

Published in final edited form as:

*Cell Rep.* 2013 August 29; 4(4): 697–708. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2013.07.026.

## Convergent evolution of hyperswarming leads to impaired biofilm formation in pathogenic bacteria

Dave van Ditmarsch<sup>1</sup>, Kerry E. Boyle<sup>1</sup>, Hassan Sakhtah<sup>2</sup>, Jennifer E. Oyler<sup>1</sup>, Carey D. Nadell<sup>3</sup>, Éric Déziel<sup>4</sup>, Lars E. P. Dietrich<sup>2</sup>, and Joao B. Xavier<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Program in Computational Biology, Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center, 1275 York Avenue, New York, NY 10065, USA

<sup>2</sup>Department of Biological Sciences, Columbia University, 1108 Fairchild Center 1212 Amsterdam Avenue, Mail Code 2418, New York, NY 10027, USA

<sup>3</sup>Department of Molecular Biology, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544, USA

<sup>4</sup>INRS-Institut Armand-Frappier, 531 Boulevard des Prairies, Laval, Qc, H7V 1B7, Canada

### Abstract

Most bacteria in nature live in surface-associated communities rather than planktonic populations. Nonetheless, how surface-associated environments shape bacterial evolutionary adaptation remains poorly understood. Here we show that subjecting *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* to repeated rounds of swarming, a collective form of surface migration, drives remarkable parallel evolution towards a hyperswarmer phenotype. In all independently evolved hyperswarmers, the reproducible hyperswarming phenotype is caused by parallel point mutations in a flagellar synthesis regulator, FleN, which locks the naturally mono-flagellated bacteria in a multi-flagellated state and confers a growth-rate independent advantage in swarming. Even though hyperswarmers outcompete the ancestral strain in swarming competitions, they are strongly outcompeted in biofilm formation, which is an essential trait for *P. aeruginosa* in environmental and clinical settings. The finding that evolution in swarming colonies reliably produces evolution of poor biofilm formers supports the existence of an evolutionary tradeoff between motility and biofilm formation.

### Introduction

In nature, bacteria are generally not found in free-swimming, planktonic states but rather living in dense, surface-associated communities called biofilms (Kolter and Greenberg, 2006). Still, much of our knowledge of bacteriology comes from studying bacterial populations in liquid culture, neglecting this very spatial structure (Mitri et al., 2011). When studying bacterial evolution, in particular, most experiments are done in well-mixed liquid cultures (e.g. Blount et al., 2008; Chou et al., 2011; Lenski et al., 1991; Perfeito et al., 2007; Tenaillon et al., 2012; Woods et al., 2006). Bacterial evolution is central to many natural and human activities, from the improvement of bioremediation (Smidt and de Vos, 2004) and the treatment of infectious disease (Ensminger et al., 2012; Lieberman et al., 2011; Taubes,

© 2013 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

\*Corresponding author: xavierj@mskcc.org.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

**Publisher's Disclaimer:** This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

2008) to the evolution of life on Earth (David and Alm, 2011; Dietrich et al., 2006; Kasting and Siefert, 2002). The structure of natural surface-associated communities likely plays a major role in bacterial evolutionary adaptation (Hibbing et al., 2010; Nadell et al., 2010; Xavier et al., 2009).

Here we investigate evolution in swarming colonies of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Swarming is a collective form of motility over soft surfaces (Kearns, 2010; Kohler et al., 2000; Rashid and Kornberg, 2000). A versatile environmental microbe, *P. aeruginosa* is an opportunistic pathogen notorious for causing diverse infections at multiple sites including wounds, the circulatory system, the urinary tract and the lungs of cystic fibrosis patients. As for all disease-causing organisms, evolutionary adaptation is central to *P. aeruginosa* pathogenesis (Cattoir et al., 2013; Oliver et al., 2000; Smith et al., 2006; Weigand and Sundin, 2012; Yang et al., 2011); however, we have little understanding of selection pressures governing its evolution in surface-associated communities. *P. aeruginosa* is a well-known biofilm former and its biofilms are notoriously difficult to eradicate by conventional antibiotic treatment (Costerton et al., 1999). Biofilm formation is, therefore, being studied extensively in search of novel therapeutic approaches (reviewed in (Boyle et al., 2013)). Swarming motility raises equally interesting implications. Previous studies have shown that *P. aeruginosa* cells in swarming colonies can have distinct phenotypes from planktonic cultures, including gene expression (Tremblay and Deziel, 2010) and increased antibiotic resistance (Lai et al., 2009). In addition, the self-produced biosurfactants required for swarming motility (Caiazza et al., 2005; Deziel et al., 2003) are important for biofilm maintenance and dispersion (Boles et al., 2005; Lequette and Greenberg, 2005) as well as to kill immune cells (Jensen et al., 2007). Biofilm formation and swarming motility are inversely regulated (Baraquet et al., 2012; Caiazza et al., 2007; Kuchma et al., 2007; Lee et al., 2007), and the regulation involves the second messenger cyclic diguanylate (c-di-GMP): high levels of c-di-GMP induce biofilm formation and suppress swarming motility (Baraquet et al., 2012). This inverse regulation could be of interest for novel therapeutics against biofilm formation.

Swarming colonies of *P. aeruginosa* make characteristic branching patterns of striking regularity (see video S1). This phenotype requires coordination of several pathways, including flagellar motility (Kohler et al., 2000), cell-cell signaling/quorum sensing (Kohler et al., 2000; Xavier et al., 2011) and biosurfactant secretion (Caiazza et al., 2005; Deziel et al., 2003). Screening for genes affecting swarming motility yielded over 200 hits in diverse functional categories including motility, transport secretion, metabolism and transcriptional regulation (Yeung et al., 2009). Given the multifactorial nature of swarming motility one would naïvely expect that repeated rounds of swarming in independent lineages could lead to divergent evolution. Such evolutionary diversification has been observed before in bacterial experimental evolution, even with bacteria facing relatively well-defined evolutionary pressures such as new nutrients (Chou et al., 2011; Herring et al., 2006; Woods et al., 2006), a change in temperature (Bennett and Lenski, 2007; Lenski and Bennett, 1993; Tenaillon et al., 2012) or the overexpression of costly genes (Chou and Marx, 2012).

Here we show that repeated passaging of *P. aeruginosa* on swarming plates leads to striking parallel molecular evolution. After only a few daily passages we see emergence of a hyperswarming phenotype where the colony covers the entire plate. Hyperswarming is caused by single point mutations in the flagellar synthesis regulator FleN, which cause the bacteria to assemble multiple polar flagella and gain a strong, growth-rate independent, advantage in swarming competitions. Importantly, hyperswarmers become poor biofilm formers and are outcompeted by the ancestral strain in biofilm competitions. Experimental evolution in swarming thus provides a unique example of parallel evolution and suggests an evolutionary trade-off between motility and biofilm formation.

