#### 1 Embryotoxicity of five cytostatics in fathead minnow (*Pimephales promelas*) larvae

- 2 Lefebvre-Raine,  $M^{1*}$ , Paquet,  $N^2$ , Triffault-Bouchet,  $G^2$  and Langlois,  $VS^1$
- 3 1. Institut national de la recherche scientifique (INRS), Centre Eau Terre Environnement (ETE),
- 4 Québec, QC, Canada
- 5 2. Centre d'expertise en analyse environnementale du Québec (CEAEQ), Ministère de
- 6 l'Environnement et de la Lutte contre les changements climatiques (MELCC), Québec, QC,
  7 Canada
- 7 Canada
- 8 \* corresponding author.
- 9 Email address: Valerie.Langlois@inrs.ca

#### 10 Abstract:

Cytostatics are compounds used in chemotherapy, known to be genotoxic, mutagenic, and 11 12 teratogenic at low concentrations. The amount of cytostatic drugs prescribed increases every year as does their release into the aquatic ecosystems, which possibly is a major concern for the 13 health of aquatic organisms. This study aimed to evaluate the putative toxicity of five cytostatics 14 to fathead minnow (Pimephales promelas) larvae: tamoxifen, capecitabine, methotrexate, 15 16 cyclophosphamide, and ifosfamide. Eggs collected post-fertilization were exposed for 6 days to a range of concentrations, including one above environmental level. At all environmental 17 18 concentrations, no significant difference in mortality, hatching time, length, heart rate, and presence of malformations were found. Altogether, these cytostatics seems not embryotoxic. 19 Although, an increased proportion of complete swim bladder were found after ifosfamide's 20 exposure, suggesting an interaction with the thyroid axis, involved in swim bladder 21 development. Complementary work should address other endpoints, such as behavioral 22 changes, reproductive success, and transgenerational effects. 23

24 Keywords: cytostatics, anticancer, fish, embryotoxicity

### 25 Introduction:

Cancer is one of the leading causes of death throughout the world (Nussbaumer and al. 2011), 26 and the number one in Canada (Statistics Canada, 2020). For decades, the incidence of 27 cancerous diseases in the human population has been increasing. Cytostatics, also called anti-28 29 neoplastic drugs or anticancer drugs, are compounds commonly used in chemotherapy. Since the number of cancer incidences is increasing, this suggests that the administration of cytostatics 30 is also in constant augmentation. From less than 5% a decade ago, the number of oral cancer 31 32 agents in use has increased to approximately 17% by 2007, and it is now estimated that at least 25% of the existing antineoplastic agents are planned to be used as oral agents (Tadic et al. 33 2015). Most of these compounds prevent uncontrolled proliferation of cancers cells via DNA 34 interaction and cell signaling (Novak et al. 2017). Due to their mode of action and overall, they 35 are classified as cytotoxic, genotoxic, mutagenic, and teratogenic agents, and potentially 36 endocrine disruptors (Novak et al. 2017; Kosjek et Heath 2011). Moreover, cytostatics act 37 unselectively on cancer cells and noncancer cells, which often cause undesirable side effects 38 during treatments (Novak et al. 2017; Kosjek and Heath 2011). 39

Residues of these compounds are excreted after administration to patients into domestic and
hospital wastewater (Negreira et al. 2014; Johnson et al. 2013; Zhang et al. 2013; Kosjek and
Heath 2011). These residues are a mixture of parent compounds and their metabolites (Novak
et al. 2017; Zhang et al. 2013). However, several studies have shown their poor elimination
efficiency in conventional wastewater treatment plants (Franquet-Griell et al. 2017; Negreira et

al. 2014; Zhang et al. 2013). Consequently, wastewater treatments plants are considered to be 45 an important point source of drug contamination into the environment (Novak et al. 2017; 46 47 Zhang et al. 2013; Brun et al. 2006). Cytostatics are usually present at low concentrations in the environment (sub ng/L to few µg/L; reviewed by CEAEQ (not published); Novak et al. 2017; 48 Zhang et al. 2013; Kosjek and Heath 2011; Brun et al. 2006). However, as their use is in 49 50 constant augmentation, their environmental concentrations will likely increase in the future. Moreover, due to their unselectively toxic properties, these compounds could affect countless 51 organisms' cells, which is a major preoccupation for environmental safety. 52

The aim of this study was to evaluate fish embryotoxicity of the five above cytostatics found in 53 54 Canadian surface waters and elsewhere, according to the need of knowledge on these substances. Five cytostatics were assessed in this study, according to their constant presence in 55 the environment. Tamoxifen (TX) is used as an anti-estrogenic in breast cancer therapy (Zhang 56 57 et al. 2013) as it inhibits the estrogen receptor binding. Methotrexate (MX) is used as an antifolic to treat several types of cancers, like non-Hodgkin's lymphoma (Nussbaumer et al. 58 2011) and inhibits folic acid synthesis, which is essential for DNA synthesis. Capecitabine 59 60 (CAP) is used as an antipyrimidique in metastatic colorectal cancer therapy (Nussbaumer et al. 2011) and inhibits the thymidylate synthase, which blocks DNA replication. Finally, 61 cyclophosphamide (CP) and its analogue, ifosfamide (IF), are two nitrogen mustards used to 62 63 treat several types of cancers like solid tumours (Nussbaumer et al. 2011). These two nitrogen mustards are alkylating agents that form DNA adducts, which also blocks DNA synthesis. 64 Fathead minnow (Pimephales promelas) present throughout North America's aquatic 65 environments was chosen to conduct this work, as one of the species usually used in 66 ecotoxicology assessment. 67

