

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18

Effect of cleanup of spiked sludge on corn growth biosorption and metal leaching

Driss Barraoui ^a, Jean-François Blais ^{a*}, Michel Labrecque ^b

*^a Institut national de la recherche scientifique (INRS-ETE), Université du Québec,
490, rue de la Couronne, Québec, (Québec), G1K 9A9, Canada*

*^b Institut de Recherche en Biologie Végétale (IRBV),
4101, rue Sherbrooke Est, Montréal (Québec), H1X 2B2, Canada*

* Corresponding author

Tel: (418) 654-2541, Fax: (418) 654-2600

E-mail: blaisjf@ete.inrs.ca

February, 2021

19 **ABSTRACT**

20 A chemical leaching process was used for the cleanup of two municipal biosolids (MOS and
21 BES) spiked with Cd, Cu, Zn or their mixture prior to agricultural use. Non-cleaned, cleaned and
22 washed biosolids were compared as soil amendments for corn cultivation in greenhouse. Corn
23 growth, biosorption and metal leaching were measured. Results showed that biosolid
24 amendments tend to produce more aerial biomass. Cleanup and washing of BES biosolid
25 significantly increased total biomass of roots and stalks, respectively. Regarding biosorption of
26 metals, Cd accumulated in roots ($0.06\text{-}1.13\text{ mg kg}^{-1}$) and leaves ($0.06\text{-}0.63\text{ mg kg}^{-1}$), but not in
27 seeds nor in stalks. Larger amounts of Cu were detected in roots ($10.7\text{-}18.2\text{ mg kg}^{-1}$), stalks
28 ($1.29\text{-}3.78\text{ mg kg}^{-1}$) and leaves ($6.77\text{-}20.2\text{ mg kg}^{-1}$). However, Zn was more accumulated in roots
29 ($17.9\text{-}74.9\text{ mg kg}^{-1}$), stalks ($6.15\text{-}17.1\text{ mg kg}^{-1}$) and leaves ($47.9\text{-}90.1\text{ mg kg}^{-1}$). Whereas Cd and
30 Cu decreased in the order roots > leaves > stalks, Zn decreased from leaves > roots > stalks.
31 Cleanup and washing of MOS and BES biosolids significantly lowered biosorption of Cd (up to
32 84%), Cu (up to 38%), Zn (up to 63%), and other metals. Concentrations in leachate draining
33 into outlet water varied over time, but on average were moderately low. Significant amounts of
34 metal leached from MOS biosolid. The effects of cleanup and washing of both biosolids on
35 biosorption and leaching depended on the initial metallic charge and the biosolid type.

36

37 **Keywords**

38 Sludge, Biosolid, Cleanup, Metal, Leaching, Corn

39

40 1 INTRODUCTION

41 Sewage sludge application improves physico-chemical characteristics of soil, such as organic
42 matter content and water holding capacity, and ensures a similar, or even higher yield of
43 cultivated plants, compared to inorganic fertilization [1-2]. A beneficial effect of sewage sludge
44 can be observed even two years after field application, and this technique can also contribute to
45 stabilization of the grain yield of corn [3]. Indeed, sewage sludge contains important amounts of
46 nutrients that are indispensable to plant growth [4] and it generates very little to no
47 environmental impact, if utilized properly [5].

48 One of the main problems related to the agricultural spreading of biosolid is its high heavy metal
49 content, which may be harmful to plants, animals and humans [6-8]. Once metals are introduced
50 into soil, they may persist there, percolate into leachate or accumulate in plants. Heavy metals
51 can directly or indirectly affect several metabolic processes of plants, such as respiration,
52 photosynthesis, CO₂-fixation and gas exchange [9-10]. High application of sewage biosolids
53 could result in heavy metal uptake by plants and, ultimately, in many health problems in humans
54 [5].

55 To date, numerous studies have examined the impact of different metals on plants as well as
56 plant parts and functioning. One of the most problematic metals is Cd, which is non-essential and
57 has no recognized metabolic role [12-14]. Figlioli et al. [11] reported a higher sensitivity of *Zea*
58 *mays* to Cd than Pb. Corn is relatively less tolerant to Cd than ryegrass and cabbage, for example
59 [15]. Yet, An [16] stated that Cd is highly immobilized by roots, and that germination of corn
60 seeds is insensitive to Cd. In contrast, Yang et al. [17] showed that Cd can easily migrate towards
61 corn shoots, which may explain the ultrastructural damage induced by Cd, observed especially

62 in chloroplasts [11]. Cu is another metal whose environmental impact has been widely studied. It
63 is an important constituent of many plant proteins and enzymes, but a high concentration of Cu
64 may cause chlorosis, inhibition of root growth and damage to plasma membranes [18]. Iron
65 deficiency and chlorosis of leaves are known to be direct consequences of Cu toxicity [19]. Corn
66 is relatively sensitive to Cu excess [18,20], but is more tolerant than tomatoes [9]. A third
67 important and widely investigated metal is Zn. It has a central role in the activity of many
68 enzymes [21], and is absorbed by plants as the Zn^{2+} ion [22]. Plants use an in-situ complexation
69 of Zn as a strategy to avoid harmful biochemical processes [23]. Interactions such as synergism
70 and antagonism may exist between metals during their uptake in plants [6,21].

71 A common way to lower the heavy metal phytoavailability generated by the application of
72 biosolids is to raise soil pH by adding alkaline amendments, for example, by liming biosolids
73 prior to agricultural spreading. However, McBride and Martinez [19] reported that this practice
74 could conjointly cause an increase of total dissolved organic matter, which in turn could enhance
75 leachability of metals. Different types of processes (chemical, biological and electrochemical)
76 have been proposed to eliminate toxic metals from municipal sewage sludge [24-27]. Biosolid
77 cleanup is thus a promising tool to minimize contamination of soils and waters.

78 The present work used a leaching process, called METIX-AC [28], that can lower the metallic
79 load of municipal biosolids. Two types of biosolids, one non-digested physico-chemical and the
80 other aerobically digested, were sampled from two wastewater treatment plants in the province
81 of Quebec, Canada. The biosolid samples were spiked with Cd, Cu, Zn or a mixture of these
82 metals prior to their application as soil enrichment in corn cultivation. The present paper presents
83 results related to the effect of these biosolid treatments on corn growth, biosorption of metals in
84 plant parts and leaching of these metals into the outlet water.

85 2 Material and methods

86 2.1 Soil and sludge characteristics

87 The soil used in this study, a loamy-sandy soil (USDA classification) [29] with a high organic
88 matter content (10%), originated from the Montreal Botanical Garden. Soil was sieved in order
89 to obtain a uniform grain size (≤ 1 cm diameter). The two municipal sewage sludges tested were
90 a physico-chemical sewage sludge from the Montreal Urban Community (MOS) wastewater
91 treatment plant (WTP) and a biological sewage sludge from the Haute-Bécancour (BES) WTP.
92 Their nutritional content is presented in Table 1, and amounts of metals supplied by organic
93 amendments are provided in Table 2. More information about the characteristics of these two
94 sludge (for example, their organic matter content) can be in Barraoui et al. [30].

95

96 **Table 1** Total solids (T.S.) and concentrations of nutrients (g kg⁻¹) in soil and sludge[†]

Conditions tested	T.S. (%)	TKN	NH ₄ ⁺	NO ₃ ⁻	P	K	Ca	Mg	S
SOIL	81.6	3.00	n/a	n/a	1.04	18.0	17.8	5.72	0.32
MOS-CON	15.6	16.3	1.40	0.04	14.6	2.63	52.7	6.41	4.77
MOS-CLN	17.8	16.3	0.08 ○	0.03	16.3	4.11	44.9 ○	3.60 ○	48.2 ●
MOS-WASH	18.8	14.7	0.03	0.03	15.1	4.61	51.7	4.27	53.8
MOS-Cd-CON	14.9	14.6	1.04	0.06	12.7	6.68	61.6	7.97	6.19
MOS-Cd-CLN	26.2	11.7 ○	0.13 ○	0.01 ○	12.8	4.40	53.9 ○	3.97 ○	55.5 ●
MOS-Cu-CON	15.3	15.8	0.79	0.05	15.4	5.40	55.5	7.15	5.84
MOS-Cu-CLN	21.8	12.0 ○	0.10 ○	0.03	13.7 ○	3.98	55.7	4.22 ○	57.1 ●
MOS-Zn-CON	15.3	9.80	0.74	0.04	11.5	3.84	53.8	6.64	5.16
MOS-Zn-CLN	21.0	11.0	0.10 ○	0.02 ○	10.5	4.54	53.6	4.07 ○	58.9 ●
MOS-Mix-CON	16.6	8.91	0.37	0.03	9.96	3.66	58.0	7.04	6.13
MOS-Mix-CLN	20.3	13.2 ●	0.11 ○	0.03	13.8 ●	2.81	48.7 ○	3.55 ○	52.7 ●
MOS-Mix-WASH	20.4	12.0	0.03	0.02	10.5	3.46	49.7	3.78	51.8
C.V. (%) [‡]	n/d	6	4	9	5	9	7	7	6
BES-CON	9.78	47.2	2.96	0.10	25.0	3.24	7.69	9.54	6.46
BES-CLN	18.5	45.8 ○	1.11 ○	0.11	25.0	0.72 ○	1.05 ○	7.04 ○	14.5 ●
BES-WASH	18.4	51.7	0.10 □	0.06	26.7	0.44	0.79 □	8.00	13.9 □
BES-Cd-CON	8.68	30.5 ○	2.70	0.10	18.4	3.11	7.76	9.48	6.11
BES-Cd-CLN	23.7	28.6	0.23 ○	0.03 ○	16.9	0.70 ○	1.17 ○	8.74 ○	14.1 ●
BES-Cu-CON	8.66	32.2	3.12	0.05	16.3	3.00	8.56	11.0	6.33
BES-Cu-CLN	20.6	26.6 ○	0.26 ○	0.03 ○	14.4	0.69 ○	1.19 ○	7.91 ○	14.3 ●
BES-Zn-CON	9.98	32.8	4.55	0.04	17.6	2.70	7.72	10.4	5.70
BES-Zn-CLN	22.9	26.7 ○	0.26 ○	0.04	14.0	0.54 ○	1.10 ○	8.18 ○	15.1 ●
BES-Mix-CON	8.53	31.6	2.04	0.04	13.8	2.65	7.71	10.6	5.80
BES-Mix-CLN	20.4	30.3 ○	0.35 ○	0.03	17.9	0.72 ○	1.13 ○	8.19 ○	14.7 ●
BES-Mix-WASH	22.9	35.0	0.13 □	0.03	22.7	0.82	0.64 □	7.72	12.6 □
C.V. (%) [‡]	n/d	6	4	27	11	9	7	5	5