## Results

### Experimental swarming evolution yields hyperswarmers

Three independent lineages initiated from a common ancestor strain, *P. aeruginosa* PA14, were submitted to experimental evolution through consecutive rounds of swarming. After every 24h period of swarming each colony was harvested in its entirety from the swarming plate and re-suspended in a test tube. A 1/1500 fraction of each of the harvested populations was point-inoculated onto the center of a fresh swarming plate. This procedure was repeated daily for 9 days.

Over time, all three lineages lost the distinctive branching pattern of wild type swarming in favor of a plate-covering morphology (fig. 1A, video S1). We call this phenotype hyperswarming. Lineage #2 started showing hyperswarming at day 5, whereas the other two lineages acquired the phenotype later. All 12 isolated clones showed round hyperswarming colonies (fig. 1B, video S1), confirming heritability and stability of hyperswarming.

We observed subtle, yet reproducible, differences in colony morphology between different hyperswarmer clones. This suggested that we had obtained an unknown number of distinct clones. We therefore undertook five quantitative phenotypic assays in order to determine the number of distinct clones. The measured phenotypes were swimming motility, twitching motility, biosurfactant production, and the amount of attached and suspended cells in biofilm assays (fig. 2A). The wild type strain provided a reference for all assays. In addition two non-motile clones (*flgK*<sup>-</sup> and *pilB*<sup>-</sup>) served as negative controls for the motility and biofilm assays (O'Toole and Kolter, 1998).

In each of the phenotypic assays hyperswarmers showed differences compared to wild type but to varying degrees (fig. 2A). To ascertain the number of distinct clones, the strains were grouped according to their performances in the phenotypic assays using hierarchical clustering (fig. 2B)(Maynard et al., 2010; Xie et al., 2011). Wild type clustered separately from all other strains and the two non-motile mutants clustered together. We determined that there were likely three clusters of hyperswarmers, one cluster consisting of clones 1, 3 and 4, one consisting of clones 5–8 and the last consisting of clones 2 and 9–12. Cell size clearly set apart clone 5 from clones 2 and 10 (figure S1). The fact that the two clones isolated from the same plate (clones 1 and 2 isolated from lineage #2 at day 5) were placed in different groups suggested that population #2 was polyclonal at this early stage. All other clones (clones 3–12), which were isolated from day 9, grouped according to their plate of origin. Based on the clustering, we chose the two early clones (1 and 2) and one clone from each of the late populations (clones 4, 5 and 10, respectively) for further study.

### Hyperswarmers outcompete ancestral strain in swarming competitions

The consistent emergence of hyperswarmers from independent lineages suggested a competitive advantage for these clones over the ancestral strain. An obvious way to gain a competitive advantage is an increased growth rate. However, the growth rates measured in liquid cultures with the same nutrient composition as swarming plates through *growth curve synchronization* (van Ditmarsch and Xavier, 2011) (fig. S2A) revealed that hyperswarmers grow slightly slower than the ancestral strain (fig. 3A). We then tested whether hyperswarmers would lose a direct competition against the ancestral strain in liquid cultures. These competition experiments indeed showed that hyperswarmers have a disadvantage in liquid competition (fig. 3B). Although there are important differences between growth in liquid and the spatially structured environment of a swarming plate, the fact that hyperswarmers (i) have a lower growth rate in liquid and (ii) are outcompeted in liquid co-culture with the ancestral suggests that the process driving the evolution of hyperswarmers is growth independent.

In contrast, similar competitions in swarming plates confirmed that the hyperswarmers have a significant selective advantage in swarming against the ancestral strain (fig. 3C). To evaluate how hyperswarmers manage to win swarming competitions we imaged competition plates and observed that hyperswarmers localized preferentially at the leading edge of swarming colonies (fig. 3D). These competitions further support that growth rate is not the cause of the emergence of hyperswarmers. Rather, they suggest that the hyperswarmers gain an ecological advantage by getting prime access to nutrients as the colony expands, a phenomenon studied in depth with theoretical models (e.g. Xavier and Foster, 2007; Xavier et al., 2009). The loss of branching in hyperswarmer colonies is not caused by a loss of the repulsion effect (Caiazza et al., 2005; Tremblay et al., 2007), as hyperswarmers are still affected in proximity of a surfactant-producing but immotile *flgK<sup>-</sup>* strain (fig. S2B–C, third segment of video S1).

### Hyperswarming is caused by parallel point mutations in *fleN*

After determining stability and heritability of hyperswarming, we sequenced the whole genome of the five selected hyperswarmer clones and the ancestral strain in search of mutations causing hyperswarming. Using the deposited reference genome of *P. aeruginosa* UCBPP-PA14 from *pseudomonas.com* (Winsor et al., 2011) as the scaffold for read mapping, we compared each of the hyperswarmers to the ancestral strain, so as to specifically call mutations between hyperswarmers and our lab strain. The analysis identified only single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in one gene. The mutated gene, *fleN* (PA14\_45640), encodes the flagellar synthesis regulator FleN (Dasgupta et al., 2000), suggesting the mutations affected flagellar motility. We found two distinct *fleN* mutations: clones 1 and 4 harbored mutation FleN(V178G) and clones 2, 5 and 10 harbored FleN(W253C) (fig. 4A). Targeted re-sequencing of *fleN* in the remaining 7 hyperswarmers revealed that they also harbored single point mutations in *fleN* (fig. 4A). Interestingly, the *fleN* mutations agreed with the hierarchical clustering carried out earlier (fig. 2B), confirming that lineage #2 was indeed polyclonal at day 5.

Since none of the SNPs found in the hyperswarmers are present in any of the *Pseudomonas* spp. genomes publically available (Winsor et al., 2011) (fig. 4A), we proceeded to confirm causality of *fleN* mutations for hyperswarming. The expression level of *fleN* is vital for its proper functioning, and *fleN* overexpression yields non-flagellated cells whereas a knockout yields multi-flagellated but non-motile cells (Dasgupta et al., 2000). We thus opted for *in cis* complementation, to ensure appropriate expression. Allelic replacement of wild-type *fleN* with mutated *fleN* produced the hyperswarmer morphology; conversely, the replacement of mutant *fleN* with the wild-type sequence reverted the swarming morphology to the wild type, branched colony morphology in all clones (fig. 4B). Moreover, all the other phenotypes assessed previously were also complemented by the allelic replacements (fig. S3A). Together, the complementation experiments provide definitive proof that the SNPs identified are necessary and sufficient to cause hyperswarming. In addition, we confirmed that the *fleN* mutations do not constitute complete loss of function in FleN, since a *fleN* strain is impaired in swarming motility and behaves different from any hyperswarmer clone in the other phenotypes as well (fig. 4B, S3A). No explanatory SNPs were found for the different cell size in clone 5, but *fleN* complementation proved that these unknown mutations are not sufficient for the hyperswarming phenotype (fig. 4B).

### Parallel evolution of multi-flagellated hyperswarmers

The finding that three independent lineages of experimental evolution led to hyperswarmers through distinct point mutations in *fleN* suggested that swarming selection was driving parallel molecular evolution. 16 out of 24 additional experimental evolution lineages resulted in hyperswarming (the remaining 9 lineages collapsed due to a phage outburst and

those lineages were excluded from further analysis, data not shown). All new hyperswarmer clones (one from each lineage) harbored *fleN* mutations, 12 harbored FleN(V178G); the remaining four revealed four additional *fleN* mutations: FleN(F176S), FleN(L179Q), FleN(F203L) and FleN(P254L) (fig. 4A).