### 68 Methods and Materials:

TX (CAS No: 10540-29-1, 99 %), CAP (CAS No: 158798-73-3, ≥ 99 %), MX (CAS No: 59-69 05-2, 99.5 %), CP (CAS No: 50-18-0,  $\geq$  98 %), IF (CAS No: 3778-73-2,  $\geq$  98 %), dimethyl 70 sulfoxide (DMSO), and 3-aminobenzoic acid ester methanesulfonate (MS - 222) were 71 purchased from Sigma. Reconstituted water (deionized water with 0.3 mM CaSO<sub>4</sub>, 0.2 mM 72 MgSO<sub>4</sub>, 0.05 mM KCl, and 2.4 mM NaHCO<sub>3</sub>) was prepared and stored in a regulated 73 experimental room (25 °C, 70% humidity, and 16/8h light/dark cycle) for all experiments. 74 Temperature, pH, and conductivity were verified in daily to avoid undesired stress to the 75 animals and readings were consistent. 76

The experimental design and measured endpoints as described follow OECD's guidelines for acute toxicity assessment (1998). CAP, CP, and IF were dissolved in reconstituted water, TX and MX were dissolved in DMSO due to their insolubility in water, the final solvent concentration was 0,01% v/v. Nominal concentrations of each cytostatic were 0.001  $\mu$ g/L (or 1 ng/L), 0.1  $\mu$ g/L (or 100 ng/L) and 10  $\mu$ g/L as these concentrations are found within the environment (reviewed by CEAEQ). In addition, one treatment of 1 000  $\mu$ g/L (or 1 mg/L) was added to the experimental design to test for a high-end concentration.

84 Fathead minnow eggs were obtained from a colony established at the Institut National de la Recherche Scientifique (INRS; Quebec City, QC, Canada). Breeding substrate made of cut 85 sections of polyvinyl chloride tubing (4 po diameter) were placed in culture tanks containing 86 reproductively mature males and females in the evening prior to test initiation. The following 87 morning, the breeding substrates were removed from the culture tanks and placed in clean 88 reconstituted water with aeration. The eggs were removed from the tiles 4 h later. Prior to 89 90 exposure, eggs were observed under a microscope to select stage 13 (or one-quarter epiboly), which appears around 10 h post-fertilization according to Delvin and colleagues (1996). Then, 91 three replicates of 30 eggs each were placed in 500-mL glass-beakers with 200 mL of exposure 92

mixture, reconstituted water or solvent controls water (0.01% DMSO). All exposure and control 93 solutions were changed daily by transferring eggs/hatched larvae into freshly prepared 94 95 solutions. All beakers were covered with Petri dishes to minimize evaporation. All treatments were analyzed by the Centre d'Expertise en Analyse Environnementale du Québec (CEAEQ; 96 Quebec City, QC, Canada), except the lowest concentration of each treatment as the nominal 97 98 concentration values were below the detection limit (15 ng/L to 28 ng/L) of available analytical 99 equipment. All treatments were analyzed in duplicate, from stock solution, at time 0 (T0) and time 24 h (T24), by liquid chromatography coupled with mass spectrometry (LCMS, Xevo TQ-100 S, Waters® - LOD 2 ng/L to 3.2 ng/l, LOQ 7 ng/L to 16 ng/L) (Borgatta et al. 2016). Water 101 sampled at T0 was stored at 4 °C for 24 h prior to being transported for chemical analyses at 102 CEAEQ following the collection of the T24 samples. Mortality and hatching data were daily 103 recorded and all dead egg/larva were removed daily. Then, only final mortality (over 6 days) 104 and average hatching time were analyzed. The number of solutions that could be analyzed was 105 limited due to budget restriction and limit of detection. For example, the 1 ng/L solution was 106 considerably below the detection limit, so it was decided to not analyzed it. We prioritized 107 treatment solutions rather than controls, since we considered it was more important to measure 108 the degradation of compounds over 24 h, which is the maximum amount of time we used the 109 solution. 110

111 After 6 days of exposure, all larvae were transferred individually to a 96-well plate. Heart rate was measured with an inverted microscope (Labomed® TCM 400). Temperature could not 112 have affected fish heart rate over different exposure, while it remains constant for all 113 experiments. The sampling was made over a day (always between 8 am and 6 pm) and replicates 114 were taken for analysis randomly, so time of day seems an unlikely bias. These five experiments 115 were conducted over three weeks by the same staff so seasonality and staff change should also 116 not be the issue. Larvae were then anaesthetized with 100 µL of MS-222, freshly prepared at 117 100 mg/L buffered with NaHCO<sub>3</sub> at 200 mg/L after removing the remaining exposure solution. 118 Then, they were observed with a compound microscope (Nikon® SMZ18) and photographed 119 (Nikon® digital sight DS-L3). The presence of malformations (e.g., edema, hemorrhage, tube 120 heart, scoliosis, craniofacial deformation, and cardiac deformation), the development of swim 121 bladder (i.e., complete, incomplete/absence), and the number of malformed larvae in each 122 replicate were recorded (Madison et al. 2020). Pictures were analyzed with ImageJ software to 123 124 measure the length.

Data analysis was performed with GraphPad Prism 8. Mortality, hatching time, heart rate, length, malformation rate, presence and number of malformations, and presence/partial development/absence of swim bladder were assessed for each treatment using analysis of variance (one-way ANOVA) after reviewing conclusive normality (Shapiro-Wilk test) and homoscedasticity (Brown-Forsythe test). Dunnett's post-hoc tests were performed to identify significant differences between treatment groups.

### 131 **Results:**

For all cytostatics, measured concentrations remained consistent before water change and in agreement with the nominal concentrations, except for TX and CAP at 0.1  $\mu$ g/L (Table 1). Measured concentrations for TX were twice lower than nominal concentration at T0 and T24, as describe by Borgatta et al. (2016), these differences were probably due to adsorption of the molecule on glass surface. All of the following results were reported with the nominal concentration.