97 [†] Light and dark circles (○,●) indicate respectively significant decreases and increases, due to sludge decontamination. Light squares (□) indicate
 98 significant decreases, due to sludge washing. [‡] C.V. (coefficient of variation): to avoid overabundance of data, average concentrations of each
 99 element in the sludge group are presented. Significant effects observed for p>F, with F ranging from <0.0001 to 0,0409.

101 **Table 2** Total solids of the maize parts at harvest (%)[†]

Conditions tested	Roots	Stalks	Leaves
SOIL	50.7	28.8	81.9
CHEM	59.4	29.3	67.8
MOS-CON	62.3	24.5	81.4
MOS-CLN	56.8	24.8	68.3
MOS-WASH	62.1	19.9	85.0
MOS-Cd-CON	62.2	21.1	79.2
MOS-Cd-CLN	53.4	23.8	80.9
MOS-Cu-CON	61.5	30.3	74.1
MOS-Cu-CLN	58.2	20.5	82.9
MOS-Zn-CON	59.0	22.0	74.0
MOS-Zn-CLN	62.8	27.0	77.8
MOS-Mix-CON	65.4	24.5	78.3
MOS-Mix-CLN	57.4	26.9	74.6
MOS-Mix-WASH	63.6	25.0	71.5
BES-CON	54.7	25.2	70.9
BES-CLN	57.8 ●	18.0	82.8
BES-WASH	60.0	29.4 ■	76.7
BES-Cd-CON	57.3	23.4	75.2
BES-Cd-CLN	70.1 ●	25.0	84.2
BES-Cu-CON	60.3	24.7	82.4
BES-Cu-CLN	64.6 ●	22.1	78.4
BES-Zn-CON	64.0	18.7	80.3
BES-Zn-CLN	65.3 ●	25.2	82.0
BES-Mix-CON	48.4	15.4	85.3
BES-Mix-CLN	62.0 ●	20.9	76.4
BES-Mix-WASH	68.2	30.7 ■	78.5

102 [†] Dark circles (●) and squares (■) indicate significant increase in the effects of decontamination
 103 and decontamination-washing of sludge, respectively. Significant effects observed for p>F, with
 104 F ranging from 0.002 to 0.0213.

105

106

107 **2.2 *Sludge spiking procedure***

108 The objective of spiking was to determine whether our leaching process, described below, was
109 able to clean highly loaded biosolids. For this purpose, MOS and BES biosolids were spiked
110 individually with Cd (as cadmium nitrate), Cu (as copper chloride), Zn (as zinc chloride) or a
111 mixture of these three metals. Additions were performed in such a way as to reach nominal
112 concentrations of about 100, 3 000 and 5 000 mg kg⁻¹, respectively of Cd, Cu and Zn in biosolid.
113 Following these additions, and prior to cleanup and washing, biosolids were stored and
114 intermittently stirred for 48 h at ambient temperature, in order to redistribute exogenous metals.

115 **2.3 *Sludge cleanup and washing***

116 Samples were collected from raw biosolids and were either spiked with metals or left in their
117 original condition. They were subsequently cleaned using the METIC-AC process. Some of
118 these samples were also washed. The non-cleaned biosolid treatments were compared to the
119 cleaned and washed biosolid treatments during the present experiment.

120 Metals were leached from biosolids using H₂SO₄ and strong oxidants (FeCl₃ and H₂O₂). The
121 efficiency of the leaching process was tested in a pilot experiment at the MOS WTP [31].
122 Extensive details concerning this leaching process are provided in the patent [28].

123 Biosolid washing, a supplementary step following the biosolid cleanup, was performed to
124 determine its effectiveness for eliminating metals that were clustered in the interstitial water of
125 the cleaned biosolid. Due to experimental and laboratory limitations, biosolid washing was not
126 performed in the case of individual-metal spiked sludge. It was applied only to the non-spiked,

127 cleaned biosolid and to the fully spiked, cleaned biosolid. Once all biosolids were ready, they
128 were stored in a refrigerated chamber at 4°C for two weeks until they were mixed with soil.
129 Since the pH of cleaned and cleaned-washed biosolid was too low (pH < 3), lime was added to
130 the biosolids before mixing with soil. This resulted in a neutral pH, which is a condition common
131 to soil and non-cleaned biosolids.

132 ***2.4 Codification of sludge amendments***

133 In the text and Tables, codes are used to represent the various biosolid amendments: **CON** (non-
134 cleaned), **CLN** (cleaned), **WASH** (washed). For each of the tested biosolids (**MOS** and **BES**),
135 the twelve amendments are referred to as:

- 136 • **CON, CLN, WASH**: non-cleaned, cleaned and cleaned-washed biosolids,
137 respectively not previously spiked;
- 138 • **Cd-CON, Cd-CLN**: non-cleaned and cleaned biosolids spiked with Cd;
- 139 • **Cu-CON, Cu-CLN**: non-cleaned and cleaned biosolids spiked with Cu;
- 140 • **Zn-CON, Zn-CLN**: non-cleaned and cleaned biosolids spiked with Zn;
- 141 • **Mix-CON, Mix-CLN, Mix-WASH**: non-cleaned, cleaned and cleaned-washed
142 biosolids previously spiked with the three metals mixture.

143 A total of 24 different types of biosolid amendments of soil were tested.

144 **2.5 Experimental design and soil amendments**

145 The experimental design adopted was a randomized block, with a total of 130 pots consisting of
146 26 treatments replicated five times each. In addition to the 24 biosolid amendments described
147 above, two controls were prepared: a non-amended soil (**SOIL**), and a chemically fertilized soil
148 (**CHEM**). The following chemicals were mixed for the chemical fertilization: NH_4NO_3 (34-0-0),
149 $\text{Ca}(\text{H}_2\text{PO}_4)$ (0-46-0) and K_2SO_4 (0-0-50) to ensure N, P and K requirements were met,
150 respectively.

151 Culturing medium was prepared using plastic pots (29.5 cm diameter, 30 cm height, and a
152 loading capacity of 7-8 kg) filled with a stratum of gravel enabling leachate to percolate easily.
153 Pots were then packed up to 5 cm below the rim only with soil (in the case of the “**SOIL**” and
154 “**CHEM**” treatments), or only to two-thirds of pot height in the case of biosolid amendments. In
155 the latter case, 2 kg of soil-biosolid mixture was spread on the remaining slightly less than one-
156 third of pot height . An arbitrarily fixed amount of 45 g (dry mass basis) of biosolid was used.

157 Depending on the nutritional content of each sludge type ([Table 1](#)), and in order to avoid
158 differences in corn growth potentially caused by variable nutrient availability, calculated
159 amounts of inorganic fertilizers were added to each biosolid amended pot. The same chemicals
160 that were used in the “**CHEM**” treatment were used here. As was recommended by a previous
161 study [[32](#)] dealing with the nutrient requirements for optimum corn growth, exact quantities of
162 the fertilizers were added to the biosolid-soil mixtures, in order to reach the equivalent levels of
163 160, 110 and 150 kg ha^{-1} , respectively of N, P and K.

164 **2.6 *Corn cultivation and harvesting***

165 The corn was cultivated inside a climate-controlled greenhouse over a period of fourteen weeks.
166 The corn hybrid G-4011 was selected, because it is suited to the weather conditions of the study
167 zone (thermal needs: 2 500 degrees' day). Corn seeds were sown in plastic pots either in the
168 presence or absence of biosolid. During the first week following sowing, seed germination was
169 observed, and twelve weeks later, corn was harvested and divided into its constituent parts: cobs,
170 stalks, leaves and roots. After weighing each plant part, the materials were oven dried overnight
171 at 105°C to determine dry biomass. Subsequently, concentrations of P, K, Al, Ca, Cd, Cu, Cr, Fe,
172 Mn, Na, Ni, Pb, S and Zn in each plant part were quantified. The harvested roots were very
173 carefully cleaned of soil, and washed in an ultra-sound bath using demineralized water. This
174 operation was repeated until roots appeared dirt-free. Finally, excess water was eliminated with
175 “Kimwipes” paper, and the clean roots were air dried before being weighed and oven dried.

176 **2.7 *Irrigation scheduling and water sampling***

177 To ensure plant irrigation requirements, demineralized water was delivered by a programmed
178 computer-drip system. Pots containing corn plants were placed on three metallic supporting
179 tables. All of the 130 pots were equally and regularly irrigated to maintain soil at the field
180 capacity of water. To ensure maintenance of humidity levels, pots were verified daily using a
181 manual hydrometer.