At the molecular level FleN has a dual role, influencing flagellar synthesis and chemotaxis as the anti-activator of the flagellar master regulator FleQ, and maintaining flagellar number and polarity due to its interaction with FlhF (Balaban and Hendrixson, 2011; Kazmierczak and Hendrixson, 2013; Kusumoto et al., 2006; Murray and Kazmierczak, 2006). As stated previously, in the complete absence of FleN, *P. aeruginosa* becomes multi-flagellated, but suffers from a chemotactic defect, impairing motility (Dasgupta et al., 2000) (fig. 4B and S3A). Furthermore, overexpression of FleN leads to non-flagellated cells (Dasgupta et al., 2000; Dasgupta and Ramphal, 2001; Dasgupta et al., 2003). Due to increased swimming and swarming motility of hyperswarmers (Fig. 2A, 3B–C), we decided to investigate whether the *fleN* mutations affected flagellar synthesis. We did so in two ways. Firstly, we constructed a flagellin promoter-based fluorescence reporter (*attB::P<sub>fliC</sub>-gfp*). Flagellin (FliC) is the major extracellular component of the flagellar filament. In liquid culture, hyperswarmers expressed *fliC* earlier and at higher levels than the ancestral strain (fig. S3C), suggesting up-regulation of flagellar synthesis. Secondly, the ancestral strain, as expected, was mono-flagellated as observed by electron microscopy (EM) and flagella counts (fig. 4C–D, fig. S3B; although there are conditions where *P. aeruginosa* may have one to two flagella (Kohler et al., 2000; Rashid and Kornberg, 2000)). The hyperswarmers were confirmed to be polar multi-flagellates (fig. 4C–D, fig. S3B). It should be noted that the cells visualized by EM originated from stationary-phase liquid cultures, supporting that *fleN* mutations lock hyperswarmers in a multi-flagellated state.

Previous studies have shown that both *P. aeruginosa* PAK and *P. putida* became polar multi-flagellates when FlhF was overexpressed (Pandza et al., 2000; Schniederberend et al., 2013). Since FlhF and FleN are binding partners (Balaban and Hendrixson, 2011; Kazmierczak and Hendrixson, 2013; Kusumoto et al., 2006; Murray and Kazmierczak, 2006), it is possible that if their interaction is hampered by the *fleN* mutations, the cells may be experiencing a relatively higher activity of FlhF. However, swarming motility in a constitutive FlhF expression strain was indistinguishable from the ancestral (figure S3D), suggesting that the hyperswarmer phenotype is not due to a relatively higher activity of FlhF.

### Hyperswarming comes at the expense of biofilm formation

Since single-nucleotide changes can transform *P. aeruginosa* into a hyperswarmer and thus produce a pronounced competitive advantage in swarming, we wondered whether hyperswarmers exist in nature. So we investigated swarming motility in 47 isolates of *P. aeruginosa* (18 environmental isolates from contaminated soils in Canada (Deziel et al., 1996) and 29 clinical isolates from patients at Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center; fig. 5). All of these strains came from direct isolations and have undergone few generations in a laboratory setting. They should, consequently, represent the natural diversity of *P. aeruginosa* more appropriately. None of these strains showed hyperswarming (fig. 5). In fact, hyperswarmers clearly occupy a distinct region within the space of colony morphologies when compared to wild type strains (fig. 5). None of the five best swimmers from the clinical strains had coding mutations in *fleN* compared to our wild type.

Although all the clinical strains originated all from the same hospital, we observed a large variance in their swarming phenotype (fig. 5) suggesting that the strain library is significantly diverse. We selected one of the best swimmers among these isolates and subjected it to experimental evolution. The selected clinical strain harbored 4 non-coding mutations in its *fleN* sequence, showing that it is genotypically distinct from PA14.



Nonetheless, as with PA14 this isolate evolved a hyperswarmer phenotype, in this case via the mutation *FleN*(V178G) (fig. S4). The observation that another strain can also evolve hyperswarming through *FleN* point mutations shows that convergent evolution can occur beyond PA14. Nonetheless, the fact that none of our environmental and clinical isolates showed a hyperswarming phenotype (fig. 5) raises the questions whether there is an opposing selective pressure in the wild. Such an effect could be caused by antagonistic pleiotropy (Taylor and Buckling, 2011) or an evolutionary tradeoff (Shoval et al., 2012), where the improvement in one trait comes at the expense of another.

Biofilm formation is an essential trait for *P. aeruginosa*, both in environmental and clinical settings (Costerton et al., 1999; Kolter and Greenberg, 2006) and is known to be inversely regulated to swarming motility (Baraquet et al., 2012; Boyle et al., 2013; Caiazza et al., 2007; Kuchma et al., 2007; Lee et al., 2007). An inverse relationship has previously been shown between swarming motility and biofilm formation in a cohort of 237 clinical isolates (Murray et al., 2010). Our compendium of isolates shows a similar trend where good biofilm formers tend to be poor swarmers, whereas poor biofilm formers can be good swarmers (fig. S5E).

We had already observed that hyperswarmer clones are poor biofilm formers in monocultures (fig. 2A), so we proceeded to check their competitive ability against the ancestral strain in mixed biofilms. These competitions revealed that hyperswarmers start losing at the initial attachment phase (fig. 6A) and become severely outnumbered in mature biofilms, both in flow-cell (fig. 6B) and slide biofilm assays (fig. S5A–C). Hyperswarmers were strongly outcompeted even when hyperswarmers were inoculated up to a 100-fold excess compared to the ancestral strain (fig. S5D). The experiments suggest that biofilm formation impairment of hyperswarmers lies in an attachment problem (fig. 6A), whereas wild type cells in the same culture do manage to attach and grow a mature biofilm. Therefore the *fleN* mutations seem to tip the balance between biofilm and motility (Caiazza et al., 2007; Shrout et al., 2006) in favor of swarming motility in hyperswarmers, but at the expense of biofilm formation (fig. 6C).

## Discussion

Here we show that evolution in surface-associated swarming colonies produces parallel molecular evolution of multi-flagellated hyperswarmers. Swarming motility in *P. aeruginosa* is a social trait involving many molecular pathways (Caiazza et al., 2005; Deziel et al., 2003; Kohler et al., 2000; Rashid and Kornberg, 2000; Xavier et al., 2011), and *a priori* prediction of evolutionary trajectories is complicated due to its multifactorial nature. So the consistent emergence of hyperswarmers in independent lineages was surprising, all the more since all hyperswarmers have single point mutations in the same region of the flagellar synthesis regulator *FleN*. This is a striking example of parallel molecular evolution. In addition, the emergence of the most predominant mutation – *FleN*(V178G) – in experimental evolution started from a clinical isolate shows that the convergent molecular evolution in strains other than PA14.