There were no significant differences in survival of larvae or in hatching time (Table 1) with the exposure of CAP, MX, CP and IF. For TX, 100% mortality was measured in all replicates at 1,000  $\mu$ g/L (F = 43.16; *p* < 0.0001, resp). Significant differences were measured in hatching

- of eggs at 1,000  $\mu$ g/L (F = 7.341; p = 0.0023), but this result is not robust because only 3 eggs
- hatched during the first 2 days of the exposure, in all 1,000 µg/L replicates, then all these larvae
- 143 died before the end of the exposure.
- 144 There were no significant differences in heart rate and length between treated larvae (Table 1) 145 in the exposure of all of the molecules. Of note, no measurements of length were analyzed for
- 145 In the exposition due to a malfunction of a data storage drive
- 146 the CP exposition due to a malfunction of a data storage drive.
- 147 There were no significant differences in the number of malformed larvae (Table 1) for TX,
- 148 CAP, MX, CP and IF. In addition, malformation data was also analyzed per type of
- 149 malformation, and number of malformations per larvae in each treatment group. No significant
- 150 differences were found for any treatments (data not showed).

| Treatments       | Nominal    | Measured         | Measured           | Mortality      | Hatching      | Heart rate  | Length        | Malformation    | Uninflated      |
|------------------|------------|------------------|--------------------|----------------|---------------|-------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                  | levels     | levels T0        | levels T24         | (%)            | (d)           | (/min)      | (mm)          | (%)             | swim            |
|                  |            |                  |                    |                |               |             |               |                 | bladder (%)     |
| Tamoxifen        | RW         | ND               | ND                 | $4.4 \pm 4.7$  | $4.8\pm0.04$  | 184 ± 3     | 5.5 ±0.2      | $8.4\pm4.2$     | $17.6\pm13.3$   |
|                  | DMSO       | ND               | ND                 | $1.2 \pm 2.1$  | $4.9\pm0.09$  | $181 \pm 3$ | $5.4 \pm 0.2$ | $17.1 \pm 6.6$  | $22.9\pm4.5$    |
|                  | 0.001 µg/L | ND               | ND                 | 5.6 ± 2        | $5.0 \pm 0.2$ | $184 \pm 2$ | $5.4 \pm 0.2$ | $17.7 \pm 9.2$  | $29.4 \pm 11.4$ |
|                  | 0.1 µg/L   | $41 \pm 14^{a}$  | $49\pm5.6^{\rm a}$ | $3.2 \pm 5.6$  | $5.0\pm0.09$  | $180 \pm 5$ | $5.4 \pm 0.2$ | $12.8\pm3.9$    | $28.0\pm15.3$   |
|                  | 10 µg/l    | $3.5\pm0.7^{a}$  | $2.8\pm0.1^{a}$    | $3.2 \pm 3.2$  | $4.9 \pm 0.1$ | 181 ± 3     | $5.4 \pm 0.2$ | $18.4 \pm 12.1$ | $28.7 \pm 14.4$ |
|                  | 1,000 µg/l | $0.6 \pm 0.6a$   | $0.48\pm0.1^{a}$   | $100 \pm 0 *$  | 1.7 ± 2.1 *   | -           | -             | -               | -               |
| Capecitabine     | RW         | ND               | ND                 | $2.3 \pm 4$    | $4.9\pm0.04$  | $185 \pm 6$ | $5.4 \pm 0.3$ | $15.1 \pm 4.5$  | $19.7 \pm 6.3$  |
|                  | 0.001 µg/L | ND               | ND                 | 8.9 ± 7        | $4.6 \pm 0.2$ | 191 ± 1     | $5.5 \pm 0.2$ | 3.4 ± 6         | $7.1 \pm 5.8$   |
|                  | 0.1 µg/L   | $165 \pm 21$     | $170 \pm 14$       | $4.5 \pm 2$    | $4.6 \pm 0.3$ | 191 ± 3     | $5.5 \pm 0.3$ | $17.8\pm9.6$    | $11.9\pm9.0$    |
|                  | 10 µg/l    | $12.5 \pm 2.1$   | $13 \pm 1.4$       | $8.7\pm8$      | $4.8\pm0.2$   | $189 \pm 2$ | $5.4 \pm 0.3$ | $9.8 \pm 4.4$   | $13.9 \pm 11.4$ |
|                  | 1,000 µg/l | $1.3 \pm 0.7$    | $1.5 \pm 0.1$      | $3.3\pm 6$     | $4.7\pm0.09$  | $192 \pm 3$ | $5.4 \pm 0.4$ | $21.5\pm7.5$    | $16.3 \pm 11.6$ |
| Methotrexate     | RW         | ND               | ND                 | $6.7\pm6.7$    | $4.8 \pm 0.1$ | $187 \pm 6$ | $5.5\pm0.2$   | $8.5 \pm 7.8$   | $13.5 \pm 8.4$  |
|                  | DMSO       | ND               | ND                 | $5.5 \pm 6.9$  | $4.8 \pm 0.2$ | 193 ± 5     | $5.4 \pm 0.3$ | 9.5 ± 2.5       | $14.4\pm9.6$    |
|                  | 0.001 µg/L | ND               | ND                 | $12 \pm 7$     | $4.7\pm0.07$  | 194 ± 5     | $5.5 \pm 0.2$ | $4.0 \pm 4.2$   | $7.8 \pm 4.4$   |
|                  | 0.1 µg/L   | $115 \pm 7.1$    | $102.5 \pm 39$     | $11.3 \pm 7.3$ | $4.7 \pm 0.2$ | 192 ± 5     | $5.4 \pm 0.2$ | $4.7 \pm 1.2$   | $7.1 \pm 2.8$   |
|                  | 10 µg/l    | $11.5 \pm 0.7$   | $8.4 \pm 0.1$      | $3.2 \pm 0.1$  | $4.7\pm0.06$  | 196 ± 2     | $5.4 \pm 0.3$ | $6.8 \pm 9.2$   | $15.9\pm10.1$   |
|                  | 1,000 µg/l | $1.1 \pm 0.2$    | $1.15\pm0.1$       | $7.5 \pm 7.4$  | $4.7 \pm 0.3$ | $189 \pm 4$ | $5.3 \pm 0.4$ | $12.7\pm4.8$    | $16.5\pm6.2$    |
| Cyclophosphamide | RW         | ND               | ND                 | $2.6 \pm 2.2$  | $4.9\pm0.1$   | 191 ± 5     | ND            | $14.9 \pm 10.7$ | $12.0 \pm 8.4$  |
|                  | 0.001 µg/L | ND               | ND                 | $2.5 \pm 2.2$  | $4.9\pm0.08$  | 189 ± 8     | ND            | $11.9 \pm 4.4$  | $14.7 \pm 5.4$  |
|                  | 0.1 µg/L   | $120 \pm 1.1$    | $125 \pm 21.2$     | $4 \pm 4.1$    | $4.9 \pm 0.1$ | 192 ± 7     | ND            | $11.5 \pm 10$   | $15.9 \pm 9.6$  |
|                  | 10 µg/l    | $13.5 \pm 2.1$   | $12 \pm 1.4$       | 9.9 ± 2.1      | $4.8 \pm 0.1$ | 193 ± 7     | ND            | $6.8 \pm 2.3$   | $15.2 \pm 9.7$  |
|                  | 1,000 µg/l | $1.3 \pm 0.1$    | $1.4 \pm 0.1$      | $6.2 \pm 2.3$  | $4.9\pm0.09$  | 196 ± 3     | ND            | $13.1 \pm 1.5$  | $15.7 \pm 3.4$  |
| Ifosfamide       | RW         | ND               | ND                 | $2.2 \pm 1.9$  | $4.8\pm0.06$  | 195 ± 4     | $5.6 \pm 0.2$ | $9.2 \pm 10.5$  | $27.3 \pm 15.0$ |
|                  | 0.001 µg/L | ND               | ND                 | $3.4 \pm 3.5$  | $4.7\pm0.08$  | 191 ± 3     | $5.3 \pm 0.3$ | $10.4 \pm .2$   | 8.1 ± 2.3 *     |
|                  | 0.1 µg/L   | $100.5 \pm 13.4$ | $115 \pm 7.1$      | $2.2 \pm 1.9$  | $4.7 \pm 0.2$ | 200 ± 3     | $5.3\pm0.3$   | $6.9 \pm 3.4$   | 5.7 ± 5.3 *     |
|                  | 10 µg/l    | $11 \pm 0$       | $10.3 \pm 1$       | $2.2 \pm 3.9$  | $4.9\pm0.3$   | 196 ± 11    | $5.3 \pm 0.3$ | $4.9 \pm 4.0$   | 9.1 ± 8.4 *     |
|                  | 1,000 µg/l | $1.2 \pm 0$      | $1.14\pm0.2$       | $2.1 \pm 1.8$  | $4.9 \pm 0.1$ | 198 ± 5     | $5.6 \pm 0.2$ | 3.3 ± 3.3       | 3.3 ± 3.3 *     |