182 A “control drip” was continuously let into a separate container for two purposes: first, to check
183 the accuracy of data collected from the previously mentioned computer-drip system in terms of
184 the amount of entering water, and second to collect a representative sample of irrigation water.

185 Each week, a sample of water was taken from the “control containers” and stored at 4°C until
186 analysis.

187 To collect percolating water, a plastic container was hung under each pot. Pots were set in
188 polyethylene saucers, which were side-pierced to allow excess irrigation water to leach through a
189 pipe inserted into the resulting holes. This system allowed water leaching from each pot to drop
190 into the saucers, then into the hanging plastic containers. Twice a week, leaching water volumes
191 were measured with a graduated cylinder. Following this quantification, samples of water were
192 stored in small bottles, and conserved immediately at 4°C. To constitute a composite sample,
193 aliquots of drained water taken during three successive weeks were mixed to the corresponding
194 leaching water volume mentioned above. Given that the total amount of irrigation and leachate
195 was known, it was possible to estimate the portion of water lost from each pot during a given
196 period of time.

197 At the end of each period, the collected composite samples of leaching water and sampled
198 irrigation water were filtered and filtrates were subsequently analyzed for the elements described
199 below.

200 ***2.8 Analytical***

201 Ammonia and nitrate in the biosolid were measured by flow injection analysis colorimetry with a
202 QuickChem FIA+, 8000 Series+ apparatus (Lachat Instruments Inc., Milwaukee, WI)
203 (Colorimetric methods QuickChem 10-107-06-2-B (NH₄⁺) and QuickChem 10-107-04-2-A
204 (NO₃⁻-NO₂⁻)) [33]. After acid digestion, Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (TKN) was quantified (method:
205 4500-Nitrogen (organic) B) [34].

206 The metal contents (Al, Cd, Cu, Cr, Fe, Mn, Na, Ni, Pb and Zn) as well as Ca, Mg, P, K and S in
207 biosolid and soil were also acid-digested, before measurement (method No. 30301) [34] using
208 ICP-AES (Varian apparatus, Vista model). Certified samples RTS-3 (CANMET, Canadian
209 Certified Reference Materials Project (CCRMP)) were used.

210 Water pH was analyzed with a Fisher ACUMET model 915 pH-meter (double-junction Cole-
211 Palmer electrode with a Ag/AgCl reference cell). Ammonium and nitrate were quantified using a
212 LACHAT auto-analyzer (Colorimetric methods QuickChem 10-107-06-2-B (NH₄⁺) and
213 QuickChem 10-107-04-2-A (NO₃⁻-NO₂⁻)).

214 The concentrations of the metals Al, Cd, Cu, Cr, Fe, Mn, Na, Ni, Pb and Zn and the nutrients Ca,
215 Mg, P, K and S in water and in the different corn parts were determined by atomic absorption
216 with a simultaneous Varian ICP-AES, Vista model. Quality controls were ensured by using
217 certified liquid samples (multi-elements standard, catalog number 900-Q30-002, lot number
218 SC0019251, SCP Science, Lasalle, Quebec) to certify conformity between measurement
219 apparatus.

220 Detection limits for Cd, Cu and Zn in soil and biosolid were respectively equal to 0.03, 0.12 and
221 0.18 mg kg⁻¹. In the case of leaching water, limits were equal to 0.02, 0.3 and 0.2 µg L⁻¹,
222 respectively for Cd, Cu and Zn. The detection limits for Cd, Cu and Zn in the corn tissues were
223 respectively 0.04, 0.27 and 0.09 mg kg⁻¹.

224 **2.9 Statistical analysis**

225 Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was conducted on all variables. The model measured the
226 importance of the following effects: biosolid type (MOS or BES), spiking with metal(s) (none,

227 +Cd, +Cu, +Zn or +Mix) and treatments (biosolid cleanup and washing). The effect of cleanup
228 was tested for all origins and levels of spiking, but the effect of washing concerned only non-
229 cleaned biosolid samples which were not spiked and those which were fully-spiked (+Mix).
230 Biosolids spiked with an individual metal (Cd, Cu or Zn) were excluded from statistical analysis
231 of the biosolid washing effect, because they were only cleaned, and not washed. Overall, in cases
232 where significant effects were obtained, data means were matched using Tukey's HSD. All
233 statistical calculations were performed using SAS (Statistical Analysis Software) version 8 [35].

234 **3 Results**

235 Except for the nutritional status of biosolid, the remaining data that are presented and discussed
236 are related specifically to the three heavy metals currently tested (Cd, Cu and Zn) and to some
237 other metals for which concentrations were significantly affected by one or both of the cleanup
238 and washing processes.

239 ***3.1 Nutritional contents of sludge***

240 The nutrient contents of soil and sludge are presented in [Table 1](#). The results show that soil
241 contained high amounts of TKN, K and Ca, but low levels of P, Mg and S. Total solids were
242 higher in MOS than in BES biosolid. However, nutritional elements were more concentrated in
243 MES biosolid. Nitrogen compounds, particularly TKN, were 2-3 times higher in BES biosolid.

244 Sulfur increased in both cleaned biosolids due to the chemicals introduced in the medium during
245 the application of the leaching process. Ca, NH_4^+ and Mg were significantly reduced following
246 cleanup of most of the MOS and BES biosolids. Cleanup significantly lowered the levels of
247 TKN, NO_3^- and K in most types of BES biosolid. TKN decreased only after cleanup of MOS

248 biosolid spiked with Cd or Cu, and increased with metal mixture-spiking. Finally, the decrease of
249 NO_3^- level in MOS biosolid was related to Cd- and Zn-spiking. In terms of percent of leaching,
250 there were greater losses of NO_3^- and NH_4^+ 25-70% and 63-94%, respectively. Similarly, 39-
251 59% of Mg was removed from cleaned MOS biosolid, and 73-80% of K and 85-86% of Ca from
252 cleaned BES biosolid. In the remaining cases, no more than 26-28% of nutrients leached
253 following the cleanup of the tested biosolid. Regarding the impact of biosolid washing, there was
254 a significant decrease of NH_4^+ , Ca and S in the BES biosolid.

255 **3.2 Production of corn biomass**

256 Data not shown concerning biomass yield at harvest indicated that there was no negative effect
257 of biosolid cleanup or biosolid cleanup-washing on the dimensions, or on weights of corn leaves,
258 cobs, stalks and roots. Moreover, there were generally no significant differences between any
259 biosolid amendment and the control soil (SOIL). Although biosolid amendments and chemical
260 fertilization (CHEM) generally produced plants with higher aerial parts/roots ratios (fresh weight
261 basis) than SOIL; only MOS biosolid washing significantly increased this parameter (data not
262 shown). On the other hand, [Table 3](#) shows that cleanup of MOS biosolid had no significant effect
263 on the total solids of roots, stalks and leaves, whereas significant increases in the total solids of
264 roots and stalks were respectively due to the cleanup and washing of BES biosolid.

265

266 **Table 3** Concentration of tested metals in harvested maize parts (mg kg⁻¹)[†]

Conditions tested	Cd ‡		Cu			Zn		
	Roots	Leaves	Roots	Stalks	Leaves	Roots	Stalks	Leaves
SOIL	0.08	0.06	18.2	2.16	7.06	21.3	14.0	61.0
CHEM	0.08	0.08	12.8	2.25	10.8	22.8	13.3	90.1
MOS-CON	0.10	0.09	13.9	2.08	8.74	24.5	11.7	68.0
MOS-CLN	0.11	0.08	12.1	2.37	7.99	22.2	13.2	58.0
MOS-WASH	0.10	0.08	13.2	1.48 □	9.46	27.0	12.0	78.9
MOS-Cd-CON	0.54	0.26	12.4	1.48	7.03	23.2	9.41	55.3
MOS-Cd-CLN	0.26 ○	0.14 ○	12.7	1.94	10.6	32.3	9.48	62.6
MOS-Cu-CON	0.07	0.06	15.8	2.65	7.80	17.9	17.1	58.5
MOS-Cu-CLN	0.16 ●	0.08	13.0	1.88	8.22	25.4	11.6	53.2
MOS-Zn-CON	0.10	0.08	10.7	1.70	7.96	36.6	10.8	54.9
MOS-Zn-CLN	0.10	0.07	14.6	2.26	8.16	24.6 ○	13.2	69.5
MOS-Mix-CON	0.47	0.31	14.0	1.88	7.76	30.9	12.5	73.3
MOS-Mix-CLN	0.15 ○	0.09 ○	14.0	2.30	7.89	23.9	10.6	58.2
MOS-Mix-WASH	0.15	0.08	14.1	1.67 □	10.7	24.5	10.7	57.7
BES-CON	0.13	0.09	15.0	2.06	10.5	33.4	10.6	70.4
BES-CLN	0.24	0.08	13.0 ○	1.55	7.56	41.5	7.96	54.2
BES-WASH	0.09	0.06	12.4	1.75	6.77	31.1	9.32	61.0
BES-Cd-CON	1.13	0.63	15.3	1.69	10.5	23.3	8.84	63.6
BES-Cd-CLN	0.19 ○	0.10 ○	13.8 ○	2.39	10.1	29.6	16.7	75.6
BES-Cu-CON	0.11	0.08	15.2	2.05	9.51	24.9	10.6	59.3
BES-Cu-CLN	0.13	0.08	13.4 ○	1.83	9.92	23.8	8.40	56.4
BES-Zn-CON	0.16	0.08	14.2	1.39	10.5	74.9	8.20	48.8
BES-Zn-CLN	0.09 ○	0.07	10.7 ○	1.89	8.32	31.2 ○	15.0 ●	71.8
BES-Mix-CON	0.95	0.47	15.8	1.31	11.2	65.7	13.7	64.5
BES-Mix-CLN	0.16 ○	0.10 ○	12.1 ○	1.29	9.30	24.1 ○	6.15	47.9
BES-Mix-WASH	0.14	0.09	9.76	3.78	20.2	24.1	13.0	73.5

267 † Light and dark circles (○,●) indicate respectively significant decreases and increases, due to sludge decontamination. Light squares
 268 (□) indicate significant decreases, due to sludge washing. ‡ Cadmium was not detected in stalks and seeds. Significant effects
 269 observed for p>F, with F ranging from <0.0001 to 0,0324.