These results raise an intriguing question: if *P. aeruginosa* can be transformed from a mono-flagellated (monotrichous) into a polar multi-flagellated (lophotrichous) bacterium by single point mutations, why is *P. aeruginosa* mono-flagellated in nature? The answer to this question may lie in the fact that hyperswarmers are poor biofilm formers that lose against wild type in biofilm competitions. As biofilms are an essential part of the *P. aeruginosa* lifestyle, hyperswarmers likely face a strong counter-selection in the wild, preventing their fixation there. Interestingly, non-flagellated mutants are also poor biofilm formers (O'Toole

and Kolter, 1998), suggesting that fine tuning of flagellar motility is essential for biofilm formation.

Swarming is common in *P. aeruginosa* strains and has been reported by many labs (e.g. (Breidenstein et al., 2012; Kuchma et al., 2010; Lai et al., 2009; Morris et al., 2011; Murray et al., 2010; Shrout et al., 2006; Takahashi et al., 2008; Tremblay and Deziel, 2008; Yeung et al., 2012; Yeung et al., 2009)). Nonetheless, swarming is a conditional phenotype that varies significantly with media composition and agar hardness. For example, round *P. aeruginosa* swarms without branches have been reported before in specific media conditions (e.g. (Breidenstein et al., 2012; Morris et al., 2011; Takahashi et al., 2008; Yeung et al., 2012)) even in wild-type strains. In contrast, the present study focused on the transition from branched swarms to round swarms while keeping the media composition and hardness constant, in order to determine the underlying genetic mechanism.

Importantly, these hyperswarmer clones could not have been found in traditional liquid culture-based experimental evolution. Hyperswarmers invariably grow slower than the ancestral strain in liquid culture and thus would not get fixed in evolving populations. In contrast, in swarming plates hyperswarmers emerge by virtue of their superior motility, which allows them to segregate at the leading edge of colonies, thereby gaining prime access to free space and unused nutrients. Therefore, hyperswarming, like certain other bacterial traits such as biofilm-building polymer secretion (Nadell and Bassler, 2011; Xavier and Foster, 2007; Xavier et al., 2009), requires spatial structure to be selected.

We also would not expect to find these hyperswarmers in genetic knockout screens. As shown in the present study, a *flaN* knockout actually swims and swarms worse than the wild type. In contrast to a *flaN* knockout, the point mutations in hyperswarmers are restricted to the 3'-terminal region of *flaN* and these mutants swim far better than the wild type. A transposon screen generated superior swimmers (Yeung et al., 2009), but these mutants were not related to flagella. One example is a *pqsH* knockout, which is a synthase for the extracellular signaling molecule PQS. The *pqsH* hyperswarmers made larger swarming colonies that still retained the branching pattern of the wild type. Therefore, our plate-covering *flaN*-mutated hyperswarmers would probably outcompete these transposon mutants.

Interestingly, in that same transposon screen mutants with swarming defects generally showed biofilm overproduction whereas mutants with enhanced swarming showed normal or decreased biofilm ability (Yeung et al., 2009). This adds to the mounting evidence for an inverse regulation between biofilm formation and motility (Caiazza et al., 2007; Yeung et al., 2011), which, as shown here, leads to an evolutionary trade-off.

The molecular mechanism underlying the hyperswarming phenotype is currently unknown. Our experiments suggest, however, that the observed changes are subtle, since neither a *flaN* deletion, nor constitutive *flhF* expression (both of which should create multiple polar flagella) recapitulate the hyperswarming morphology.

Placed in a broader perspective, our experiments in spatially structured swarming populations support that adaptive evolutionary paths can be, at least to some extent, predictable. Beyond *P. aeruginosa*, can we expect parallel evolution to be a general feature? Recent experiments on the adaptation of *Escherichia coli* to growth in high temperatures provide a counterexample and reinforce the notion that adaptive convergence can occur through diverse molecular changes (Tenaillon et al., 2012). Furthermore, studies in other bacterial pathogens, both in laboratory populations (Ensminger et al., 2012) and epidemics (Lieberman et al., 2011; Snitkin et al., 2012), suggest that adaptations to a host immune system can be divergent. Our evolutionary experiments seem to be uncommon among

bacteria and thus constitute a unique experimental model of highly reproducible molecular adaptation. Nevertheless, parallel evolution is important in nature (Christin et al., 2010), as illustrated by a recent finding that herbivorous insects facing the same selective pressure exhibit parallel molecular changes across species (Zhen et al., 2012). Which conditions lead to convergent rather than divergent evolutionary adaptations is therefore a major open question in evolutionary biology. The reproducible evolution of hyperswarmers in *P. aeruginosa* provides an experimental system to address this question.

## Experimental procedures

### Swarming motility

Swarming assays and competitions were performed as previously described (Xavier et al., 2011). The selection coefficient is defined as the final ratio of hyperswarmers to ancestral over the initial ratio of hyperswarmers to ancestral. Competitions were performed in both color combinations to exclude a possible selective advantage of either fluorescent marker (GFP or DsRedExpress). The neutral selection coefficient was determined by using wild type in both colors. Repulsion assays were performed in standard swarming conditions.

### Experimental evolution

Experimental evolution was carried out in standard swarming conditions. After 24h, the entire swarming colony was collected from the plate and used to inoculate a fresh swarming plate with a population bottleneck of 1/1500. Every cell suspension was glycerol stocked at  $-80^{\circ}\text{C}$ .

### Phenotypic assays

Swimming motility was performed according to (Murray and Kazmierczak, 2006) with minor adaptations. Twitching motility was also described elsewhere (O'Toole and Kolter, 1998), with minor adaptations. Rhamnolipid production was quantified using the sulfuric acid anthrone assay as described previously (Xavier et al., 2011). Monoculture biofilms were performed using a standard 96-well crystal violet biofilm assay (O'Toole and Kolter, 1998) with some adaptations. Biofilm competitions were performed using the tilted glass slip biofilm method (Xavier et al., 2009), with minor adaptations. Cell sizes were measured from overnight cultures diluted 1/2000 and left to grow for 5 hours at  $37^{\circ}\text{C}$  before gently diluting them out in order to visualize the cells by microscope. GFP labeled were used to facilitate imaging. See extended experimental procedures.

### Growth curves, calculation of growth rates and *fliC* expression

Growth rates were measured using the growth curve synchronization method (van Ditmarsch and Xavier, 2011). The liquid media were of the same composition as swarming media. The *fliC* promoter was PCR amplified directly from the *P. aeruginosa* PA14 genome using primers from a previous study (Wyckoff et al., 2002). The promoter ( $P_{fliC}$ ) was used to replace  $P_{rhlAB}$  in pYL122 (Lequette and Greenberg, 2005). The construct was mobilized into *P. aeruginosa* following four-parental mating and then integrated into the *attB* site. *fliC* expression was monitored through GFP.