**Table 1.** Measured endpoints after exposure to five cytostatics. RW: reconstitute water, ND: not determined. ANOVA \* p<0.05.

<sup>a</sup>: unreliability of the measured values

- 139 Finally, there were no significant differences in the development of swim bladders for TX,
- 140 CAP, MX, and CP. However, a significant decrease of the proportion of fish with not fully
- 141 developed swim bladders was observed for all the concentrations of IF (F = 3.921; p = 0.0412;
- 142 Table 1).

## 143 **Discussion:**

The aim of this study was to investigate the acute toxicity of five cytostatics during fathead 144 minnows' early development. At high concentration (1 mg/L; level not found in the 145 environment), only the TX exposure led to complete mortality, while all the other cytostatics' 146 treatments were not toxic for the endpoints measure on the developing fish. This result for TX 147 is in agreement with previous studies with other species. DellaGreca and colleagues (2007) 148 measured 50 % lethal concentration (LC<sub>50</sub>) in several organisms: *Thamnocephalus platyurus*, 149 LC<sub>50;24h</sub>= 0.40 mg/L; Brachionus Calyciflorus, LC<sub>50;24h</sub> = 0.97 mg/L; Daphnia magna, LC<sub>50;24h</sub> 150 =1.53 mg/L. The FASS (2011) also report  $LC_{50:96h}$  for fish: Lepomis macrochirus,  $LC_{50:96h}$  = 151 0.15 mg/L; Oncorhynchus mykiss,  $LC_{50;96h} = 0.27/0.21$  mg/L. In chronic study in zebrafish 152 (Danio rerio), high mortality ( $\geq$  88%) was observed for larvae exposed to 1 mg/L of TX and 153 for juveniles exposed to 0.1 mg/L (Van der Ven et al. 2007). Although, exposure of medaka's 154 eggs (Oryzias latipes) to TX showed in 100% mortality at concentrations above 3,125 µg/L, 155