270

271 **3.3 Biosorption of tested metals in the corn parts**

272 Data provided in [Table 4](#) show that Cd was generally not detected in stalks, and that in the
273 majority of cases Cd and Cu migrated principally to the roots, leading to the decreasing ranking:
274 roots > leaves > stalks. A different behavior was noted with Zn, for which the highest
275 concentration levels were noted in leaves, yielding the following decreasing trend: leaves > roots
276 > stalks.

277 Cleanup of MOS and BES biosolids spiked with Cd (alone and in metal mixture) significantly
278 lowered levels of this metal in roots and leaves. Similarly, cleanup significantly lowered Cd in
279 roots in BES biosolid spiked with Zn, while an increase occurred in MOS biosolid spiked with
280 Cu. The Cu and Zn contents in leaves were not affected by the cleanup of either biosolid. With
281 BES biosolid, cleanup significantly lowered Cu in roots. The Zn decrease in roots was recorded
282 following cleanup of biosolid spiked with Zn alone in both MOS and BES biosolids and with the
283 metals mixture in the BES biosolid only. In contrast, Zn significantly increased in stalks
284 following cleanup of BES biosolid spiked with Zn alone. There was only one case where
285 biosolid washing had a significant effect, namely on Cu in leaves corresponding to MOS biosolid
286 amendments.

287 **3.4 Biosorption of other metals in the corn parts**

288 All significant effects of biosolid cleanup, noted mostly in BES biosolid, manifested as increases
289 in the concentration of Al, Cr, Fe, Mn and Pb in roots. Washing MOS biosolid resulted in an
290 increase in Pb level in the leaves ([Table 4](#)). MOS biosolid cleanup increased Mn and Cr levels in
291 roots, but the Cr increase was restricted to biosolid previously spiked with the metal mixture. In

292 the case of BES biosolid, cleanup significantly increased metals in roots, especially Al in all BES
293 biosolid types, Cr and Pb in biosolid spiked with Cd alone and Fe in biosolid spiked individually
294 with Cd and Zn. Finally, non-spiked MOS biosolid washing significantly increased Pb in leaves.

295

296 **Table 4** Concentrations of other metals in harvested roots and leaves (mg kg⁻¹)[†]

Conditions tested	Roots					Leaves
	Al	Cr	Fe	Mn	Pb	Pb
SOIL	1990	22.1	1190	60.4	0.67	2.39
CHEM	1730	30.3	1410	67.2	0.84	2.95
MOS-CON	2070	27.9	1530	61.8	1.15	2.18
MOS-CLN	1460	16.0	1240	74.4 ●	0.77	1.65
MOS-WASH	728	11.8	791	70.4	0.70	2.67 ■
MOS-Cd-CON	1270	11.8	1080	57.6	0.88	3.10
MOS-Cd-CLN	986	18.0	1190	101 ●	1.00	2.41
MOS-Cu-CON	942	18.5	984	44.8	0.77	2.70
MOS-Cu-CLN	875	27.0	1070	97.0 ●	0.73	2.96
MOS-Zn-CON	1700	19.5	1220	60.0	0.84	2.23
MOS-Zn-CLN	1460	23.4	1390	76.0 ●	0.82	2.47
MOS-Mix-CON	1140	5.91	945	50.0	0.69	1.93
MOS-Mix-CLN	2010	24.4 ●	1490	80.0 ●	1.05	2.45
MOS-Mix-WASH	2320	26.8	1710	79.4	1.26	1.88
BES-CON	1160	17.1	1060	99.8	0.78	1.85
BES-CLN	847	16.0	956	93.8	0.89	3.20
BES-WASH	1680	9.15	1260	94.4	1.02	2.54
BES-Cd-CON	719	8.62	658	78.8	0.57	2.28
BES-Cd-CLN	2320 ●	33.7 ●	1950 ●	101	1.42 ●	3.29
BES-Cu-CON	1210	29.9	1310	91.8	0.93	2.30
BES-Cu-CLN	1240 ●	17.1	1290	105	1.05	2.37
BES-Zn-CON	774	24.0	925	105	0.72	2.76
BES-Zn-CLN	2270 ●	36.4	1800 ●	98.8	1.08	1.93
BES-Mix-CON	717	23.8	939	80.4	0.49	2.26
BES-Mix-CLN	1150 ●	12.3	969	74.2	0.69	1.59
BES-Mix-WASH	809	16.3	715	75.2	0.79	2.18

297 [†] Dark circles (●) indicate significant increases, due to sludge decontamination. Dark squares (■) indicate significant increases, due to
 298 sludge washing. Significant effects observed for p>F, with F ranging from <0.0001 to 0,0455.

299

300 **3.5 Lixiviation of metals through leachate**

301 The average concentrations of metals in leachate (Table 5) were calculated from data
302 corresponding to the four consecutive periods (P1 to P4). All volumes of the leachate samples
303 were taken into consideration when calculating the average concentrations of metals in solution.
304 Statistical analyses of data were performed on raw data for each separate period. When a
305 significant effect of biosolid cleanup was noted during a given period, it was reported in Table 5
306 by specifying the period of occurrence in brackets.

307 The data shown in Table 5 shows that the average concentrations of metals that leached from
308 biosolid amended pots were lower (Al, Cu and Fe) or higher (Mn and Zn) than those of non-
309 amended pots, while similar results were noted for Cd. The pH of leachate samples averaged
310 between 7.64 and 7.98.

311 Table 5 data also indicates that no significant effects of washing were observed for either
312 biosolid, whereas cleanup affected the metals leaching only from MOS biosolid amended pots.
313 In the case of BES biosolid, only leachate pH significantly increased, from 7.66 to 7.99, during
314 the second period, P2 (data not shown). In the case of MOS biosolid, changes were mostly noted
315 during the first period, P1, where MOS biosolid cleanup led to a decrease of Cu in leachate for
316 all biosolid types, of Zn for biosolid spiked with Cu, of Zn and metal mixture as well as Al and
317 Fe for sludge spiked with the metal mixture. In contrast, MOS biosolid cleanup significantly
318 increased the concentration of Al in leachate during the last period, P4, and of Mn during the
319 first period, P1.

320 Leaching of metals over time was also studied (data not presented). Findings showed that, for all
321 treatments, leaching of Cu and Zn were similar considering that they were high at the beginning
322 of the experiment, and then gradually diminished with time. An inconsistent decrease in
323 concentration was noted for Cd at the beginning, and for Cu and Zn at the end of the experiment.

324

325 **Table 5** Average concentrations of tested and other metals that leached into drainage water ($\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$)[†]

Conditions tested	pH	Tested metals				Other metals	
		Cd	Cu	Zn	Al	Fe	Mn
SOIL	7.88	0.08	50.9	45.9	348	279	19.7
CHEM	7.70	0.10	36.2	40.0	248	202	43.5
MOS-CON	7.72	0.15	43.5	53.8	307	259	43.8
MOS-CLN	7.92	0.10	30.7	34.3	194	152	38.7
MOS-WASH	7.90	0.05	31.6	34.5	147	104	53.6
MOS-Cd-CON	7.82	0.13	32.3	39.2	201	147	43.9
MOS-Cd-CLN	7.73	0.13	34.5	48.4	191	161	76.6
MOS-Cu-CON	7.74	0.10	35.2	43.4	219	174	36.1
MOS-Cu-CLN	7.86	0.05	27.6	30.0 ○ (P1)	168	132	53.6
MOS-Zn-CON	7.64	0.08	39.6	40.1	290	218	20.2
MOS-Zn-CLN	7.75	0.05	32.3	40.4	194	162	35.5
MOS-Mix-CON	7.76	0.08	28.0	36.1	340	270	28.2
MOS-Mix-CLN	7.83	0.03	30.2	28.8 ○ (P1)	167 ○ (P1)	119 ○ (P1)	89.1
MOS-Mix-WASH	7.89	0.08	34.5	56.3	201	176	77.3
Decontamination effect			○ (P1)		● (P4)		● (P1)
BES-CON	7.79	0.13	34.4	45.4	223	191	64.8
BES-CLN	7.84	0.08	35.6	46.4	250	235	97.7
BES-WASH	7.79	0.08	32.9	40.1	226	173	32.5
BES-Cd-CON	7.70	0.03	36.5	32.1	179	135	43.1
BES-Cd-CLN	7.84	0.15	32.7	38.3	204	169	44.7
BES-Cu-CON	7.72	0.08	33.9	35.8	179	144	38.0
BES-Cu-CLN	7.83	0.13	34.2	46.5	232	191	110
BES-Zn-CON	7.84	0.08	32.8	38.0	226	178	54.6
BES-Zn-CLN	7.85	0.13	32.6	39.5	182	152	90.0
BES-Mix-CON	7.92	0.13	29.1	43.7	211	177	77.9
BES-Mix-CLN	7.98	0.08	30.4	45.8	220	170	38.2
BES-Mix-WASH	7.86	0.05	34.0	38.7	194	157	49.7
Decontamination effect		● (P2)					

326 [†] Light and dark circles (○,●) indicate respectively significant decreases and increases, due to sludge decontamination. The period (P1
327 to P4) during which the significant effect occurred is in brackets. Average concentrations given in the Table may be similar, but
328 measurements corresponding to a given period can exhibit significant differences. Significant effects observed for p>F, with F ranging
329 from 0.0010 to 0,0468.