### Whole genome sequencing and SNP calling

*P. aeruginosa* PA14 whole genome sequencing was done using Applied BioSystems SOLiD sequencing (Bedford, MA) with average 100x coverage. Duplicate reads were removed. Only areas of the genome with at least 10x coverage in high quality score reads were included in the analysis to allow SNP calling (94.35% of each sequenced genome). The ancestral and five hyperswarmers were genotyped with the GATK Unified Genotyper



(McKenna et al., 2010). Sequence differences between the deposited genome and our lab strain genome were not included in this direct comparison; thus the mutations defining the hyperswarmers were called specifically.

### Targeted re-sequencing of *fleN*

*fleN* was PCR-amplified from the *P. aeruginosa* genome using primers fleN\_fwd and fleN\_rev (see extended methods). Fragments were sequenced in both directions with the Sanger method.

### Strain creation through allelic exchange

Site-directed mutagenesis of *fleN* was performed through homologous recombination (Shanks et al., 2006), with minor adaptations (see extended methods). To create a constitutive FlhF expressing strain, the P<sub>A1/04/03</sub> promoter (Lambertsen et al., 2004; Lanzer and Bujard, 1988) was introduced in front of *flhF*.

### Transmission electron microscopy

Transmission electron microscopy was performed directly from overnight cultures. All acquired photos were used to assess the distribution of the number of flagella by manual counts.

### Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

### Acknowledgments

We thank Karina Xavier for comments on the manuscript, Kathleen Gilhuley for supplying the clinical strains, Joe Harrison (University of Calgary) for help with the *fleN* protocol, Nick Socci (Bioinformatics core), the DNA sequencing core at MSKCC, and Alice Liang and the Microscopy Core at the New York University Langone Medical Center for the transmission electron microscopy. This work was supported by the Lucille Castori Center for Microbes, Inflammation, and Cancer and by the Office Of The Director, National Institutes Of Health of the National Institutes of Health under Award Number DP2OD008440 to JBX.

### References

- Balaban M, Hendrixson DR. Polar flagellar biosynthesis and a regulator of flagellar number influence spatial parameters of cell division in *Campylobacter jejuni*. *PLoS Pathog.* 2011; 7:e1002420. [PubMed: 22144902]
- Baraquet C, Murakami K, Parsek MR, Harwood CS. The FleQ protein from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* functions as both a repressor and an activator to control gene expression from the *pel* operon promoter in response to c-di-GMP. *Nucleic acids research.* 2012; 40:7207–7218. [PubMed: 22581773]
- Bennett AF, Lenski RE. An experimental test of evolutionary tradeoffs during temperature adaptation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America.* 2007; 104(Suppl 1):8649–8654. [PubMed: 17494741]
- Blount ZD, Borland CZ, Lenski RE. Historical contingency and the evolution of a key innovation in an experimental population of *Escherichia coli*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America.* 2008; 105:7899–7906. [PubMed: 18524956]
- Boles BR, Thoendel M, Singh PK. Rhamnolipids mediate detachment of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* from biofilms. *Molecular microbiology.* 2005; 57:1210–1223. [PubMed: 16101996]
- Boyle KE, Heilmann S, van Ditmarsch D, Xavier JB. Exploiting social evolution in biofilms. *Curr Opin Microbiol.* 2013; 16:207–212. [PubMed: 23357558]

- Breidenstein EB, Janot L, Strehmel J, Fernandez L, Taylor PK, Kukavica-Ibrulj I, Gellatly SL, Levesque RC, Overhage J, Hancock RE. The Lon protease is essential for full virulence in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *PloS one*. 2012; 7:e49123. [PubMed: 23145092]
- Caiazza NC, Merritt JH, Brothers KM, O'Toole GA. Inverse regulation of biofilm formation and swarming motility by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PA14. *Journal of bacteriology*. 2007; 189:3603–3612. [PubMed: 17337585]
- Caiazza NC, Shanks RM, O'Toole GA. Rhamnolipids modulate swarming motility patterns of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Journal of bacteriology*. 2005; 187:7351–7361. [PubMed: 16237018]
- Cattoir V, Narasimhan G, Skurnik D, Aschard H, Roux D, Ramphal R, Jyot J, Lory S. Transcriptional response of mucoid *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* to human respiratory mucus. *MBio*. 2013; 3:e00410–00412. [PubMed: 23143799]
- Chou HH, Chiu HC, Delaney NF, Segre D, Marx CJ. Diminishing returns epistasis among beneficial mutations decelerates adaptation. *Science*. 2011; 332:1190–1192. [PubMed: 21636771]
- Chou HH, Marx CJ. Optimization of Gene Expression through Divergent Mutational Paths. *Cell Reports*. 2012; 1:133–140. [PubMed: 22832162]
- Christin PA, Weinreich DM, Besnard G. Causes and evolutionary significance of genetic convergence. *Trends Genet*. 2010; 26:400–405. [PubMed: 20685006]
- Costerton JW, Stewart PS, Greenberg EP. Bacterial biofilms: A common cause of persistent infections. *Science (New York, NY)*. 1999; 284:1318–1322.
- Dasgupta N, Arora SK, Ramphal R. fleN, a gene that regulates flagellar number in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Journal of bacteriology*. 2000; 182:357–364. [PubMed: 10629180]
- Dasgupta N, Ramphal R. Interaction of the antiactivator FleN with the transcriptional activator FleQ regulates flagellar number in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Journal of bacteriology*. 2001; 183:6636–6644. [PubMed: 11673434]
- Dasgupta N, Wolfgang MC, Goodman AL, Arora SK, Jyot J, Lory S, Ramphal R. A four-tiered transcriptional regulatory circuit controls flagellar biogenesis in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Molecular microbiology*. 2003; 50:809–824. [PubMed: 14617143]
- David LA, Alm EJ. Rapid evolutionary innovation during an Archaean genetic expansion. *Nature*. 2011; 469:93–96. [PubMed: 21170026]
- Deziel E, Lepine F, Milot S, Villemur R. rhlA is required for the production of a novel biosurfactant promoting swarming motility in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: 3-(3-hydroxyalkanoyloxy)alkanoic acids (HAAs), the precursors of rhamnolipids. *Microbiology (Reading, England)*. 2003; 149:2005–2013.
- Deziel E, Paquette G, Villemur R, Lepine F, Bisailon J. Biosurfactant production by a soil pseudomonas strain growing on polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons. *Applied and environmental microbiology*. 1996; 62:1908–1912. [PubMed: 16535330]
- Dietrich LE, Tice MM, Newman DK. The co-evolution of life and Earth. *Curr Biol*. 2006; 16:R395–400. [PubMed: 16753547]
- Ensminger AW, Yassin Y, Miron A, Isberg RR. Experimental evolution of *Legionella pneumophila* in mouse macrophages leads to strains with altered determinants of environmental survival. *PLoS Pathog*. 2012; 8:e1002731. [PubMed: 22693450]
- Herring CD, Raghunathan A, Honisch C, Patel T, Applebee MK, Joyce AR, Albert TJ, Blattner FR, van den Boom D, Cantor CR, et al. Comparative genome sequencing of *Escherichia coli* allows observation of bacterial evolution on a laboratory timescale. *Nature genetics*. 2006; 38:1406–1412. [PubMed: 17086184]
- Heydorn A, Nielsen AT, Hentzer M, Sternberg C, Givskov M, Ersboll BK, Molin S. Quantification of biofilm structures by the novel computer program COMSTAT. *Microbiology (Reading, England)*. 2000; 146(Pt 10):2395–2407.
- Hibbing ME, Fuqua C, Parsek MR, Peterson SB. Bacterial competition: surviving and thriving in the microbial jungle. *Nat Rev Microbiol*. 2010; 8:15–25. [PubMed: 19946288]
- Jensen PO, Bjarnsholt T, Phipps R, Rasmussen TB, Calum H, Christoffersen L, Moser C, Williams P, Pressler T, Givskov M, et al. Rapid necrotic killing of polymorphonuclear leukocytes is caused by quorum-sensing-controlled production of rhamnolipid by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Microbiology (Reading, England)*. 2007; 153:1329–1338.