- while 40% mortality was observed at 625  $\mu$ g/L (Sun et al. 2007).
- Also, some authors showed some effects in the range of the toxicology endpoints measured in 157 158 the current study (NOEC of 10 µg/L nominal, LOEC of 1,000 µg/L nominal). Hatching rate and hatching time were altered at 125 and 625  $\mu$ g/L, but no morphological deformation was 159 observed (Sun et al. 2007). Wester et al. (2003) report that spawning, fertilization, hatching, 160 survival and growth of adult zebrafish exposed to 10 to 320 µg/L of TX-citrate were reduced. 161 Based on growth, the Lowest Observed Effect Concentration and the 63-day NOEC were 10 162 and 3.2 µg/L, respectively. Morphological changes were also observed in both the ovaries and 163 testes starting at 10 µg/L. However, when testing for fish embryotoxicity at environmental 164 levels of cytotatics (i.e., from 0.001 µg/L to 1,000 µg/L), no toxicity was observed, and this, 165 for all five of the cytostatics tested. For MX, CAP, CP and IF, LC<sub>50</sub>, EC<sub>50</sub> and No Observed 166 Effect Concentration (NOEC) reported in the literature are generally between mg/L to g/L. 167 Henschel and colleagues (1997) showed LC<sub>50:48h</sub> of 85 mg/L in Danio rerio embryo exposed to 168 MX. Straub (2010) measured a LC<sub>50;48h</sub> in Daphnia magna of 850 mg/L and NOEC<sub>96h</sub> of 867 169 mg/L in Oncorhynchus mykiss for CAP. Weigt and colleagues (2011) measured LC<sub>50:72h</sub> of 170 2,200 mg/L in Danio rerio for CP and 836 mg/L for IF. In fact, embryos were mostly exposed 171 during pre-hatch development, so the selectivity of the chorionic barrier could therefore explain 172 the absence of observed effects. 173

Noteworthy, only the individuals of the IF treatment were showing a fully developed and 174 inflated swim bladder at the end of the experiment. Swim bladder is a fish organ separated in 175 two chambers. It begins as a posterior chamber, which inflates at 5-6 days post-fertilization 176 (dpf) and the second chamber is formed anterior to the first one, around 14 dpf (Cavallin et al. 177 2017; Nelson et al. 2016). Development of swim bladder is under thyroid axis control. 178 Inhibition of the thyroid axis could lead to a decreased inflation and/or size of swim bladder 179 and a decline of the surfactant protein production that prevents it from collapsing (Godfrey et 180 al. 2017; Cavallin et al. 2017; Nelson et al. 2016). The presence of all fully inflated posterior 181 swim bladder chambers in the fish exposed to IF could be a marker of a developmental 182 acceleration. Impairment of swim bladder inflation was observed in fish exposed to dilbit for 183 example (Alsaadi et al. 2017; Madison et al. 2017). In addition, stress response is characterized 184 by an increase of cortisol plasma level, and moreover, cortisol acts on target tissues by binding 185 to glucocorticoid receptors (Alsop and Vijayan 2009) and is known to work synergistically or 186

- additively with thyroid hormones (Stephen et al. 1997). Therefore, it is possible that an
  exposure to IF as a stress factor, even at low concentrations, increase thyroid hormone/cortisol
  levels in fish larvae. Also, treatment with IF in human is known to be potentially involve in
  development of secondary tumors as thyroid cancer (FDA, 2012).
- In conclusions, data suggest that the five cytostatics do not induce embryotoxicity in developing 191 fish at environmental levels. However, because cytostatics were engineered to kill cells using 192 molecular mechanisms of action shared among living organisms, one needs to investigate 193 complementary biological endpoints (e.g., DNA damage, genotoxic effect) in other species and 194 development stage to complete previous studies and ensure entire ecosystem safety. Moreover, 195 most of these compounds are known to be persistent in the environment. Consequently, chronic 196 197 exposure to cytostatics should also be assessed to further explore for behavior, teratogenic, and/or reprotoxic effects. Finally, cytostatics are also found in mixture within the environment 198 and could potentially act synergically and/or additively; therefore, future studies should also 199 200 address these research questions.

# 201 Acknowledgments

- 202 The authors would like to thanks Tuan Anh To and Scott Hepditch for helping with exposure
- and sampling. This project was funded by the St. Lawrence Action Plan to NP and GTB, by the
- 204 Network of Ecotoxicologists of Quebec (EcotoQ) to VSL and NP, and by a Canada Research
- 205 Chair (CRC) to VSL (#950-232235).

### 206 **References**

- 207 Alsaadi F, Madison BN, Brown RS, PV Hodson and VS Langlois (2018) Morphological and
- 208 molecular effects of two diluted bitumens on developing fathead minnow (*Pimephales* 209 *promelas*) Aq Tox. 204:107-116. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2018.09.003
- Alsop D, Vijayan M (2009) The zebrafish stress axis: molecular fallout from the teleost-specific
- 211 genome duplication event. Gen. Comp. Endocrinol. 161:62-66.
- 212 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2015.05.036</u>
- 213 Brun GL, Bernier M, Losier R, Doe K, Jackman P, Lee HB (2006) Pharmaceutical active
- compounds in Atlantic Canadian sewage treatment plant effluents and receiving waters, and
- 215 potential for environmental effects as measured by acute and chronic aquatic toxicity. Environ.
- 216 Toxicol. Chem. 25 (8):2163-2176. <u>https://doi.org/DOI:10.1016/j.ygcen.2008.09.011</u>
- 217 Borgatta M, Waridel P, Decosterd LA, Buclin T, Chèvre N (2016) Multigenerational effects of
- the anticancer drug tamoxifen and its metabolite 4-hydroxy-tamoxifen on *Daphnia pulex*. Sci.
- 219 Total Environ. 545-546:21-29. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.11.155</u>
- 220 Cavallin JE, Ankley GT, Blackwell BR et al (2017) Impaired swim bladder inflation in early
- 221 life stage fathead minnows exposed to a deiodinase inhibitor, iopanoic acid. Environ. Toxicol.
- 222 Chem. 36 (11):2942-2952. https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.3855
- 223 Delvin EW, Brammer JD, Puycar RL, McKim JM (1996) Prehatching development of the
- 224 Fatead Minnow Pimephales Promelas Rafinesque. U.S. Environmental Protection agency
- (EPA), Office of Research Development, National Health and Environmental Effects Research
- 226 Laboratory.
- DellaGreca M, Iesce MR, Isidori M, Nardelli A, Previtera L, Rubino M (2007)
  Phototransformation products of tamoxifen by sunlight in water. Toxicity of the drug and its