330 **4 Discussion**

331 The leaching process lowered the concentrations of the heavy metals in the biosolids, even in the
332 presence of high metal loads. The present data show that although cleanup lowered the
333 nutritional contents of MOS and BES biosolids, satisfactory levels of many nutrients remained,
334 thus allowing the profitable reuse of these biosolids as soil amendments. Cleanup of both raw
335 biosolids (non-spiked) generated biosolids containing higher levels of nutrients than those
336 desired for agricultural spreading of biosolid. These levels (g kg^{-1} , dry mass basis) were
337 satisfactorily reached following cleanup of BES biosolid ($\text{TKN} > 27$, $\text{NO}_3^- > 0.01$, $\text{P} > 18$ and
338 $\text{Mg} > 5.6$) and MOS biosolid ($\text{NH}_4^+ > 2$, $\text{K} > 2.5$ and $\text{Ca} > 19$). Washing of both biosolids had
339 few or no significant effects on the concentration of nutrients, compared to the impact of
340 cleanup.

341 Among the subsequent effects of the application of sludge containing high levels of metals are
342 the risk of provoking limited plant growth [6], excessive biosorption of metals, which may be
343 transmitted throughout the food chain [14], or even toxicity [36].

344 Regarding plant development, although not shown, our results indicated that neither the
345 dimensions, nor weights of corn leaves, cobs, stalks and roots were negatively affected by sludge
346 treatment. These data are consistent with those of Szymańska et al. [3], who found that biosolid
347 fertilization, versus mineral fertilization, of corn grown for grain, did not reveal any difference
348 regarding plant growth or development.

349 In our study, even after spiking MOS and BES biosolids with Cd, Cu and/or Zn, seed
350 germination and plant growth were generally not affected. The effects of cleanup and washing on

351 biosolid were observed mainly as an increase in the aerial parts/roots ratio of weights in the case
352 of cleanup of MOS biosolid or in the total solids of roots and stalks, respectively following
353 cleanup and washing of BES biosolid. The absence of important differences between any
354 biosolid amendment and SOIL in regard to the growth performance of corn plants might be
355 explained by the small amount of sludge that was tested (45 g pot⁻¹: the equivalent of about 7 t
356 ha⁻¹). Although they tested lower sludge rates than ours, Szymańska et al. [3] obtained similar
357 results, when they evaluated an application of 10 t dry mater per ha⁻¹ of biosolid once every five
358 years (mean of 2 t ha⁻¹), for field conditions of corn. To obtain significant differences compared
359 to unfertilized soil, one has to test high sludge rates. Ilie et al. [4] observed during an
360 experimental pot study, that sewage sludge fertilization increases corn yield significantly
361 compared to the soil control, where the lowest yield was obtained, when starting with a rate of
362 200 kg N ha⁻¹ (equivalent to 10 t ha⁻¹). Furthermore, Tejada et al. [37] obtained a 17% increase in
363 corn yield with a foliar application of sewage sludge, compared to untreated samples.

364 The absence of significant differences we observed was probably influenced by the soil richness.
365 Indeed, it appears that biosolid is nutritionally rich and remains able to ensure adequate corn
366 plant development despite treatment. Detailed corn growth data shows that non-cleaned and
367 cleaned biosolids produced strongly similar results. Greater differences between controls and
368 biosolid amendments as well as between cleaned and non-cleaned biosolids could probably be
369 expected if one or both of the following conditions were encountered: the soil tested did not
370 contain such high concentrations of nutrients, and the biosolid samples were larger than 45 g pot⁻¹.
371

372 With respect to the uptake and biosorption of metals, it was shown that, in all corn parts, Zn was
373 more concentrated than Cu, which, in turn, was more abundant than Cd. The latter was

374 undetected in seeds and stalks, but was more highly concentrated in roots than in leaves, with a
375 shoot/root ratio equal to 0.33. Cu showed a trend similar to that of Cd, but with a clearer
376 distinction between the metal levels in roots versus leaves. The lowest Cu amount was found in
377 stalks. Data showed that the metal mostly stored in plants was Zn. Leaves had the highest
378 concentration of this metal, followed by roots and stalks. These results indicate that Zn seems to
379 be the most mobile metal, since it appeared to be more easily transferred to aerial parts. Cd and
380 Cu showed the opposite trend, as they were mainly confined to roots. The remarkably higher
381 uptake of Cu by roots, along with limited translocation to shoots, concurs with previous works
382 [9,18,38-39].

383 The mobility of Zn toward aerial parts of corn was confirmed by Ilie et al. [40], who showed that
384 sewage sludge rates higher than 300 kg N ha^{-1} (equivalent to 15 t ha^{-1} of sludge) resulted in
385 statistically significant increases of Zn content in corn kernels.

386 When biosolid was spiked with a given metal, its concentration increased in one or more corn
387 plant parts, but this increase was very small in the case of Cu. The use of Cd-spiked MOS
388 biosolid enhanced Cd absorption in roots and leaves, respectively 5 and 3 times. Similar results
389 were obtained when BES biosolid was spiked with Cd and with the metal mixture. In the case of
390 Cd spiking, the uptake of Cd by roots and leaves increased 9 and 7 times, respectively, while the
391 metal mixture caused an increase in Cd uptake by 7 and 5 times, respectively. Such results,
392 showing that Cd uptake is increased by its level in the medium, are supported by the work of Ilie
393 et al. [40], who reported that Cd level in corn leaves increased directly proportional to the rate of
394 sewage sludge application. Also, following the use of Zn-spiked BES biosolid, metal uptake by
395 roots doubled. Thus, it is well established that as the total concentration of a metal in the soil
396 increases, so does the probability of its uptake by roots. However, metal speciation is of great

397 importance for evaluating the uptake of metals by roots as well as their possible biosorption in
398 plant organs [38].

399 The effects of biosolid cleanup on the uptake and biosorption of the tested metals were far more
400 significant for BES biosolid than MOS biosolid. Cleanup can counter the spiking-induced
401 increase in absorption of metals. More specifically, after cleaning, the uptake of Cd and Zn by
402 roots can be reduced by up to 84% and migration towards leaves can be reduced to 63% for BES
403 biosolid. Although spiking biosolid with Cu (alone or in the metal mixture) did not cause an
404 increase of Cu concentration in a given corn part, cleanup of BES biosolid caused a decrease in
405 the metal's uptake by roots by about 10-25%. Overall, our leaching process significantly lowered
406 the levels of Cd, Cu and Zn in both biosolids to the point that their uptake by roots, and
407 consequently their transfer to a given corn tissue, was greatly diminished. Moreover, cleanup of
408 BES biosolid spiked with Zn led to a decrease in the uptake of Cd and Zn by the roots.
409 Controversy exists, however, in the published results regarding the competition that might exist
410 between metals for uptake by plants, suggesting that Zn may compete with Cd, since they use the
411 same transport site [6] or the difficulty of assessing alleviation of Cd toxicity by application of
412 Zn, due to the role played by nutrients such as P [14]. As is the case for the present work, a high
413 concentration of microorganisms exists in the sludge and in the soil used. It is very likely that
414 these microorganisms can compete with corn, adsorbing a certain amount of metals on their
415 surface or absorbing a small amount in their cells. These processes are among the reactions that
416 can occur between metals and this type of ecosystem. However, it is not possible to rule on the
417 relative importance of these processes compared to other possible mechanisms (example,
418 adsorption of metals on organic matter or clays, or their fixation in a less reactive form such as
419 on silicates), because this was beyond the scope of this work.

420 More recently, the work of Przygocka-Cyna and Grzebisz [41] showed that any increase in Fe
421 concentration in corn grain resulted in a simultaneous decrease in Cd concentration, attesting to
422 an antagonistic behaviour between these two elements. In fact, Przygocka-Cyna and Grzebisz
423 [41] stated that an increased exogenous supply of Fe results in decreased uptake by plant roots.
424 This was indeed the case in our experiments, where the concentration of Fe increased
425 significantly in both cleaned biosolids, by 13% to 20%, as this metal is added (as ferric chloride)
426 to biosolid during the leaching process [28].

427 Therefore, the increase in the availability of Cd and Zn observed due to spiking reflects an
428 increase in their uptake by roots and translocation to leaves (Table 3). However, clean-up and
429 washing of BES biosolid lowered the proportion of available fractions, which reduced their
430 content in corn tissue. The increase noted for the available fractions of metals in the cleaned-
431 washed MOS biosolid did not reflect additional biosorption in corn plants. This is probably
432 because other metals competed for the uptake, either Mn, which increased following the clean-up
433 and washing of all MOS biosolid types, or Fe, which increased following the clean-up and
434 washing of several BES biosolid (Table 4), reflecting the antagonism that can occur between Cd
435 and Fe.

436 The toxicity of cadmium, copper and zinc was analyzed and included comparison of the
437 experimental data obtained in this project with published results [41]. Indeed, one previous
438 experiment, dealing with the effect of our leaching process on the toxicity and bioavailability of
439 metals, showed that the biosolid treatment significantly lowered the biosorption of cadmium,
440 copper, and zinc in several exposed plant species [42]. These findings are similar to those of Al-
441 Busaidi and Mushtaque [5], who showed that no toxicity or excessive biosorption of heavy

442 metals occurred when sewage sludge was treated with green waste (Kala compost) to serve as
443 soil amendment for plant cultivation.

