Electrocoagulation of bio-filtrated landfill leachate: Fractionation of organic matter and influence of anode materials
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Abstract

Electrocoagulation (EC) was employed to treat residual organic matter from a landfill leachate pretreated by an aerated bio-filter system. Organic matter (humic acids (HA), fulvic acids (FA) and hydrophilic compounds (Hyl)) was fractionated using DAX-8 resin in order to estimate the efficiency of EC on each fraction. Initial characterization of the bio-filtrated landfill leachate showed that humic substances (HA + FA) represented nearly 90% of TOC. The effects of current densities, type of anode (Aluminum versus iron), and treatment time on the performance of COD removal were investigated. The best COD removal performances were recorded at a current density ranging between 8.0 and 10 mA cm\(^{-2}\) during 20 min of treatment time. Under these conditions, 70% and 65% of COD were removed using aluminum and iron electrodes, respectively. Fractionation of organic matter after EC treatment revealed that HA was completely removed using either an aluminum or an iron anode. However, FA and Hyl fractions were partially removed, with the percentages varying from 57 to 60% and 37 to 46%, respectively. FA and Hyl removal were quite similar using either an aluminum or an iron anode. Likewise, a significant decrease in 254-nm absorbance was recorded (UV\(_{254}\) removal of 79–80%) using either type of anode. These results proved that EC is a suitable and efficient approach for treating the residual refractory organic matter from a landfill leachate previously treated by a biological system.
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1. Introduction

The high rate of economic growth and continuing population growth of recent years has led to increasing waste production worldwide. Landfilling is the most common option used to manage this issue [1]. However, landfilling can be problematic if the gases and liquids generated from the decomposition of biosolids are not well captured and treated. These effluents can severely harm the surrounding environment by polluting the atmosphere, the surface, and subsurface water [2]. To prevent this, biogas, mainly composed of methane, is generally captured and burned or used as an energy source.

Landfill leachate (LL), on the other hand, needs to be treated before its discharge into the natural environment. However, the complex mixture of organic, inorganic, and microbial pollution found in LL makes it a challenge to simultaneously remove these pollutants.

The characteristics of landfill leachates are influenced by many parameters, but the age of the landfill appears to be the most important [3]. Leachates can be divided into 3 groups, young, intermediary, and mature, according to the age of the landfill. Young LL are generally characterized by high concentrations of COD (COD > 10000 mg L\(^{-1}\)), with a large proportion of biodegradable compounds (BOD/COD > 0.5) [4]. By comparison, mature LL are characterized by moderate COD values (COD < 5000 mg L\(^{-1}\)), with a predominance of refractory organic compounds (BOD/COD < 0.5) [5]. Due to their high proportion of biodegradable compounds, young LL are usually treated using biological systems. However, biological technologies are not effective for treatment of mature LL.

In order to improve the treatment of mature LL, researchers have examined hybrid systems combining biological (Bio) and non-biological processes. Several approaches have been used for such systems: Bio & membrane processes [6, 7], Bio & advanced
oxidative processes (AOPs) [8], Bio & physico-chemical processes [9], Bio &
electrochemical treatment [10, 11], etc. Depending on the requirements of the treatment,
the biological system could be used as a pre- or post-treatment. When it is used as a
pretreatment system, its purpose is to reduce mineral and biodegradable organic
pollutants in order to reduce the task of the non-biological system. Otherwise, it can be
used as a post-treatment system after removing the non-biodegradable compounds using
advanced oxidative processes or physico-chemical systems. Either way, biological
processes offer the potential to reduce operating costs. These processes can be used to
treat biodegradable organic compounds and other inorganic pollutants (NH$_4^+$, PO$_4^{3-}$)
efficiently and simultaneously. However, after biological treatment, especially in the case
of mature landfill leachate, a large proportion of recalcitrant organic molecules such as
humic-like substances will remain soluble in the aqueous medium. These humic materials
could be a precursor of undesirable organochlorine by-products if the leachate is
discharged into a source of drinking water. To avoid this situation, residual organic
matter should be reduced or eliminated before discharge. One of the ways to remove such
compounds is electrocoagulation (EC). This electrochemical technique has been used for
the treatment of raw landfill leachate and other macromolecular compounds, such as
humic-like substances, dyes, proteins, etc. [12-14]. EC appears to be effective for the
removal of colloids, suspended solids, and other high-molecular-weight compounds. The
process generates in-situ coagulant agents using differences in electric potential. These
coagulants can agglomerate colloids and generate insoluble metal hydroxides on which
organic matter can be adsorbed and co-precipitate. The main reactions occurring in an EC
cell can be described in the following equations (Eqs (1), (2) and (3)):
At the anode: \[ M(s) \rightarrow M^{n+}_{(aq)} + ne^- \] (1)