- derivatives on aquatic orgnisms. Chemosphere 67:1933-1939.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2006.12.001
- FASS 2011. The Swedish medicines information engine. Läkemedelsfakta: Miljöinformation
- Access. Stockholm (Sweden): FASS. [22 octobre 2020].
- 233 Access:<u>www.fass.se/LIF/produktfakta/artikel\_produkt.jsp?NpIID=19860829000074&DocTy</u>
- 234 <u>peID=78#IDE4POEFUA6FBVERT1</u>
- FDA (2012) Highlights of prescribing information IFEX. Access on dec 2020.
   <u>https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\_docs/label/2012/019763s017lbl.pdf</u>
- 237 Ferrando Climent L, Rodriguez Mozaz S, Barceló D (2014) Incidence of anticancer drugs
- 238 in an aquatic urban system: from hospital effluents through urban wastewater to natural
- environment. Environ. Pollut. 193:216-223. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2014.07.002</u>
- 240 Franquet-Griell H, Medina A, Sans C, Lacorte S (2017) Biological and photochemical
- degradation of cytostatic drugs under laboratory conditions. J. Hazard. Mater. 323(A):319-328
- 242 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2016.06.057
- 243 Godfrey A, Hooser B, Abdelmoneim A, Horzmann KA (2017) Thyroid disrupting effects of
- halogenated and next generation chemicals on the swim bladder development of zebrafish.
- 245 Aquat. Toxicol. 193:228-235. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2017.10.024</u>
- Henschel KP, Wenzel A, Diedrich M, Fliedner A (1997) Environmental hazars assessment of
  pharmaceuticals. Regul. Toxicol. Pharm. 25:220-225 <u>https://doi.org/10.1006/rtph.1997.1102</u>
- 248 Hoppe Tichy T (2010) Current challenges in European oncology pharmacy practice. J Oncol.
- 249 Pharm. Pract. 16:9-18. <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/1078155209354346</u>
- 250 Johnson AC, Oldenkamp R, Dumont E, Sumpter JP (2013) Predicting concentrations of the
- 251 cytostatic drugs cyclophosphamide, carboplatin, 5-fluorouracil, and capecitabine throughout
- the sewage effluents and surface waters of Europe. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 32 (9):1954-1961
- 253 <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.2311</u>
- Kosjek T, Heath E (2011) Occurrence, fate and determination of cytostatic pharmaceuticals in
- 255 the environment. Trends Anal. Chem. 30 (7):1065-1087.
- 256 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2011.04.007</u>
- 257 Kovács R, Csenki Z, Bakos K et al (2015) Assessment of toxicity and genotoxicity of low doses
- of 5-fluorouracil in zebrafish (Danio rerio) two-generation study. Water Res. 77:201-212.
   <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2015.03.025</u>
- Madison BN, Wallace SJ, Zhang J, Hodson, Langlois VS (2020) Transcriptional responses in
   newly-hatched Japanese medaka (*Oryzias latipes*) associated with developmental
   malformations following diluted bitumen exposure. Comp. Biochem. Physio. Part D. 35.
   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbd.2020.100685
- 264 Madison BN, Hodson PV and VS Langlois (2017) The effects of Cold Lake Blend diluted 265 bitumen toxicity on the early development of Japanese medaka (*Oryzias latipes*). Environ Poll.
- 266 225:579-586. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2017.03.025</u>
- 267

## 268

- 269 Negreira N, López de Alda M, Barceló D (2014) Cytostatic drugs and metabolites in muniicpal
- and hospital wastewaters in Spain: filtration, occurrence, and environmental risk. Sci. Total
- 271 Environ. 497:68-77. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.07.101
- 272 Nelson KR, Schroeder AL, Ankley GT et al (2016) Impaired anterior swim bladder inflation
- following exposure to the thyroid peroxidase inhibitor 2-mercaptobenzothiazole par I: Fathead
- 274 minnow. Aquat. Toxicol. 173:192-203. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2015.12.024</u>
- 275 Novak M, Žegura B, Modic B, Heath E, Filipič M (2017) Cytotoxicity and genotoxicity of
- anticancer drugs residues and their mixtures in experimental model with zebrafish liver cells.
- 277 Sci. Total Environ. 601:293-300. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.05.115</u>
- Nussbaumer S, Bonnabry P, Veuthey JL, Fleury Souverain S (2011) Analysis of anticancer
  drugs: a review. Talanta. 85:2265-2289. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.talanta.2011.08.034</u>
- 280 Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) (1998) Test No. 212: Fish,
- 281 Short-term Toxicity Test on Embryo and Sac-Fry Stages, OECD Guidelines for the Testing of
- 282 Chemicals, Section 2, Éditions OCDE, Paris. https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264070141-en.
- Power DM, Llewellyn L, Faustino M et al (2001) Thyroid hormones in groth and development
- of fish. Comp. Biochem. Physio. Part C. 130 (4):447-459. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S1532-</u>
   0456(01)00271-X
- Statistics Canada (2020) Table 13-10-0394-01 Leading causes of death, total population, by
  age group. <u>https://doi.org/10.25318/1310039401-eng</u>
- Stephen SM, Alkindi AYA, Waring CP, Brown JA (1997) Corticosteroid and thyroid responses
  of larval and juvenile turbot exposed to the water-soluble fraction of crude oil. J. Fish Biol.
  50:953-964. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8649.1997.tb01621.x
- Straub JO (2010) Combined environmental risk assessment for 5-fluorouracil and capecitabin
  in Europe. Integr. Environ. Assess. Manag. 6:540-566. <u>https://doi.org/10.1897/IEAM\_2009-</u>
  <u>073.1</u>
- Sun L, Zha J, Spear PA, Wang Z (2007) Tamoxifen effects on early life stages and reproduction
   of Japanese medaka (Oryzias latipes). Environ. Toxicol. Pharm. 24:23-29
   <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.etap.2007.01.003</u>
- Tadic D, Bozovic Spasojevic I, Tomasevic ZI, Djukic Dejanovic S (2015) Oral administration
  of antineoplastic agents: the challenges for healthcare professionals. JBUON 20(3): 690-698
  ISSN: 1107-0625
- 300 Van der Ven LTM, Van den Brandhof EJ, Vos JH, Wester PW (2007) Effects of the estrogen 301 agonist  $17\beta$ -estradiol and antagonist tamoxifen in a partial life-cycle assay with zebrafish
- 302 (*Danio rerio*). Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 26(1):92-99. <u>https://doi.org/10.1897/06-092R1.1</u>
- Weigt S, Huebler N, Strecker R, Braunbeck T, Broscherd TH (2011) Zebrafish (Danio rerio)
  embryos as a model for testing proteratogens. Toxicol. 281:25-36.
  <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tox.2011.01.004</u>