444 Empirical data showed no significant decrease in root length and biomass production suggesting
445 Cu toxicity [16,18,39,43]. Dry biomass yield of corn was not affected by Zn [44]. Similarly, Cd
446 did not seem to cause a decrease in root and shoot biomass [15,44], and no nutritional deficiency
447 was noted [13]. However, Lagriffoul et al. [45] stated that variations in growth and mineral
448 content of Cd-contaminated corn seedlings are not direct consequences of Cd uptake by plants.
449 Conversely, Figlioli et al. [11] stated that Cd induces ultrastructural damage, which is observed
450 especially in chloroplasts. Contradictory statements reported in the literature concerning the
451 effect of heavy metals on plant development are probably due to differences in experimental
452 conditions. In the present study, the absence of toxic effects can also be linked to the small
453 quantity of biosolid tested, as well as to the fact that bioavailable forms of metals, such as
454 exchangeable fractions, were extremely low, both before and after biosolid cleanup and washing.
455 In this context, it is well known that availability of biosolid-borne metals in soils is relatively
456 low, because these elements are immobilized mainly as oxides [21].

457 Whether or not toxicity for a metal was detected in the present experiment can also be explained
458 by comparing recorded data to published toxicity thresholds. First, the Cd level we found in any
459 corn part was far below the toxicity limits of 4-30 mg kg⁻¹ [46-47]. The phytotoxicity of Cu is
460 very well documented but there are disagreements concerning the toxic threshold of this metal in
461 plant tissues. The threshold can vary widely (20 to 100 mg kg⁻¹), depending on the plant [46-47],
462 but in the specific case of corn, Fageria [48] stated that if the Cu in soil reaches 48 mg kg⁻¹, metal
463 concentration in plant tissues attains a level of 11 mg kg⁻¹, which corresponds to the toxicity
464 onset. Yet, Mocquot et al. [9] and Borkert et al. [20] reported that Cu toxicity to corn occurs only

465 when metal concentration in leaf or root tissues reaches 20-21 mg kg⁻¹. McBride [38] criticized
466 the USEPA risk assessment, which assumes that in biosolid amended soils, Cu toxicity to corn
467 does not occur even when metal concentration in shoots reaches 40 mg kg⁻¹. In our case, Cu
468 toxicity seemed not to occur in the presence of sludge. The highest Cu concentration was
469 observed in roots (18.2 mg kg⁻¹) for SOIL, which initially contained 23.4 mg Cu kg⁻¹. However,
470 all biosolid amendments lowered the Cu concentration in roots, as compared to SOIL, by up to
471 41%. In the case of Zn, regardless of treatment, the metal concentration in all corn parts was far
472 below the toxicity limits of 400-1000 mg kg⁻¹ [46-47].

473 Regarding the remaining heavy metals measured in corn parts data (not shown) indicated that
474 Mn migrated mostly towards leaves, but was below 400 mg kg⁻¹, far below the toxic level of
475 2 480 mg kg⁻¹ [48]. For example, Ilie et al. [40] showed that Mn increased in leaves, along with
476 the increase of biosolid quantities in pots, while it decreased in the corn kernels. Similar to
477 results reported by Ali et al. [18], Pb was stored more in leaves than in roots, but metal
478 concentration was below the toxicity limits of 30-300 mg kg⁻¹, whereas Cr sometimes exceeded
479 4-8 mg kg⁻¹ [21,47]. To explain the behavior of Pb, we could mention the work of Figlioli et al.
480 [11], who reported the complex pattern of Pb uptake by corn parts when it enters plant roots from
481 Pb-enriched soils, showing little translocation to the aerial parts. Otherwise, increased
482 concentrations of Pb in aboveground tissues can be due to metal-bound dust and fine soil
483 particles entering directly to leaves through stomata [11].

484 In leachate, no clear effect of BES biosolid cleanup was observed, except for a significant
485 increase in pH. The concentrations of Al, Cd, Cu, Fe, Mn and Zn seemed unaffected when MOS
486 and BES biosolids were washed. The significant increase in pH may have been caused by a large
487 increase of NH₄⁺ ions in cleaned BES biosolid (data not shown).

488 The highest concentrations of Cd, Cu and Zn in leachates from biosolid amended pots were
489 respectively 0.15, 43.5 and 56.3 $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$. In comparison, Gray et al. [49] measured the
490 concentration of Cd in leachate from New Zealand pasture soils that were treated repetitively
491 with phosphate fertilizer, and found higher concentrations: 0.35-1.57 $\mu\text{g Cd L}^{-1}$. Li et al. [50]
492 tested mixtures of soil with unstabilized or stabilized sludge (using phosphorus based products),
493 and measured metals (Cu, Cr and Zn) leaching into the outlet water. Total inputs of metals were
494 lower (for Cu and Cr) or relatively close (for Zn) to our tested loads. However, Li et al. [50]
495 reported that the levels of all three metals, Cu, Cr and Zn, in leachates attained concentrations as
496 high as (in $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$) 1 340, 70 and 1 060, respectively. But, we must take into account the
497 differences in the experimental conditions between experiments. In addition, Li et al. [50] did not
498 consider any plant uptake, but only leaching of metals from cylinders filled with biosolid-soil
499 mixtures that were irrigated with distilled water. In our case, cleanup of biosolids as well as corn
500 cultivation were considered, and this probably explains the gap noticed between our results and
501 those of the mentioned research. Nevertheless, it is important to highlight the added value of
502 current leaching process, which removed the most labile fractions of metals from biosolids, prior
503 to their agricultural use. Our results are also supported by the recent finding of Yu et al. [51] who
504 found that combining chemical washing with repeated phytoextraction showed considerable
505 potential for the remediation of agricultural soils polluted with multiple metals.

506 Although this is beyond the scope of the present work, the metal content of the sampled
507 leachates can be considered in relation to a strict criterion such as the guidelines for drinking
508 water quality, in order to evaluate the risk of groundwater contamination by these leachates. Data
509 showed that average concentrations of most metals in leachate were far below the drinking water
510 quality guidelines of the Canadian government, the World Health Organization (WHO) and the

511 European Economic Community (EEC). This was particularly the case for tested metals (Cd, Cu
512 and Zn), for which average concentrations in leachates did not exceed 5, 1 000 and 5 000 $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$,
513 respectively. The Fe concentration was also far below its safety guideline of 300 $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ [52]. The
514 mean concentrations of Fe and Zn were likewise below the respective toxic thresholds of 300
515 and 180 $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$, concentrations at which these metals are detrimental to freshwater fish [53]. This
516 was not the case for Al and Mn, which exceeded their respective limits of 200 and 50 $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$
517 [52]. Al content in drinking water is of great concern, because there seems to be a close
518 correlation between the amount of this metal consumed by humans and the incidence of
519 Alzheimer's disease [54]. In this project, the highest Al concentration (348 $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$) was obtained
520 for leachates of non-amended pots, but all biosolid amendments decreased the level of this metal
521 by up to 58%. On the other hand, data not shown indicated that Pb was not detected in leachate,
522 and Cr did not exceed the critical value of 50 $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ [52]. Finally, data not shown indicated that
523 leaching of the three tested metals (Cd, Cu and Zn) tended to decrease with time, leading to
524 lower concentrations at the end of experiment. Time variation of leaching fluctuated more in the
525 case of Cd than Cu or Zn. It can thus be stated that the quality of water that leached from pots
526 amended with cleaned biosolid is certainly of acceptable quality for the purposes of agricultural
527 irrigation.

528 The differences observed between MOS and BES biosolids in terms of biosorption and leaching
529 of metals are probably caused by metal speciation in tested biosolids, which were differently
530 affected by our leaching process. However, the speciation and consequent bioavailability of
531 metals depend on many soil parameters, among which pH is of great importance. For example,
532 application of organic matter to an acidic topsoil increases the portion of Zn that is held
533 conjointly by Mn and Fe oxides and diminishes the remaining metal phases. At a higher soil pH,

534 organically complexed Zn predominates and metal associates more with Fe than Mn oxides. In
535 this study, since pH values of all biosolids were adjusted to near neutrality before use, any
536 difference may be attributed to the biosolid matrix, and not the pH of the medium: MOS is
537 physico-chemical biosolid, while BES is biological biosolid. Furthermore, although no
538 measurements of metal activity were performed in this study, since this was beyond the scope of
539 the present work, we agree with the statement of McBride [38], who specified that one has to
540 consider the metal activity in soil solution, rather than the total metal concentration, to evaluate
541 the phytotoxicity of metals. McBride's [38] perspective may serve as a further argument to
542 explain the differences reported above, when comparing our results to those of Li et al. [50],
543 notably with regard to the concentration of metals in water leaching from biosolid amended soils.

544

545

546 **5 Conclusion**

547 This study dealt with the effect of spiked versus non spiked biosolids on corn growth as well as
548 on metal biosorption and leaching. Cd, Cu and Zn were added individually or in a mixture to
549 MOS and BES biosolids. Metal concentrations in the four separate parts of corn plants were
550 quantified at harvest: roots, stalks, leaves and seeds.