At the cathode: \[ 2H_2O + 2e^- \rightarrow 2OH^- + H_2 \] (2)

In the bulk solution: \[ M^{n+}_{(aq)} + nOH^- \rightarrow M(OH)_n(s) \] (3)

where \( M(s) = \) metal, \( M^{n+}_{(aq)} = \) metallic ion (iron or aluminum ion), \( M(OH)_n(s) = \) metallic hydroxide, and \( ne^- \) = the number of electrons transferred in the reaction at the electrode. It should be noted that Eq. 3 describes a simple case of metallic hydroxide formation. In fact, plenty of complex metallic species may be formed, depending on the pH level and metal involved [15].

In comparison to chemical coagulation, EC offers several advantages, including low salinity of the effluent after treatment, low footprint, reduced production of solid residuals, and easy automation [16].

The main objective of this study was to investigate the effectiveness of EC for the treatment of landfill leachate previously treated by a bio-filter system. The effects of type of anode, current density, and treatment time on the efficiency of the EC process for organic matter removal were investigated. Particular attention was paid to the fractionation of organic matter (humic acids, fulvic acids, and hydrophilic compounds) before and after EC.
2. Materials and methods

2.1. Characteristics of bio-filtrated landfill leachate

The LL used in this study was biologically pretreated using an aerated trickling bio-filter composed of peat and wood chips. The landfill was pretreated according to the procedure described by Buelna et al. [17]. The raw leachate was collected from the municipal landfill of the city of Saint-Rosaire, Quebec, Canada. The purpose of the biological pretreatment was to remove simultaneously nitrogen, biodegradable organic compounds, and turbidity. The characteristics of the bio-filtrated landfill leachate are presented in Table 1.

2.2. Electrocoagulation reactor

EC experiments were carried out in a cylinder reactor in recirculation mode with a batch capacity of 1.5 L (Fig.1). An external hollow cylinder made of stainless steel served as a cathode, while a full cylinder rod was used as a sacrificial anode. The anodic electrodes were either aluminum or iron. The electrode surfaces that were in contact with the influent were 476 and 1130 cm² for the anode and the cathode respectively. The current densities cited in the manuscript correspond to the anodic current densities. Current intensity was applied using a Xantrex XFR40-70 power supply (ACATmetrix, Mississauga, ON, Canada). No addition of electrolyte salt was required since the conductivity of the bio-filtrated landfill leachate was suitable for an electrochemical treatment. Aliquots of 30 mL were withdrawn from the reactor at different treatment times. Analyses were performed in the supernatant after at least 1 h of natural settling (without any flocculent addition).
2.3. Characterization of organic matter

The organic material in the landfill leachate can be divided into 3 groups: humic acids (HA), fulvic acids (FA), and hydrophilic (Hyl) compounds. In order to determine the efficiency of the EC treatment, it was necessary to isolate and evaluate each organic fraction. To do so, several extraction steps were required. The protocol used in this investigation was adapted from a study conducted by Van Zomeren et Comans [18]. Fig. SM1 shows the different separation steps leading to the isolation of each fraction.

The first step (pretreatment) removed suspended solids, colloids, and fats by means of 0.45-µm pore size filtration. The sample was then acidified at around pH 1.0 using 6 N H₂SO₄ in order to precipitate the HA fraction. In our case, sulfuric acid was used instead of hydrochloric acid to avoid interference from chloride ions during measurement of the COD. After centrifugation, the HA pallet was dissolved in 0.1 N NaOH solution. The supernatant (AF and Hyl) was mixed with a non-ionic and slightly polar resin (Supelite™ DAX-8, Sigma-Aldrich Co). This resin has the ability to adsorb the FA fraction onto its surface. After one hour of equilibrium, the suspension was then filtrated. The supernatant contained hydrophilic compounds that were not adsorbed onto the resin surface. The DAX-8 resin was then mixed and agitated with 0.1 N NaOH solution to desorb the fulvic acids fraction. Analyses of TOC and COD and measurements of absorbance at 254 nm were carried out in each organic fraction after isolation.
2.4. Analytical methods