- Wester PW, Van der Ven LTM, Van den Brandhof EJ, Vos JH (2003) Identification of Endocrine Disruptive Effects in the Aquatic Environment: a Partial Life Cycle Study in
- 308 Zebrafish. Report 640920. RIVM, the Netherlands, 112p
- Zhang J, Chang VWC, Giannis A, Wang JY (2013) Removal of cytostatic drugs from aquatic
- 310 environment: a review. Sci. Total Environ. 445:281-298.
- 311 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2012.12.061</u>

**Clean Manuscript** 

Click here to access/download **Supplementary Material** Lefebvre-Raine et al. Clean revised MS (second review).docx

# Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology

# Embryotoxicity of five cytostatics in fathead minnow (Pimephales promelas) larvae. --Manuscript Draft--

| Manuscript Number:                               | BECT-D-20-01091R2  |                              |  |  |  |
|--|--|------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Full Title:                                      | Embryotoxicity of five cytostatics in fathead minnow (Pimephales promelas) larvae.   |                              |  |  |  |
| Article Type:                                    | Original Research  |                              |  |  |  |
| Keywords:  | Chemotherapy drugs; Cytostatics; Fish embryos; Fathead minnows   |                              |  |  |  |
| Corresponding Author:                            | Valerie S Langlois, Ph. D.<br>Institut national de la recherche scientifique (INRS)<br>QUEBEC, QC CANADA   |                              |  |  |  |
| Corresponding Author Secondary<br>Information:   |  |                              |  |  |  |
| Corresponding Author's Institution:              | Institut national de la recherche scientifique (INRS)  |                              |  |  |  |
| Corresponding Author's Secondary<br>Institution: |  |                              |  |  |  |
| First Author:                                    | Molly Lefebvre-Raine, M. Sc.   |                              |  |  |  |
| First Author Secondary Information:              |  |                              |  |  |  |
| Order of Authors:                                | Molly Lefebvre-Raine, M. Sc.   |                              |  |  |  |
|  | Nathalie Paquet, Ph. D.  |                              |  |  |  |
|  | Gaëlle Triffault-Bouchet, Ph. D.   |                              |  |  |  |
|  | Valerie S Langlois, Ph. D.   |                              |  |  |  |
| Order of Authors Secondary Information:          |  |                              |  |  |  |
| Funding Information:                             | Canada Research Chairs<br>(950-232235)   | Dr. Valerie S Langlois       |  |  |  |
|  | St. Lawrence Action Plan   | Dr. Gaëlle Triffault-Bouchet |  |  |  |
| Abstract:  | Cytostatics are compounds used in chemotherapy, known to be genotoxic, mutagenic,<br>and teratogenic at low concentrations. The amount of cytostatic drugs prescribed<br>increases every year as does their release into the aquatic ecosystems, which possibly<br>is a major concern for the health of aquatic organisms. This study aimed to evaluate<br>the putative toxicity of five cytostatics to fathead minnow (Pimephales promelas)<br>larvae: tamoxifen, capecitabine, methotrexate, cyclophosphamide, and ifosfamide.<br>Eggs collected post-fertilization were exposed for 6 days to a range of concentrations,<br>including one above environmental level. At all environmental concentrations, no<br>significant difference in mortality, hatching time, length, heart rate, and presence of<br>malformations were found. Altogether, these cytostatics seems not embryotoxic.<br>Although, an increased proportion of complete swim bladder were found after<br>ifosfamide's exposure, suggesting an interaction with the thyroid axis, involved in swim<br>bladder development. Complementary work should address other endpoints, such as<br>behavioral changes, reproductive success, and transgenerational effects. |                              |  |  |  |



February 1st, 2021

Dr Erin Bennett Editor-in-Chief Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology

Dear Dr Erin Bennet,

This letter accompanies our revised manuscript entitled "Embryotoxicity of five cytostatics in fathead minnow (*Pimephales promelas*) larvae" (ID: BECT-D-20-01091R1) written and revised by Molly Lefebvre-Raine and colleagues.

We would like to thank all the Associate Editor for her/his valuable comments for improving this new version of the manuscript. We have addressed her/his last set of suggestions and have thoroughly reviewed this new version by highlighting in yellow the changes. We have addressed all of the comments raised the Associate Editor in a Response to Reviews document attached.