551 The biosolids investigated in this project contained levels of nutrients suitable for agricultural
552 use despite having undergone cleanup and washing procedures. Micro-nutrients, such as Fe and
553 S, may be supplied to soils from cleaned biosolid, because the leaching process requires
554 chemicals that contain Fe and S. Results did not show a negative effect of biosolid spiking,
555 cleanup and/or washing on corn seed germination or growth parameters. In comparison to SOIL
556 and non-cleaned biosolid, cleaned biosolid generally enhanced aerial parts/roots ratios.
557 Furthermore, Cd was not detected in the harvested seeds and stalks, while the Cu level was very
558 low. Migration of Cd and Cu followed a decreasing trend: roots > leaves > stalks, while that of
559 Zn decreased in the order: leaves > roots > stalks. The uptake and biosorption of tested metals
560 were affected by their available fractions, which in turn, were influenced by spiking and cleanup-
561 washing. The remaining elements drifted differently in corn parts, and effects of biosolid cleanup
562 and/or washing were mostly related to biosolid spiked with Cd or Cu. Independently of biosolid
563 amendments, leaching of Cu and Zn generally diminished with time, while that of Cd was more
564 randomized. Statistical analysis of the concentrations of metals in leachate showed that most of
565 the changes occurred because of cleanup of the MOS biosolid, while few variations were noted
566 with BES biosolid. Concentrations of measured metals in corn tissues and in leachate were
567 generally below their respective critical limits.

568 Spiking biosolid with a given metal, either alone or in the metal mixture, led to storage of this
569 element in at least one of the corn parts and/or in leachate, but biosolid cleanup caused a
570 significant decrease in this trend. Interestingly, the restriction imposed by the guidelines of the
571 Quebec government regarding the agricultural spreading of BES biosolid, due to its high Cu
572 content, does not apply if this biosolid is cleaned. In addition, knowing that some soils in the
573 province of Quebec may contain high levels of heavy metals, the use of cleaned rather than non-
574 cleaned biosolid should minimize, or at least delay, the risk of soil enrichment by these metals.

575 Finally, there are dissimilarities in the ability of MOS and BES biosolids to be cleaned and/or
576 washed by the leaching process. These dissimilarities indicate that the proposed cleanup process
577 depends not only on the initial total metallic charge of biosolid, but also on the biosolid type.
578 Only MOS biosolid washing had few supplemental significant effects on the biosorption and
579 leaching of metals. The present study should be complemented by future agri-environmental
580 investigations in greenhouse and in the field, to compare the short-term and long-term pathways
581 of biosolid-borne metals after spreading biosolid cleaned by our leaching process. Such studies
582 should focus on measuring the ion activity of a given metal instead of its total concentration.

583

584 **CRedit authorship contribution statement**

585 **Barraoui D.** contributed to the conception and design of the study and the implementation of the
586 experimental design in the greenhouse. He also collected data and wrote the first draft of the
587 manuscript.

588 **Blais JF.** is the corresponding author and co-PI. He contributed to the conception and design of
589 the study, and collaborated on the interpretation of the results. He revised the manuscript and
590 prepared its electronic submission.

591 **Labrecque M.** was one of the PI involved in the conception and design of the study. He
592 collaborated on the interpretation of the results and revision of the manuscript.

593

594

595

596 **Acknowledgement**

597 This research was conducted thanks to financial assistance from the Canada Research Chair
598 Program (grant 950-202886). We are grateful to Gabriel Teodorescu, from the Montreal
599 Botanical Garden for his contribution to the management of this work, to Myriam Chartier and
600 Danielle Leblanc for technical assistance, and to Stéphane Daigle for his participation in
601 statistical calculations.

602

603 **References**

- 604 [1] B. Sharma, A. Sarkar, P. Singh, R.P. Singh, Agricultural utilization of biosolids: A review
605 on potential effects on soil and plant grown, Waste Management 64 (2017) 117-132.
606 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2017.03.002>.
- 607 [2] M.C. Collivignarelli, M. Canato, A. Abbà, M. Carnevale Miino, Biosolids: What are the
608 different types of reuse, Journal of Cleaner Production 238 (2019) 117844.
609 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.117844>.
- 610 [3] G. Szymanska, H. Sulewska, K. Smiatacz, Response of maize (*Zea mays* L.) grown for
611 grain after the application of sewage sludge, Journal of Central European Agriculture
612 17(1) (2016) 139-153. <https://doi.org/10.5513/jcea01/17.1.1682>.
- 613 [4] L. Ilie, M. Mihalache, R.M. Madjar, C. Calin, G.V. Scaeteanu, Effect of sewage sludge
614 amended soil on maize crop I. Influence on yield and mineral nutrition, Revista de Chimie
615 (Rev. Chim.) 69(3) (2018) 561-566. <https://doi.org/10.37358/rc.18.3.6149>.
- 616 [5] A. Al-Busaidi, M. Ahmed, Treated municipal wastes: Are they contaminating or enriching
617 the soil?, in Book: Soil contamination - Current consequences and further solutions, 2016.
618 <https://doi.org/10.5772/64962>.
- 619 [6] F. Wu, G. Zhang, J. Yu, Interaction of cadmium and four microelements for uptake and
620 translocation in different barley genotypes, Communications in Soil Science and Plant
621 Analysis 34(13-14) (2003) 2003-2020. <https://doi.org/10.1081/CSS-120023233>.
- 622 [7] B.M. Cieřlik, J. Namieřnik, P. Konieczka, Review of sewage sludge management:

- 623 Standards, regulations and analytical methods, *Journal of Cleaner Production* 90 (2015) 1-
624 15. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2014.11.031>.
- 625 [8] A. Raheem, V.S. Sikarwar, J. He, W. Dastyar, D.D. Dionysiou, W. Wang, M. Zhao,
626 Opportunities and challenges in sustainable treatment and resource reuse of sewage
627 sludge: A review, *Chemical Engineering Journal* 337 (2018) 616-641.
628 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2017.12.149>.
- 629 [9] B. Mocquot, J. Vangronsveld, H. Clijsters, and M. Mench, Copper toxicity in young
630 maize (*Zea mays* L.) plants : Effects on growth, mineral and chlorophyll contents, and
631 enzyme activities, *Plant and Soil* 182 (1996) 287-300.
632 <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00029060>.
- 633 [10] P. Bergkvist, N. Jarvis, D. Berggren, K. Carlgren, Long-term effects of sewage sludge
634 applications on soil properties, cadmium availability and distribution in arable soil,
635 *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment* 97(1-3) (2003) 167-179.
636 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-8809\(03\)00121-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-8809(03)00121-X).
- 637 [11] F. Figlioli, M.C. Sorrentino, V. Memoli, C. Arena, G. Maisto, S. Giordano, F. Capozzi, V.
638 Spagnuolo, Overall plant responses to Cd and Pb metal stress in maize: Growth pattern,
639 ultrastructure, and photosynthetic activity, *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*
640 26 (2019) 1781-1790. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-018-3743-y>.
- 641 [12] M.N.V. Prasad, Cadmium toxicity and tolerance in vascular plants, *Environmental and*
642 *Experimental Botany* 35(4) (1995) 525-545. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0098-8472\(95\)00024-](https://doi.org/10.1016/0098-8472(95)00024-0)
643 [0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0098-8472(95)00024-0).

- 644 [13] L.E. Hernández, E. Lozano-Rodríguez, A. Gárate, Ramón Carpena-Ruiz, Influence of
645 cadmium on the uptake, tissue accumulation and subcellular distribution of manganese in
646 pea seedlings, *Plant Science* 132(2) (1998) 139-151. [https://doi.org/10.1016-S0168-](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9452(98)00011-9)
647 [9452\(98\)00011-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9452(98)00011-9).
- 648 [14] Y.G. Zhu, Z.Q. Zhao, H.Y. Li, S.E. Smith, F.A. Smith, Effect of zinc-cadmium
649 interactions on the uptake of zinc and cadmium by winter wheat (*Triticum aestivum*)
650 grown in pot culture, *Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology* 71 (2003)
651 1289-1296. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00128-003-0230-y>.
- 652 [15] X. Yang, V.C. Baligar, D.C. Martens, R.B. Clark, Cadmium effects on influx and
653 transport of mineral nutrients in plant species, *Journal of Plant Nutrition* 19(3-4) (1996)
654 643-656. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01904169609365148>.
- 655 [16] Y.J. An, Soil ecotoxicity assessment using cadmium sensitive plants, *Environmental*
656 *Pollution* 127(1) (2004) 21-26. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0269-7491\(03\)00263-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0269-7491(03)00263-X).
- 657 [17] X. Yang, V.C. Baligar, R.B. Clark, D.C. Martens, Influx, transport, and accumulation of
658 cadmium in plant species grown at different Cd²⁺ activities, *Journal of Environmental*
659 *Science and Health Part B* 30(4) (1995) 569-583.
660 <https://doi.org/10.1080/03601239509372954>.
- 661 [18] N. Ait Ali, M.P. Bernal, M. Ater, Tolerance and bioaccumulation of copper in *Phragmites*
662 *australis* and *Zea mays*, *Plant Soil* 239 (2002) 103-111.
663 <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1014995321560>.
- 664 [19] M.B. McBride, C. E. Martínez, Copper phytotoxicity in a contaminated soil: Remediation