pH was measured using a pH meter (Accumet Excel XL25 - pH / mV / Temp / Ion Meter, Fisher Scientific Co) connected to a double-junction Fisher Scientific Accumet® Electrode (Ag / AgCl reference). Chemical oxygen demand (COD) was measured using the colorimetric method (closed Reflux) certified by the APHA [19], and the absorbance reading was conducted with a UV-Visible spectrophotometer (Cary 50, Varian Canada Inc., Mississauga, ON, Canada). Samples of COD were acidified under pH 2 before analysis. Except for the fractionated organic materials, COD values correspond to total COD. Total Organic Carbon (TOC) was estimated using a Shimadzu TOC 5000A analyzer (Shimadzu Scientific Instruments Inc). Absorbance at 254 nm was measured in order to assess the degree of aromaticity of each fraction [20] using a UV-Visible spectrophotometer (Cary 50, Varian Canada Inc., Mississauga, ON, Canada).
3. Results and discussion

3.1. Bio-filtrated landfill leachate characteristics

Table 1 shows the characteristics of LL after biological treatment. The high variability of the physico-chemical parameters was mainly attributable to seasonal variations in the raw LL used to feed the bio-filtration unit. The large fluctuations in COD were linked to the leaching of humic substances from the bio-filter media (peat and wood chips).

From table 1, it can be seen that the bio-filtration unit significantly reduced the biodegradable carbon, nitrogen, and turbidity. The low alkalinity value of the bio-filtrated landfill leachate was due to mineral carbon uptake by autotroph bacteria during the nitrification process. However, the residual COD concentration was still high after biological treatment. This residual COD was mainly composed of refractory humic-like substances that were difficult for microorganisms to oxidize. In order to understand the nature of these remaining humic substances, fractionation of the organic matter was carried out. The results are presented in Figs. 2a and b.

Figs. 2a and b show that HA and FA represented nearly all the residual organic material in the BFLL. As Fig. 2a shows, the TOC percentages of the fractions were 41%, 50%, and 26% for HA, FA, and Hyl, respectively. This small percentage of hydrophilic compounds was expected because these compounds are generally more easily oxidized than humic substances. With respect to COD values, the proportions of the organic fractions were slightly different (Fig. 2b), being 56%, 30%, and 9% for the HA, FA, and Hyl fractions, respectively. Despite the fact that the FA fraction contains more carbon in
its molecular structure, its contribution to the COD value was lower than that recorded for the HA fraction. This might be explained by the fact that FA contains more oxygen in its molecular structure (carboxyl and hydroxyl groups) than HA. Since these functional groups are chemically very reactive, less oxygen demand will be required to oxidize the FA molecules. On the other hand, HA contains more carbon in the form of aliphatic chains and aromatic rings, which are more difficult to oxidize.

3.2. EC of bio-filtrated landfill leachate

In order to reduce the residual organic compounds in the BFLL, the bio-filtration treatment was followed by EC treatment. Aluminum and iron electrodes were both tested as sacrificial anodes. COD removal at different treatment times and current densities is shown in Figs. 3 and 4 for aluminum and iron, respectively. Increases in current density and treatment time were generally beneficial to organic compound removal. This result was expected since the generation of coagulant agents (Al$^{3+}$ and Fe$^{2+}$) is directly proportional to the applied intensity and time according to Faraday's well-known law. However, beyond a certain level of current density, 8 and 5 mA cm$^{-2}$ for aluminum and iron respectively, we observed that further increases in current density did not significantly affect COD removal. This phenomenon might be explained by the fact that only a specific fraction of organic matter was preferentially removed by the EC treatment. After the removal of this fraction, the remaining organic compounds stayed in soluble form in spite of increases in current density and treatment time. From Figs. 3 and 4, it can be seen that the rate of COD removal was relatively high while current densities of 8 mA cm$^{-2}$ for aluminum electrodes and 5 mA cm$^{-2}$ for iron electrodes were imposed. At these current densities, over 90% of total COD was removed within the first 15 min.
After that, COD decreased very slowly until the end of the experience. For the next step of the experiments, the following operating conditions were chosen: 10 mA cm\(^{-2}\), 20 min and 8 mA cm\(^{-2}\), 20 min for aluminum and iron, respectively. Under these conditions, 70% and 65% of the residual COD was removed using aluminum and iron anodes, respectively. Sludge production was the same (total suspended solids (TSS) of 1.93 g L\(^{-1}\)) for both electrode types under optimum conditions.