- Kasting JF, Siefert JL. Life and the evolution of Earth's atmosphere. *Science*. 2002; 296:1066–1068. [PubMed: 12004117]
- Kazmierczak BI, Hendrixson DR. Spatial and numerical regulation of flagellar biosynthesis in polarly flagellated bacteria. *Molecular microbiology*. 2013; 88:655–663. [PubMed: 23600726]
- Kearns DB. A field guide to bacterial swarming motility. *Nat Rev Microbiol*. 2010; 8:634–644. [PubMed: 20694026]
- Kohler T, Curty LK, Barja F, van Delden C, Pechere JC. Swarming of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* is dependent on cell-to-cell signaling and requires flagella and pili. *Journal of bacteriology*. 2000; 182:5990–5996. [PubMed: 11029417]
- Kolter R, Greenberg EP. Microbial sciences: the superficial life of microbes. *Nature*. 2006; 441:300–302. [PubMed: 16710410]
- Kuchma SL, Ballok AE, Merritt JH, Hammond JH, Lu W, Rabinowitz JD, O'Toole GA. Cyclic-di-GMP-mediated repression of swarming motility by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: the pilY1 gene and its impact on surface-associated behaviors. *Journal of bacteriology*. 2010; 192:2950–2964. [PubMed: 20233936]
- Kuchma SL, Brothers KM, Merritt JH, Liberati NT, Ausubel FM, O'Toole GA. BifA, a cyclic-Di-GMP phosphodiesterase, inversely regulates biofilm formation and swarming motility by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PA14. *Journal of bacteriology*. 2007; 189:8165–8178. [PubMed: 17586641]
- Kusumoto A, Kamisaka K, Yakushi T, Terashima H, Shinohara A, Homma M. Regulation of polar flagellar number by the flhF and flhG genes in *Vibrio alginolyticus*. *Journal of biochemistry*. 2006; 139:113–121. [PubMed: 16428326]
- Lai S, Tremblay J, Deziel E. Swarming motility: a multicellular behaviour conferring antimicrobial resistance. *Environmental microbiology*. 2009; 11:126–136. [PubMed: 18793317]
- Lambertsen L, Sternberg C, Molin S. Mini-Tn7 transposons for site-specific tagging of bacteria with fluorescent proteins. *Environmental microbiology*. 2004; 6:726–732. [PubMed: 15186351]
- Lanzer M, Bujard H. Promoters largely determine the efficiency of repressor action. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*. 1988; 85:8973–8977. [PubMed: 3057497]
- Lee VT, Matewish JM, Kessler JL, Hyodo M, Hayakawa Y, Lory S. A cyclic-di-GMP receptor required for bacterial exopolysaccharide production. *Molecular microbiology*. 2007; 65:1474–1484. [PubMed: 17824927]
- Lenski RE, Bennett AF. Evolutionary response of *Escherichia coli* to thermal stress. *The American naturalist*. 1993; 142(Suppl 1):S47–64.
- Lenski RE, Rose MR, Simpson SC, Tadler SC. Long-Term Experimental Evolution in *Escherichia coli*. I. Adaptation and Divergence during 2,000 Generations. *Am Nat*. 1991; 138:1315–1341.
- Lequette Y, Greenberg EP. Timing and localization of rhamnolipid synthesis gene expression in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilms. *Journal of bacteriology*. 2005; 187:37–44. [PubMed: 15601686]
- Lieberman TD, Michel JB, Aingaran M, Potter-Bynoe G, Roux D, Davis MR Jr, Skurnik D, Leiby N, LiPuma JJ, Goldberg JB, et al. Parallel bacterial evolution within multiple patients identifies candidate pathogenicity genes. *Nature genetics*. 2011; 43:1275–1280. [PubMed: 22081229]
- Maynard ND, Birch EW, Sanghvi JC, Chen L, Gutschow MV, Covert MW. A forward-genetic screen and dynamic analysis of lambda phage host-dependencies reveals an extensive interaction network and a new anti-viral strategy. *PLoS Genet*. 2010; 6:e1001017. [PubMed: 20628568]
- McKenna A, Hanna M, Banks E, Sivachenko A, Cibulskis K, Kernysky A, Garimella K, Altshuler D, Gabriel S, Daly M, et al. The Genome Analysis Toolkit: a MapReduce framework for analyzing next-generation DNA sequencing data. *Genome research*. 2010; 20:1297–1303. [PubMed: 20644199]
- Mitri S, Xavier JB, Foster KR. Social evolution in multispecies biofilms. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*. 2011; 108(Suppl 2):10839–10846. [PubMed: 21690380]