- 665 tests with adsorptive materials, *Environmental Science & Technology* 34(20) (2000)
666 4386-4391. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es0009931>.
- 667 [20] C.M. Borkert, F.R. Cox, M.R. Tucker, Zinc and copper toxicity in peanut, soybean, rice,
668 and corn in soil mixtures, *Communications Soil Science and Plant Analysis* 29(19-20)
669 (1998) 2991-3005. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00103629809370171>.
- 670 [21] H. Kabata-Pendias, A. Pendias, *Trace elements in soils and plants*, Boca Raton, FL, 2001.
- 671 [22] P.B. Gahan, *Cell and Molecular Biology—Concepts and Experiments*. 2nd edn G. Karp,
672 John Wiley & Sons Ltd, ISBN 0-471-19279-1, 816 pp., £27.50 (1999), *Cell*
673 *Biochemistry and Function* 17(4) (1999) 290-290. [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(sici\)1099-
674 0844\(199912\)17:4<290::aid-cbf849>3.0.co;2-p](https://doi.org/10.1002/(sici)1099-0844(199912)17:4<290::aid-cbf849>3.0.co;2-p).
- 675 [23] F. Katterman, *Environmental injury to plants*, American Chemical Society, San Diego,
676 CA, 1990.
- 677 [24] S. Babel, D. del Mundo Dacera, Heavy metal removal from contaminated sludge for land
678 application: A review, *Waste Management* 26(9) (2006) 988-1004.
679 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2005.09.017>.
- 680 [25] A. Pathak, M.G. Dastidar, T.R. Sreekrishnan, Bioleaching of heavy metals from sewage
681 sludge: A review, *Journal of Environmental Management* 90(8) (2009) 2343-2353.
682 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2008.11.005>.
- 683 [26] Y. Xu, C. Zhang, M. Zhao, H. Rong, K. Zhang, Q. Chen, Comparison of bioleaching and
684 electrokinetic remediation processes for removal of heavy metals from wastewater

- 685 treatment sludge, Chemosphere 168 (2017) 1152-1157.
686 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2016.10.086>.
- 687 [27] D. Ma, M. Su, J. Qian, Q. Wang, F. Meng, X. Ge, Y. Fe, C. Song, Heavy metal removal
688 from sewage sludge under citric acid and electroosmotic leaching processes, Separation
689 and Purification Technology 242 (2020) 116822.
690 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2020.116822>.
- 691 [28] J.F. Blais, N. Meunier, J.L. Sasseville, R.D. Tyagi, G. Mercier, F. Hammy, Hybrid
692 chemical and biological process for decontaminating sludge from municipal sewage
693 sludge, US patent No. 10,060,277, 2004.
- 694 [29] R.L. Donahue, R.W. Miller, J.C. Shickluna, Soils: An introduction to soils and plant
695 growth. Fifth Edition, Prentice Hall, Inc., Englewood, NJ, ISBN: 0138222886, 1983,
696 667 p.
- 697 [30] D. Barraoui, J.F. Blais, M. Labrecque. Cleanup of sewage sludge spiked with Cd, Cu, and
698 Zn: Sludge quality and distribution of metals in the “soil-plant-water” system,
699 Chemosphere 267 (2021) 129233. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2020.129223>.
- 700 [31] G. Mercier, J.F. Blais, F. Hammy, M. Lounès, J.L. Sasseville, A decontamination process
701 to remove metals and stabilise Montreal sewage sludge, The Scientific World Journal 2
702 (2002) 349585. <https://doi.org/10.1100/tsw.2002.201>.
- 703 [32] CPVQ, Le maïs est encore une production d’avenir, in : Conférence sur le maïs, Québec,
704 Canada, 1997, 48 p.

- 705 [33] A.L. Page, R.H. Miller, D.R. Keeny, Methods of soil analysis; 2. Chemical and
706 microbiological properties, American Society of Agronomy, Soil Science Society of
707 America (Editors), Madison, WI, ISBN: 0891180729, 1982, 1159 p.
- 708 [34] E.W. Rice, R.B. Baird, A.D. Eaton, Standard methods for the examination of water and
709 wastewater. 23rd Edition, APHA, AWWA, WEF (Editors), ISBN: 9780875532875, 2017.
- 710 [35] SAS, SAS/STAT user's guide, SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, 2001.
- 711 [36] V. Ramachandran, T.J. D'Souza, Plant uptake of cadmium, zinc, and manganese from
712 four contrasting soils amended with Cd-enriched sewage sludge, Journal of Environmental
713 Science and Health, Part A. Toxic/Hazardous Substances and Environmental Engineering
714 37(7) (2002) 1337-1346. <https://doi.org/10.1081/ESE-120005990>.
- 715 [37] M. Tejada, B. Rodríguez-Morgado, I. Gómez, L. Franco-Andreu, C. Benítez, J. Parrado,
716 Use of biofertilizers obtained from sewage sludges on maize yield, European Journal of
717 Agronomy 78 (2016) 13-19. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eja.2016.04.014>.
- 718 [38] M.B. McBride, Cupric ion activity in peat soil as a toxicity indicator for maize, Journal of
719 Environmental Quality 30(1) (2001) 78-84. <https://doi.org/10.2134/jeq2001.30178x>.
- 720 [39] G. Ouzounidou, M. Čiamporová, M. Moustakas, S. Karataglis, Responses of maize (*Zea*
721 *mays* L.) plants to copper stress-I. Growth, mineral content and ultrastructure of roots,
722 Environmental and Experimental Botany 35(2) (1995) 167-176.
723 [https://doi.org/10.1016/0098-8472\(94\)00049-B](https://doi.org/10.1016/0098-8472(94)00049-B).
- 724 [40] L. Ilie, M. Mihalache, G.V. Scaeteanu, R.M. Madjar, D.R. Popovici, Effect of sewage

- 725 sludge amended soil on maize crop II. Influence on metal accumulation, *Revista de*
726 *Chimie (Rev. Chim.)* 69(5) (2018) 1165-1172. <https://doi.org/10.37358/rc.18.5.6282>.
- 727 [41] K. Przygocka-Cyna, W. Grzebisz, The multifactorial effect of digestate on the availability
728 of soil elements and grain yield and its mineral profile—the case of maize, *Agronomy*
729 10(2) (2020) 275. <https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy10020275>.
- 730 [42] A.Y. Renoux, S. Rocheleau, M. Sarrazin, G.I. Sunahara, J.F. Blais, Assessment of a
731 sewage sludge treatment on cadmium, copper and zinc bioavailability in barley, ryegrass
732 and earthworms, *Environmental Pollution* 145(1) (2007) 41-50.
733 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2006.04.001>.
- 734 [43] W. Friesl, O. Horak, W.W. Wenzel, Immobilization of heavy metals in soils by the
735 application of bauxite residues: Pot experiments under field conditions, *Journal of Plant*
736 *Nutrition and Soil Science* 167(1) (2004) 54-59. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jpln.200320941>.
- 737 [44] R.P. Narwal, M. Singh, J.P. Singh, D.J. Dahiya, Cadmium-zinc interaction in maize
738 grown on sewer water irrigated soil, *Arid Soil Research and Rehabilitation* 7(2) (1993)
739 125-131. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15324989309381342>.
- 740 [45] A. Lagriffoul, B. Mocquot, M. Mench, J. Vangronsveld, Cadmium toxicity effects on
741 growth, mineral and chlorophyll contents, and activities of stress related enzymes in
742 young maize plants (*Zea mays* L.), *Plant and Soil* 200 (1998) 241-250.
743 <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1004346905592>.
- 744 [46] T. Hernández, J.I. Moreno, F. Costa, Influence of sewage sludge application on crop
745 yields and heavy metal availability, *Soil Science and Plant Nutrition* 37(2) (1991) 201-

- 746 210. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00380768.1991.10415030>.
- 747 [47] T.A.S. Henning, B. Snyman, H.G. Aveling, The cultivation of maize (*Zea mays* L.) on
748 high sewage sludge dosages at field scale, in: Selected Proceedings of the International
749 Specialised Conference on Disposal and Utilisation of Sewage Sludge: Treatment
750 Methods and Application Modalities, held in Athens, Greece, 13-15 October, 1999, A.
751 Andreadakis, L. Spinosa (Issue Editors), IWA Publishing, pp. 453–459.
- 752 [48] N.K. Fageria, Adequate and toxic levels of copper and manganese in upland rice, common
753 bean, corn, soybean, and wheat grown on an oxisol, Communications in Soil Science and
754 Plant Analysis 32(9-10) (2001) 1659-1676. <https://doi.org/10.1081/CSS-100104220>.
- 755 [49] C.W. Gray, R.G. McLaren, A.H.C. Roberts, Cadmium leaching from some New Zealand
756 pasture soils, European Journal of Soil Science 54(1) (2003) 159-166.
757 <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2389.2003.00495.x>.
- 758 [50] S. Li, B. Fang, D. Wang, X. Wang, X. Man, X. Zhang, Leaching characteristics of heavy
759 metals and plant nutrients in the sewage sludge immobilized by composite phosphorus-
760 bearing materials, International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health
761 16(24) (2019) 5159. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph16245159>.
- 762 [51] X. Yu, T. Zhou, J. Zhao, C. Dong, L. Wu, Y. Luo, P. Christie, Remediation of a metal-
763 contaminated soil by chemical washing and repeated phytoextraction: a field experiment,
764 International Journal of Phytoremediation (2020) .
765 <https://doi.org/10.1080/15226514.2020.1840509>.
- 766 [52] F. Van Der Leeden, F.L. Troise, D.K. Todd, The Water Encyclopedia, Second Edition,

- 767 Lewis Publishers, Boca Raton, FL, ISBN-10: 0873711203, 1990, 824 p.
- 768 [53] D.R. Edwards, P.A. Moore, S.R. Workman, E.L. Busheé, Runoff of metals from alum-
769 treated horse manure and municipal sludge, Journal of American Water Resources
770 Association 35(1) (1999) 155-165. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1752-1688.1999.tb05460.x>.
- 771 [54] R. Nilsson, Residual aluminium concentration in drinking water after treatment with
772 aluminium or iron salts, In: Chemical water and wastewater treatment, H.H. Hahn, R.
773 Klute (Editors), Springer, Berlin, Germany, 1992, pp. 399-410.
- 774
- 775