### 3.3. Partition of organic compounds after electrocoagulation

To investigate the mechanism behind COD and TOC removal using the EC process, the residual concentrations of the different fractions of organic matter (HA, FA, and Hyl compounds) were evaluated. The results for residual TOC and COD concentrations are presented in Figs. 5 and 6, respectively. From Figs. 5a and b, it can be seen that EC treatment was very effective at removing the HA fraction: HA was completely removed using either the Al or the Fe anode. By contrast, the residual Hyl and FA concentrations remained relatively high after the treatment of landfill leachate. Depending on the type of anode used, the percentage of TOC removal for Hyl compounds varied from 37% to 46%, whereas 57% to 60% TOC removal was recorded for the FA fraction. Figs. 6a and b show the results in terms of residual COD concentrations for Al and Fe anodes, respectively. As can be seen, all of the COD linked to the HA fraction was removed after EC treatment using either an Al or an Fe anode. By comparison, only 9.8% of the COD was removed for Hyl compounds using an Al anode, and a slight increase in COD concentration (16.9%) was observed when an Fe anode was used. The Fe anode was more effective than the Al anode for removal of FA, however,
27% and 60% COD removal recorded for FA compounds using Al and Fe anodes, respectively.

In sum, the HA fraction was preferentially and totally eliminated during EC of BFLL, while the FA and Hyl compounds were partially removed. This can be attributed to the different molecular weights and surface charges of these three organic fractions. These results are consistent with those of several studies that have characterized the dissolved organic material from landfill leachate and surface water. Physicochemical properties such as hydrophilicity, hydrophobicity, molecular weight, and organic surface charge were studied by these authors [21-26]. Among other results, the studies determined that HA generally have higher molecular weights than fulvic acids and hydrophilic compounds. In addition, the surface of HA and FA is negatively charged because of the presence of certain acidic functional groups, such as carboxyl and hydroxyl groups, which are abundant in humic substances [27]. As a result, humic substances are preferentially removed by the mechanism of adsorption involving electrostatic attraction between the negatively charged humic material and metallic hydroxide complexes, which are positively charged. Since HA have a larger molecular structure than FA and Hyl compounds, they have more ability to interact with metallic hydroxides and co-precipitate. Investigations that have looked at the removal of humic substances from water using chemical coagulation have also found that HA are preferentially eliminated in comparison to the FA fraction [28-31].

The relatively low removal percentage recorded for Hyl compounds is attributable to the low molecular weight of this fraction. Several studies on the use of EC or chemical coagulation have confirmed the inefficiency of these technologies for the removal of
hydrophilic compounds with low molecular weights [26]. It is worth noting that the TOC linked to the Hyl fraction did not increase during the EC treatment. This suggests that electro-oxidation, transforming the large molecules (such as humic substances) into the small Hyl compounds, did not take place. The large organic molecules were mainly removed by adsorption, coagulation, and co-precipitation.

3.4. Specific absorbance at 254 nm

The 254-nm absorbance (UV$_{254}$) of each organic fraction was measured before and after electrochemical treatment in order to estimate the degree of aromaticity of the different fractions. UV$_{254}$ absorbance is linked to organic compounds with one or many double bonds. As can be seen from Fig. 7, the HA fraction had by far the highest value of UV$_{254}$ absorbance, representing 62% of the total absorbance of the BFLL. By comparison, FA and Hyl respectively represented only 17% and 8% of the total UV$_{254}$ absorbance. The low result recorded for the FA fraction (3.6 times lower than that recorded for the HA fraction) occurred even though FA had a higher TOC value (TOC$_{FA}$ = 102 mg C/L versus TOC$_{HA}$ = 85 mg C/L). These results suggest that HA molecules contain more aromatic rings than FA and Hyl molecules. Since the HA fraction was completely removed after EC, a significant decrease in UV$_{254}$ absorbance was recorded. The 254-nm absorbance was reduced by 79–80% using either aluminum or iron anodes. The decrease in UV$_{254}$ absorbance led also to a decrease in colour due to the high colouring power of HA. Supplementary experiments were performed in order to estimate the colour removal efficiency. It was found that 89% and 85% of the true colour was removed using the aluminum and iron anodes, respectively.
4. Conclusions