We have thoroughly reviewed the author's checklist and made the corresponding edits to the manuscript. We thank you for considering this manuscript for publication in the *Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology*.

Sincerely,

Va Dr. Valerie S. Langlois

Canada Research Chair Associate Professor Institut national de la recherche scientifique (INRS) 490, de la Couronne, Québec (Québec),G1K 9A9, CANADA E: valerie.langlois@inrs.ca; T: 418-654-2547; F: 418 654-2600

#### ID : BECT-D-20-01091R1

Title: Embryotoxicity of five cytostatics in fathead minnow (Pimephales promelas) larvae

#### **Response to the Associate Editor:**

Lines 88-89 Here it says that the all exposure solutions were analyzed except the 1 ng/L solutions. However, the table also indicates that the control solutions were not analyzed ("ND"). Why not analyze the controls and even 1 ng/L? It is common practice to analyze all exposure solutions even controls as this can serve as a good check of the method. Additionally, please indicate the detection limit of the methods used.

R: This was added to the main text (lines 105-110): "The number of solutions that could be analyzed was limited due to budget restriction and limit of detection. For example, the 1 ng/L solution was considerably below the detection limit, so it was decided to not analyzed it. We prioritized treatment solutions rather than controls, since we considered it was more important to measure the degradation of compounds over 24 h, which is the maximum amount of time we used the solution".

Line 92 to 93 were Quality Control samples prepared at the same time as the T0 samples to evaluate stability? Or was stability already known?

R: The protocol used within (cytostatics sampling, preserving, and analyzing) was optimized by the Ministry of the Environment of Quebec (Canada). The Quality Control samples were prepared at time for the analysis only (by the one of the Ministry of the Environment of Quebec's laboratories). We followed their protocol for the preparation of the solutions, which included to store samples (T0 and T24) at 4 °C after collection and send them as soon as possible to be analyzed within seven days of collection. Therefore, we brought the sample immediately after the T24 collection (after 24 h). If their protocol requires chemical analysis to be performed within 7 days (at 4C) of sampling, this must be because they already evaluated the stability of all of these cytostatics of interest and know this is acceptable.

Missing from methods: was temperature maintained? What was the target temperature? Was temperature measured in some way? What about other water quality parameters such as pH? R: This was added to the main text (lines 75-76): "Temperature, pH, and conductivity were verified in all times to avoid undesired stress to the animals and were consistent."

Lines 113-117 The comparison of disappearance of tamoxifen in the exposure system to the half-life in the blood of mammals is not valid. These are two completely different things and it is probably a coincidence that there appears to be a relationship between the two. Such a relationship doesn't hold for the other compounds; for example, capecitabine does not disappear in the aquatic exposure system, yet the half-life in humans is short: 0.55 to 0.89 hours. Rather than citing mammalian pharmacokinetics, it could be more enlightening to review what happened to tamoxifen in other aqueous exposure systems in the literature. R: This was added to the main text (lines 157-164): "Also, some authors showed some effects in the range of the toxicology endpoints measured in the current study (NOEC of 10  $\mu$ g/L nominal, LOEC of 1,000  $\mu$ g/L nominal). Hatching rate and hatching time were altered at 125 and 625  $\mu$ g/L, but no morphological deformation was observed (Sun et al. 2007). Wester et al. (2003) report that spawning, fertilization, hatching, survival and growth of adult zebrafish exposed to 10 to 320  $\mu$ g/L of TX-citrate were reduced. Based on growth, the Lowest

Observed Effect Concentration and the 63-day NOEC were 10 and 3.2  $\mu$ g/L, respectively. Morphological changes were also observed in both the ovaries and testes starting at 10  $\mu$ g/L."

Lines 116-117 Therefore, the actual concentrations of tamoxifen to which the eggs and larvae were exposed is unknown, all you know is that the concentration was somewhere between the nominal and measured concentration.

R: The experiments were carried as soon as the tamoxifen was delivered. We do not have measurements of the tamoxifen during storing condition.

Lines 126-128 Do the authors have any hypotheses on why the heart rate appears to increase over the studies? In the tamoxifen experiment the average heart rate ranges from 180 to 184 while for ifosfamide the range is 191 to 200. What things affect this endpoint in these fish: temperature, time of day, etc?

R: This was added to the main text (lines 112-117): "Temperature could not have affected fish heart rate over different exposure, while it remains constant for all experiments. The sampling was made over a day (always between 8 am and 6 pm) and replicates were taken for analysis randomly, so time of day seems an unlikely bias. These five experiments were conducted over three weeks by the same staff so seasonality and staff change should also not be the issue."

Missing from results: Were any water quality parameters measured: temperature, pH? Reference for the analytical method used that contains details of the validity of the method. R: This was added to the main text (lines 75-76): "Temperature, pH, and conductivity were verified in daily to avoid undesired stress to the animals and readings were consistent".

Lines 194-196 Since the authors added a concentration that was 100 times greater than the highest environmentally relevant concentrations, and still saw no toxicity or evidence of DNA damage, it seems to be a stretch to suggest that the presence in mixtures could result in effects.

R: The authors agree with the Associate Editor and have removed this statement.

In the manuscript, the authors presented the results of embrytoxicity testing of six cytotstatic anticancer drugs in fathead minnov larvae. Five commonly used cytostatics with different mode of action were tested. The study is interesting and highly relevant. The study was well performed and results are well presented.

An interesting and unusual finding was accelerated swim bladder development and inflation after the exposure to IF. Is in the literature any study of any compound or condition that would show such effect?

R: This was added to the main text (lines 183-184): "Impairment of swim bladder inflation was observed in fish exposed to dilbit for example (Alsaadi et al. 2017, Madison et al. 2017)". The references were also added to the reference list.