethylation, purge and trap, and CVAFS. EPA 821-R-01-020. United States Environmental Protection
  Agency, Washington, D.C., USA
- 713 Valerio-Garcia RC, Carbajal-Hernandez AL, Martinez-Ruiz EB, Jarquin-Diaz VH, Haro-Perez C, Martinez-
- Jeronimo F (2017) Exposure to silver nanoparticles produces oxidative stress and affects macromolecular
  and metabolic biomarkers in the godeid fish *Chapalychthys pardalis*. *Sci Total Environ* 583:308-318
- Völker C, Oetken M, Oehlmann J (2013) The biological effects and possible modes of action of nanosilver *Rev Environ Contam Toxicol* 233:81-106
- 718 Wang Z, Chen J, Li X, Shao J, Peijenburg WJGM (2012) Aquatic toxicity of nanosilver colloids to different trophic
- 719 organisms: contributions of particles and free silver ion. *Environ Toxicol Chem* 31(10):2408-2413

# 720 Tables

721	Table 1 Summary	data for Yello	w Perch collected	d for biomarker an	alysis of liver tissue	es in the AgNP lake and
	2				2	0

722	reference lakes 239, 240, and 383. Note that reference lake refers to Lake 239, unless otherwise spec	cified.

		Sacrificed Perch	Biomarkers Measured	
Phase	Lake	(#)		
	AgNP lake	72	Glutathione and TBARS	
2012	Reference lake	36	European of armos related to or idetive strass	
Pre-addition	Reference Lake 240	24	Expression of genes related to oxidative stress,	
	Reference Lake 383	24	heat shock proteins, and metallothionein	
2012	A aND lake	24	Glutathione and TBARS	
2013	Agivi lake	24	Expression of genes related to oxidative stress,	
Pre-addition	Reference lake	24	heat shock proteins, and metallothionein	
			Glutathione and TBARS	
2014	AgNP lake	60	Expression of genes related to oxidative stress,	
Year 1 addition	Reference lake	60	heat shock proteins, and metallothionein	
2015	AgNP lake	24	Clutothions and TDADS	
Year 2 addition	Reference lake	24	Olutannone and I DARS	

- 724 Table 2 Summary data for Yellow Perch collected in the summer (July and August) and fall (September and
- 725 October) for bioenergetics analysis (estimation of consumption and metabolic costs) in the AgNP lake and reference
- 726 lake.

		Sacrificed Perch (#)		
Phase	Season	AgNP Lake	Reference Lake	
2012	SUMMER	20	16	
Pre-addition	FALL	29	26	
2014	SUMMER	21	27	
Year 1 addition	FALL	24	23	
2015	SUMMER	26	22	
Year 2 addition	FALL	22	29	
2016	SUMMER	24	31	
Post-addition	FALL	21	20	





Figure 1 Mean ± standard error of the relative expression of (A) glutathione peroxidase (*gpx*), (B) glutathione
reductase (*gsr*), and (C) metallothionein (*mt*) genes in liver of Yellow Perch collected from the AgNP lake and
reference lakes over a pre-addition phase and in Year 1 of the AgNP addition phase of the study. Asterisk (\*)
represents a significant difference in expression from pre-addition phase in the same lake, and dagger (†) represents

a significant difference in expression from the reference lake during the same collection phase.



Figure 2 Mean, range, standard error of the concentrations (mmol per gram wet weight), and ratios of the forms of glutathione in the livers of Yellow Perch collected from the AgNP lake and reference lakes over the pre-addition phase and in Years 1 and 2 of the AgNP addition phases of the study. (A) reduced glutathione (GSH), (B) oxidized glutathione (GSSG), and (C) ratio of reduced to oxidized glutathione. Asterisk (\*) represents a significant difference in expression from pre-addition phase in the same lake and dagger (†) represents a significant difference in expression from the reference lake during the same collection phase. Note log scale on y-axis.



742

743 Figure 3 Bioenergetic estimates of Yellow Perch consumption (grams of food per day, A and C) and total 744 metabolism (Joules per day, B and D) in the AgNP lake (A and B) and the reference lake (C and D) across three 745 separate time periods. Time periods are pre-addition (2012, closed black symbols and solid lines), during AgNP additions (2014-15, closed grey symbols and solid grey lines), and post-addition (2016, open symbols and dashed 746 747 lines). Consumption and respiration costs are represented by multiple lines in the reference lake (small fish are 748 zooplanktivorous, large fish are piscivorous), whereas bioenergetic estimates in the AgNP lake were more 749 continuous. Dotted line in (C) is a common slope among all time periods (no significant differences in consumption 750 among time periods in the reference lake). Note log scaling on both axes.



**Figure 4** Comparisons of Yellow Perch fork length at age among years (2012 pre-addition, 2014-15 AgNP addition,





Figure 5 Changes in body condition and population density of Yellow Perch before, during, and after AgNP
additions. (A) Body condition (expressed as relative weight or percentage of standard weight for the species) of
Yellow Perch in a lake with AgNP added (grey) and an unmanipulated reference lake (black) before (2012), during
(2014-15), and after (2016) the period of AgNP additions. (B) Areal density (number per hectare) of Yellow Perch
in the AgNP lake and reference lake.



**Figure 6** Gross consumption by Yellow Perch in the AgNP lake (grey symbols) and the reference lake (black

symbols). (A) All Yellow Perch combined, (B) Gross consumption by ages 1 & 2 Yellow Perch only, and (C) Gross

763 consumption by ages 3 to 6 Yellow Perch only. Sum of values in panels (B and C) are those shown in (A).