- Morris JD, Hewitt JL, Wolfe LG, Kamatkar NG, Chapman SM, Diener JM, Courtney AJ, Leevy WM, Shrout JD. Imaging and analysis of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* swarming and rhamnolipid production. *Applied and environmental microbiology*. 2011; 77:8310–8317. [PubMed: 21984238]
- Murray TS, Kazmierczak BI. FlhF is required for swimming and swarming in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Journal of bacteriology*. 2006; 188:6995–7004. [PubMed: 16980502]
- Murray TS, Ledizet M, Kazmierczak BI. Swarming motility, secretion of type 3 effectors and biofilm formation phenotypes exhibited within a large cohort of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* clinical isolates. *J Med Microbiol*. 2010; 59:511–520. [PubMed: 20093376]
- Nadell CD, Bassler BL. A fitness trade-off between local competition and dispersal in *Vibrio cholerae* biofilms. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*. 2011; 108:14181–14185. [PubMed: 21825170]
- Nadell CD, Foster KR, Xavier JB. Emergence of spatial structure in cell groups and the evolution of cooperation. *PLoS Comput Biol*. 2010; 6:e1000716. [PubMed: 20333237]
- O'Toole GA, Kolter R. Flagellar and twitching motility are necessary for *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilm development. *Molecular microbiology*. 1998; 30:295–304. [PubMed: 9791175]
- Oliver A, Canton R, Campo P, Baquero F, Blazquez J. High frequency of hypermutable *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in cystic fibrosis lung infection. *Science*. 2000; 288:1251–1254. [PubMed: 10818002]
- Pandza S, Baetens M, Park CH, Au T, Keyhan M, Matin A. The G-protein FlhF has a role in polar flagellar placement and general stress response induction in *Pseudomonas putida*. *Molecular microbiology*. 2000; 36:414–423. [PubMed: 10792727]
- Perfeito L, Fernandes L, Mota C, Gordo I. Adaptive mutations in bacteria: high rate and small effects. *Science*. 2007; 317:813–815. [PubMed: 17690297]
- Rashid MH, Kornberg A. Inorganic polyphosphate is needed for swimming, swarming, and twitching motilities of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*. 2000; 97:4885–4890. [PubMed: 10758151]
- Schniederberend M, Abdurachim K, Murray TS, Kazmierczak BI. The GTPase activity of FlhF is dispensable for flagellar localization, but not motility, in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Journal of bacteriology*. 2013; 195:1051–1060. [PubMed: 23264582]
- Shanks RM, Caiazza NC, Hinsla SM, Toutain CM, O'Toole GA. *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*-based molecular tool kit for manipulation of genes from gram-negative bacteria. *Applied and environmental microbiology*. 2006; 72:5027–5036. [PubMed: 16820502]
- Shoval O, Sheftel H, Shinar G, Hart Y, Ramote O, Mayo A, Dekel E, Kavanagh K, Alon U. Evolutionary trade-offs, Pareto optimality, and the geometry of phenotype space. *Science*. 2012; 336:1157–1160. [PubMed: 22539553]
- Shrout JD, Chopp DL, Just CL, Hentzer M, Givskov M, Parsek MR. The impact of quorum sensing and swarming motility on *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilm formation is nutritionally conditional. *Molecular microbiology*. 2006; 62:1264–1277. [PubMed: 17059568]
- Smidt H, de Vos WM. Anaerobic microbial dehalogenation. *Annu Rev Microbiol*. 2004; 58:43–73. [PubMed: 15487929]
- Smith EE, Buckley DG, Wu Z, Saenphimmachak C, Hoffman LR, D'Argenio DA, Miller SI, Ramsey BW, Speert DP, Moskowitz SM, et al. Genetic adaptation by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* to the airways of cystic fibrosis patients. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*. 2006; 103:8487–8492. [PubMed: 16687478]
- Snitkin ES, Zelazny AM, Thomas PJ, Stock F, Group NCSP, Henderson DK, Palmore TN, Segre JA. Tracking a hospital outbreak of carbapenem-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* with whole-genome sequencing. *Science translational medicine*. 2012; 4:148ra116.
- Takahashi C, Nozawa T, Tanikawa T, Nakagawa Y, Wakita J, Matsushita M, Matsuyama T. Swarming of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PAO1 without differentiation into elongated hyperflagellates on hard agar minimal medium. *FEMS Microbiol Lett*. 2008; 280:169–175. [PubMed: 18248427]
- Taubes G. The bacteria fight back. *Science*. 2008; 321:356–361. [PubMed: 18635788]
- Taylor TB, Buckling A. Selection experiments reveal trade-offs between swimming and twitching motilities in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Evolution; international journal of organic evolution*. 2011; 65:3060–3069.

- Tenaillon O, Rodriguez-Verdugo A, Gaut RL, McDonald P, Bennett AF, Long AD, Gaut BS. The molecular diversity of adaptive convergence. *Science*. 2012; 335:457–461. [PubMed: 22282810]
- Tremblay J, Deziel E. Improving the reproducibility of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* swarming motility assays. *J Basic Microbiol*. 2008; 48:509–515. [PubMed: 18785657]
- Tremblay J, Deziel E. Gene expression in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* swarming motility. *BMC genomics*. 2010; 11:587. [PubMed: 20961425]
- Tremblay J, Richardson AP, Lepine F, Deziel E. Self-produced extracellular stimuli modulate the *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* swarming motility behaviour. *Environmental microbiology*. 2007; 9:2622–2630. [PubMed: 17803784]
- van Ditmarsch D, Xavier JB. High-resolution time series of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* gene expression and rhamnolipid secretion through growth curve synchronization. *BMC microbiology*. 2011; 11:140. [PubMed: 21682889]
- Weigand MR, Sundin GW. General and inducible hypermutation facilitate parallel adaptation in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* despite divergent mutation spectra. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*. 2012; 109:13680–13685. [PubMed: 22869726]
- Winsor GL, Lam DK, Fleming L, Lo R, Whiteside MD, Yu NY, Hancock RE, Brinkman FS. *Pseudomonas* Genome Database: improved comparative analysis and population genomics capability for *Pseudomonas* genomes. *Nucleic acids research*. 2011; 39:D596–600. [PubMed: 20929876]
- Woods R, Schneider D, Winkworth CL, Riley MA, Lenski RE. Tests of parallel molecular evolution in a long-term experiment with *Escherichia coli*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*. 2006; 103:9107–9112. [PubMed: 16751270]
- Wyckoff TJO, Thomas B, Hassett DJ, Wozniak DJ. Static growth of mucoid *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* selects for non-mucoid variants that have acquired flagellum-dependent motility. *Microbiology-Sgm*. 2002; 148:3423–3430.
- Xavier JB, Foster KR. Cooperation and conflict in microbial biofilms. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*. 2007; 104:876–881. [PubMed: 17210916]
- Xavier JB, Kim W, Foster KR. A molecular mechanism that stabilizes cooperative secretions in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Molecular microbiology*. 2011; 79:166–179. [PubMed: 21166901]
- Xavier JB, Martinez-Garcia E, Foster KR. Social evolution of spatial patterns in bacterial biofilms: when conflict drives disorder. *The American naturalist*. 2009; 174:1–12.
- Xie C, Zhang H, Shimkets LJ, Igoshin OA. Statistical image analysis reveals features affecting fates of *Myxococcus xanthus* developmental aggregates. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*. 2011; 108:5915–5920. [PubMed: 21436028]
- Yang L, Jelsbak L, Marvig RL, Damkiaer S, Workman CT, Rau MH, Hansen SK, Folkesson A, Johansen HK, Ciofu O, et al. Evolutionary dynamics of bacteria in a human host environment. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*. 2011; 108:7481–7486. [PubMed: 21518885]
- Yeung AT, Bains M, Hancock RE. The sensor kinase CbrA is a global regulator that modulates metabolism, virulence, and antibiotic resistance in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Journal of bacteriology*. 2011; 193:918–931. [PubMed: 21169488]
- Yeung AT, Parayno A, Hancock RE. Mucin promotes rapid surface motility in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *MBio*. 2012; 3.
- Yeung AT, Torfs EC, Jamshidi F, Bains M, Wiegand I, Hancock RE, Overhage J. Swarming of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* is controlled by a broad spectrum of transcriptional regulators, including MetR. *Journal of bacteriology*. 2009; 191:5592–5602. [PubMed: 19592586]
- Zhen Y, Aardema ML, Medina EM, Schumer M, Andolfatto P. Parallel molecular evolution in an herbivore community. *Science*. 2012; 337:1634–1637. [PubMed: 23019645]




**Highlights**

- Experimental evolution of swarming in *P. aeruginosa* generates hyperswarmers.
- Parallel evolution in the flagellar regulator FleN is causal for hyperswarming.
- Point mutations in FleN produce multi-flagellated hyper-swimming bacteria.
- There is an evolutionary trade-off between motility and biofilm formation.