EC process was applied as tertiary treatment for landfill leachate after a bio-filtration process. Characterization of the BFLL revealed that humic substances (HA and FA) represented nearly 90% of dissolved organic matter in terms of TOC and COD. The residual organic material was mainly composed of Hyl compounds. Treatment of the BFLL using the EC process removed 70% and 65% of total COD using aluminum and iron anodes, respectively. COD removal was most effective at current densities ranging between 8.0 and 10 mA cm$^{-2}$ over 20 min of treatment time. Fractionation of the organic matter remaining after EC showed that the organic fraction linked to HA material was totally removed using either aluminum or iron anodes. The TOC of FA and Hyl compounds was reduced by 57 to 60% and 37 to 46%, respectively. Measurement of 254-nm absorbance revealed that HA contained more aromatic cycles (or more organic compounds with one or many double bonds) than the FA fraction. The HA fraction accounted for 62% of the total 254-nm absorbance of the BFLL. In all, 79–80% of 254-nm absorbance was removed using either aluminum or iron anodes.
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**Fig.1.** Electrocoagulation set-up
Fig. 2. Organic compounds fractionation of the bio-filtrated landfill leachate: a) TOC and b) COD
Fig. 3. Influence of current density and treatment time on COD removal (working volume = 1.5 L, initial pH = 8.66, anode = aluminum, cathode = stainless steel)
Fig. 4. Influence of current density and treatment time on COD removal (working volume = 1.5 L, initial pH = 8.94, anode = iron, cathode = stainless steel)
(a) Bio-treated leachate
After EC- Aluminum

TOC (mg C L⁻¹)

Total  | HA   | Hyl   | FA   |
---     | ---  | ---   | ---  |
50     | 100  | 50    | 100  |

(b) Bio-treated leachate
After EC-Iron

TOC (mg C L⁻¹)

Total  | HA   | Hyl   | FA   |
---     | ---  | ---   | ---  |
200    | 100  | 50    | 100  |
Fig. 5. TOC of different organic matter fractions before and after EC treatment: a) Aluminum anode, b) Iron anode: Conditions: $j = 10 \text{ mA/cm}^2$ for aluminum and $8 \text{ mA/cm}^2$ for iron, $t = 20 \text{ min}$, cathode = stainless steel
Fig. 6. COD of different organic matter fractions before and after electrocoagulation treatment: a) Aluminum anode, b) Iron anode: Conditions: $j = 10 \text{ mA/cm}^2$ for aluminum and $8 \text{ mA/cm}^2$ for iron, $t = 20 \text{ min}$, cathode = stainless steel
Fig. 7. Absorbance at 254 nm of different organic fractions before and after EC treatment:
Conditions $j = 10$ mA/cm$^2$, $t = 20$ min for aluminum and 8 mA/cm$^2$ for iron, $t = 20$ min, cathode = stainless steel
Fig. SM1. Different steps for the fractionation of organic matter in landfill leachate (adapted from (Van Zomeren and Comans, 2007))
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Average values</th>
<th>Min Values</th>
<th>Max values</th>
<th>a&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total COD</td>
<td>mg L&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>596</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>1060</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOD&lt;sub&gt;5&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>mg L&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOD/COD</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turbidity</td>
<td>NTU</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conductivity</td>
<td>mS cm&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>6.04</td>
<td>6.04</td>
<td>6.04</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>9.19</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alkalinity</td>
<td>mg CaCO&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt; L&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>494</td>
<td>331</td>
<td>637</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-NH&lt;sub&gt;4&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>mg L&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-PO&lt;sub&gt;4&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>mg L&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> = Number of analysis
Table 1:

Bio-filtrated landfill leachate characteristics