**Figure 1. Experimental evolution of swarming motility produces a stable and heritable hyperswarmer phenotype**

(A) Three independent lineages (1–3) were subjected to experimental evolution by sequential passages of growth in swarming media. After each 24h-swarming interval, the entire colony was flushed off the plate and a 1/1500 fraction of the recovered population was point-inoculated onto a fresh swarming plate. Lineage #2 acquired a hyperswarming phenotype at day 5, whereas lineages #1 and #3 only did so at day 7. The colonies outlined in color were selected for clonal isolation procedures. The color-coding scheme (cyan for lineage #2 at day 5, magenta for lineage #1 at day 9, yellow for lineage #2 at day 9 and green for lineage #3 at day 9) is maintained throughout the paper. (B) Hyperswarming is stable and heritable. Swarming colonies of the ancestral strain and clones isolated from each of the colonies outlined in panel (A). See also video S1.



**Figure 2. Hierarchical clustering of quantitative phenotypic assays suggested the existence of three distinct hyperswarmer clones**


(A) Quantitative phenotypic assays performed on the ancestral strain (denoted as ‘anc.’), two non-motile clones (*flgK*<sup>−</sup> and *pilB*<sup>−</sup>) and twelve hyperswarmer clones. Free cells and attached cells in a crystal violet biofilm assay were quantified, together with rhamnolipid secretion (using the sulfuric acid anthrone assay), twitching motility and swimming motility. All measurements were normalized to the ancestral strain. (B) Phenotypic strain grouping using hierarchical clustering. Blue indicates a decrease compared to the ancestral strain and red indicates an increase compared to the ancestral strain (the ancestral strain on the right-hand side is black since all phenotypes are normalized to it). The non-motile mutants cluster separately from hyperswarmers and from the ancestral strain. Within the hyperswarmer clones there are three apparent clusters: clones 1, 3 and 4, clones 2 and 9–12 and clones 5–8. After clustering, clones 1, 2, 4, 5 and 10 were selected for use in the following studies. Clone 5 was indeed different from clones 2 and 10 when looking at cell size (see figure S1).



**Figure 3. Hyperswarmers lose competitions in liquid culture against the ancestral strain, but prevail in swarming competitions by segregating at the leading edges of expanding swarming colonies**

(A) Growth rates of the ancestral strain and five hyperswarmer clones reveal that hyperswarmers grow slower in liquid culture (see figure S2A for actual determinations). (B–C) Competitions between hyperswarmers and the ancestral strain in liquid media (B) and swarming plates (C). The neutral selection coefficient of 1 is marked with the black line. Each competition was started at a 1:1 ratio of hyperswarmer to ancestral. The error margins of the neutral selection coefficients (gray area) were experimentally determined by competing wild type against itself in the appropriate settings. The selection coefficients represent the ratios of hyperswarmers to ancestral before and after the competition divided over each other. A selection coefficient of  $>1$  means the hyperswarmer wins, while a selection coefficient of  $<1$  means the hyperswarmer loses. (D) Hyperswarmers are enriched at the leading edge of swarms. Fluorescence scans of swarms initiated with a 10:1 ratio of ancestral in green to hyperswarmer in red (top row) or the inverse (bottom row). See figure S2B for repulsion assays.

\*  $P < 0.05$ , \*\*\*  $P < 0.005$ .




**Figure 4. Hyperswarming is caused by point mutations in *fleN*, which produce polar multi-flagellated cells**

(A) 6 distinct *fleN* point mutations were identified from experimental evolution in swarming motility. FleN(V178G) was found in clones 1, 3 and 4 of the initial experiment and FleN(W253C) was found in clones 2 and 5–12. FleN(V178G) emerged another 11 times and FleN(F176S), FleN(L179Q), FleN(F203L) and FleN(P254L) were all encountered once in independent runs. These mutations are not found in the deposited *Pseudomonas* spp. genomes. (B) *fleN* mutations are necessary and sufficient to cause hyperswarming. *In cis* genetic complementation was performed through allelic replacement. When converting wild type *fleN* to either FleN(V178G) (top left) or FleN(W253C) (middle left), colony morphology changed from wild type into hyperswarming. Conversely, the reversion of clones back to wild type (right hand column) yielded wild type morphologies. Hyperswarming was not recapitulated by *fleN* (lower left). (See figure S3A for phenotypic assays of all complemented clones.) (C) Transmission electron microscopy of the ancestral strain, a non-flagellated clone (*flgK*<sup>-</sup>) and the five hyperswarmer clones shows that hyperswarmers have become multi-flagellated. Scale bar represents 1  $\mu$ m. Insets show 88,000x magnification of the cell pole. (D) The distribution of flagella in the ancestral strain




(anc.) and all five hyperswarmer clones (see figure S3B for the histograms and S3C for *fliC* expression in liquid culture).



**Figure 5. Hyperswarming is not found in clinical or environmental isolates**

The shape of swarming colonies was quantified and plotted in 2D using plate coverage and colony roundness (calculated by  $4 \cdot \pi \cdot \text{area} / \text{perimeter}^2$ ). The ancestral strain and a non-flagellated clone (*flgK*<sup>-</sup>) were included as controls. 47 non-laboratory strains (18 environmental isolates from hydrocarbon-contaminated soil in Canada, shown in blue, and 29 clinical isolates from patients at Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center, shown in red) were compared to five hyperswarmer clones (color-coded according to their plate of origin) in standard swarming assays. Representative photos of swarming colonies are shown above for illustration. In orange is the clinical isolate that was subjected to experimental evolution (see ancestral and hyperswarmer for this strain in figure S4).



**Figure 6. Hyperswarmers lose biofilm competitions against the ancestral strain, indicating an evolutionary tradeoff between motility and biofilm formation**

(A) Hyperswarmer clones lose against the ancestral strain already at the phase of initial attachment to a biofilm substratum. Slide biofilms were inoculated at a 1:1 ratio and incubated for 6h before imaging the attached cells (see inset, where ancestral is red and clone 4 is green). The black line indicates the neutral selection coefficient of 1. These experiments were performed in duplicate (also for the neutral selection coefficient). The 95%-confidence intervals (both for neutral and actual selection coefficients) were determined through the probability density functions of the counts. (B) Full grown flow-cell biofilm of clone 4 (green) and ancestral (red). The biofilm was inoculated at a 1:1 ratio and then grown for 24h before imaging. The image is a deconvolved 3D projection of the biofilm. (C) In the ancestral strain, motility and biofilm formation are balanced. The *fleN* mutations in hyperswarmers, however, cause an imbalance by which the highly motile mutant lacks in biofilm formation. (See figure S5 for all biofilm competition data and Spearman correlation between swarming and biofilm formation in the clinical and environmental isolates.)